



US009274795B2

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Henry et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,274,795 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Mar. 1, 2016**

(54) **CONDITIONAL NON-BRANCH  
INSTRUCTION PREDICTION**

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

(75) Inventors: **G. Glenn Henry**, Austin, TX (US);  
**Terry Parks**, Austin, TX (US); **Rodney**  
**E. Hooker**, Austin, TX (US)

5,226,164 A 7/1993 Nadas et al.  
5,235,686 A 8/1993 Bosshart  
(Continued)

(73) Assignee: **VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**, New  
Taipei (TW)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this  
patent is extended or adjusted under 35  
U.S.C. 154(b) by 739 days.

CN 101866280 A 10/2010  
EP 0709767 5/1996  
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(21) Appl. No.: **13/413,258**

Intel, "Intel Architecture Software Developer's Manual", Sep. 2005,  
vol. 2A, p. 3-112 to 3-117.\*

(22) Filed: **Mar. 6, 2012**

(Continued)

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2013/0067202 A1 Mar. 14, 2013

*Primary Examiner* — Andrew Caldwell

*Assistant Examiner* — Yuqing Xiao

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — E. Alan Davis; James W.  
Huffman

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 13/224,310,  
filed on Sep. 1, 2011, now Pat. No. 8,880,851, and a  
continuation-in-part of application No. 13/333,520,  
filed on Dec. 21, 2011, now Pat. No. 9,032,189, and a

(Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**G06F 9/30** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
CPC ..... **G06F 9/30174** (2013.01); **G06F 9/3017**  
(2013.01); **G06F 9/30076** (2013.01); **G06F**  
**9/30112** (2013.01); **G06F 9/30189** (2013.01);  
**G06F 9/30196** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**


CPC ..... G06F 9/3017  
USPC ..... 712/226, 239

See application file for complete search history.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A microprocessor processes conditional non-branch instructions that specify a condition and instruct the microprocessor to perform an operation if the condition is satisfied and otherwise to not perform the operation. A predictor provides a prediction about a conditional non-branch instruction. An instruction translator translates the conditional non-branch instruction into a no-operation microinstruction when the prediction predicts the condition will not be satisfied, and into a set of one or more microinstructions to unconditionally perform the operation when the prediction predicts the condition will be satisfied. An execution pipeline executes the no-operation microinstruction or the set of microinstructions. The predictor translates into a second set of one or more microinstructions to conditionally perform the operation when the prediction does not make a prediction. In the case of a misprediction, the translator re-translates the conditional non-branch instruction into the second set of microinstructions.

**22 Claims, 32 Drawing Sheets**



**Related U.S. Application Data**

- continuation-in-part of application No. 13/333,572, filed on Dec. 21, 2011, now Pat. No. 8,880,857, and a continuation-in-part of application No. 13/333,631, filed on Dec. 21, 2011, now Pat. No. 8,924,695, said application No. 13/333,520 is a continuation-in-part of application No. 13/224,310, said application No. 13/333,572 is a continuation-in-part of application No. 13/224,310, said application No. 13/333,631 is a continuation-in-part of application No. 13/224,310.
- (60) Provisional application No. 61/473,062, filed on Apr. 7, 2011, provisional application No. 61/473,067, filed on Apr. 7, 2011, provisional application No. 61/473,069, filed on Apr. 7, 2011, provisional application No. 61/537,473, filed on Sep. 21, 2011, provisional application No. 61/604,561, filed on Feb. 29, 2012, provisional application No. 61/541,307, filed on Sep. 30, 2011, provisional application No. 61/547,449, filed on Oct. 14, 2011, provisional application No. 61/555,023, filed on Nov. 3, 2011.

(56)

**References Cited**

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

- |           |      |         |                             |              |      |         |                                |  |
|-----------|------|---------|-----------------------------|--------------|------|---------|--------------------------------|--|
| 5,307,504 | A    | 4/1994  | Robinson et al.             | 7,219,215    | B2   | 5/2007  | Ford et al.                    |  |
| 5,396,634 | A    | 3/1995  | Zaidi et al.                | 7,237,098    | B2   | 6/2007  | Henry et al.                   |  |
| 5,438,668 | A    | 8/1995  | Coon et al.                 | 7,243,213    | B2   | 7/2007  | Pagni et al.                   |  |
| 5,481,693 | A    | 1/1996  | Blomgren et al.             | 7,260,815    | B1   | 8/2007  | Chen et al.                    |  |
| 5,574,927 | A    | 11/1996 | Scantlin                    | 7,272,622    | B2   | 9/2007  | Sebot et al.                   |  |
| 5,619,666 | A    | 4/1997  | Coon et al.                 | 7,299,343    | B2   | 11/2007 | Kalluri et al.                 |  |
| 5,638,525 | A    | 6/1997  | Hammond et al.              | 7,353,368    | B2   | 4/2008  | Chow et al.                    |  |
| 5,664,215 | A    | 9/1997  | Burgess et al.              | 7,437,532    | B1   | 10/2008 | Chen et al.                    |  |
| 5,685,009 | A    | 11/1997 | Blomgren et al.             | 7,478,388    | B1   | 1/2009  | Chen et al.                    |  |
| 5,745,722 | A    | 4/1998  | Matsumoto et al.            | 7,617,388    | B2   | 11/2009 | Kissell                        |  |
| 5,752,014 | A *  | 5/1998  | Mallick et al. .... 712/240 | 7,624,256    | B2   | 11/2009 | Sartorius et al.               |  |
| 5,781,457 | A    | 7/1998  | Cohen et al.                | 7,647,480    | B2   | 1/2010  | Ford et al.                    |  |
| 5,781,750 | A    | 7/1998  | Blomgren et al.             | 7,818,550    | B2 * | 10/2010 | Vaden ..... 712/226            |  |
| 5,796,981 | A    | 8/1998  | Abudayyeh et al.            | 7,873,814    | B1   | 1/2011  | Cohen et al.                   |  |
| 5,832,205 | A    | 11/1998 | Kelly et al.                | 7,925,868    | B2   | 4/2011  | Lataille et al.                |  |
| 5,832,297 | A    | 11/1998 | Ramagopal et al.            | 8,090,931    | B2   | 1/2012  | Col et al.                     |  |
| 5,854,913 | A    | 12/1998 | Goetz et al.                | 8,479,176    | B2   | 7/2013  | Otoni et al.                   |  |
| 5,887,152 | A    | 3/1999  | Tran                        | 2001/0008563 | A1   | 7/2001  | Yamaura et al.                 |  |
| 5,926,642 | A    | 7/1999  | Favor                       | 2001/0010072 | A1   | 7/2001  | Yoshida                        |  |
| 5,926,646 | A    | 7/1999  | Pickett et al.              | 2001/0032308 | A1 * | 10/2001 | Grochowski et al. .... 712/226 |  |
| 5,946,483 | A    | 8/1999  | Boutaud et al.              | 2001/0044891 | A1   | 11/2001 | McGrath et al.                 |  |
| 5,961,633 | A    | 10/1999 | Jaggard                     | 2002/0053013 | A1   | 5/2002  | Sollars                        |  |
| 6,076,155 | A    | 6/2000  | Blomgren et al.             | 2002/0194458 | A1   | 12/2002 | Soni                           |  |
| 6,178,482 | B1   | 1/2001  | Sollars                     | 2003/0018880 | A1   | 1/2003  | Litaize et al.                 |  |
| 6,185,668 | B1   | 2/2001  | Arya                        | 2003/0061471 | A1   | 3/2003  | Matsuo                         |  |
| 6,195,741 | B1   | 2/2001  | Asato                       | 2003/0188140 | A1   | 10/2003 | Henry et al.                   |  |
| 6,374,346 | B1   | 4/2002  | Seshan et al.               | 2004/0034757 | A1 * | 2/2004  | Gochman et al. .... 711/219    |  |
| 6,378,062 | B1   | 4/2002  | Abramson et al.             | 2004/0064684 | A1   | 4/2004  | Kalluri et al.                 |  |
| 6,381,692 | B1   | 4/2002  | Martin et al.               | 2004/0148496 | A1   | 7/2004  | Thimmannagari et al.           |  |
| 6,442,679 | B1 * | 8/2002  | Klauser et al. .... 712/218 | 2004/0255103 | A1 * | 12/2004 | Duncan et al. .... 712/226     |  |
| 6,496,922 | B1 * | 12/2002 | Borrill ..... 712/209       | 2005/0081017 | A1   | 4/2005  | Rupley, II et al.              |  |
| 6,571,316 | B1   | 5/2003  | D'Souza et al.              | 2005/0091474 | A1   | 4/2005  | Wojewoda et al.                |  |
| 6,611,909 | B1   | 8/2003  | Roos et al.                 | 2005/0125637 | A1   | 6/2005  | Dijkstra et al.                |  |
| 6,647,489 | B1   | 11/2003 | Col et al.                  | 2005/0188185 | A1 * | 8/2005  | Grochowski ..... 712/226       |  |
| 6,651,159 | B1   | 11/2003 | Ramesh et al.               | 2005/0216714 | A1 * | 9/2005  | Grochowski ..... 712/240       |  |
| 6,654,875 | B1   | 11/2003 | Hartnett et al.             | 2005/0223199 | A1   | 10/2005 | Grochowski et al.              |  |
| 6,807,616 | B1   | 10/2004 | McGrath et al.              | 2006/0101247 | A1   | 5/2006  | Callan et al.                  |  |
| 6,871,273 | B1   | 3/2005  | Moore                       | 2006/0155974 | A1   | 7/2006  | Moyer et al.                   |  |
| 6,877,084 | B1   | 4/2005  | Christie                    | 2006/0179288 | A1   | 8/2006  | McIlvaine et al.               |  |
| 6,880,152 | B1   | 4/2005  | Torvalds et al.             | 2006/0236078 | A1   | 10/2006 | Sartorius et al.               |  |
| 6,889,312 | B1   | 5/2005  | McGrath et al.              | 2007/0038844 | A1 * | 2/2007  | Valentine et al. .... 712/219  |  |
| 6,898,697 | B1   | 5/2005  | Gao et al.                  | 2007/0074010 | A1   | 3/2007  | Nakajima                       |  |
| 6,981,131 | B2   | 12/2005 | Devereux                    | 2007/0208924 | A1   | 9/2007  | Ford et al.                    |  |
| 7,003,652 | B2   | 2/2006  | Nevill et al.               | 2007/0260855 | A1 * | 11/2007 | Gschwind et al. .... 712/213   |  |
| 7,047,394 | B1   | 5/2006  | Van Dyke et al.             | 2008/0046704 | A1   | 2/2008  | Tanaka et al.                  |  |
| 7,051,190 | B2   | 5/2006  | Samra et al.                | 2008/0065862 | A1   | 3/2008  | Hansen et al.                  |  |
| 7,143,271 | B2   | 11/2006 | Huang et al.                | 2008/0072011 | A1   | 3/2008  | Kitamura                       |  |
| 7,178,011 | B2   | 2/2007  | Seal et al.                 | 2008/0189519 | A1   | 8/2008  | Gschwind et al.                |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2008/0216073 | A1   | 9/2008  | Yates et al.                   |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2008/0256336 | A1   | 10/2008 | Henry et al.                   |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2008/0276069 | A1 * | 11/2008 | Blaner et al. .... 712/205     |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2008/0276072 | A1   | 11/2008 | Rychlik                        |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2009/0006811 | A1   | 1/2009  | Badran-Louca et al.            |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2009/0031116 | A1   | 1/2009  | Sudhakar et al.                |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2009/0204785 | A1   | 8/2009  | Yates, Jr. et al.              |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2009/0204800 | A1   | 8/2009  | Hooker et al.                  |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2009/0228691 | A1   | 9/2009  | Furuta et al.                  |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2009/0300331 | A1   | 12/2009 | Gschwind et al.                |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2010/0070741 | A1   | 3/2010  | Col et al.                     |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2010/0274988 | A1   | 10/2010 | Mimar                          |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2010/0287359 | A1   | 11/2010 | Norden                         |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2010/0299504 | A1   | 11/2010 | Henry et al.                   |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2010/0332787 | A1   | 12/2010 | Grohoski et al.                |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2011/0035569 | A1   | 2/2011  | Col et al.                     |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2011/0047357 | A1   | 2/2011  | Stempel et al.                 |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2011/0225397 | A1   | 9/2011  | Grisenthwaite et al.           |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2012/0124346 | A1 * | 5/2012  | Hardage et al. .... 712/239    |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2012/0260042 | A1   | 10/2012 | Henry et al.                   |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2012/0260064 | A1   | 10/2012 | Henry et al.                   |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2012/0260065 | A1   | 10/2012 | Henry et al.                   |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2012/0260066 | A1   | 10/2012 | Henry et al.                   |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2012/0260067 | A1   | 10/2012 | Henry et al.                   |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2012/0260068 | A1   | 10/2012 | Henry et al.                   |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2012/0260071 | A1   | 10/2012 | Henry et al.                   |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2012/0260073 | A1   | 10/2012 | Henry et al.                   |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2012/0260074 | A1   | 10/2012 | Henry et al.                   |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2012/0260075 | A1   | 10/2012 | Henry et al.                   |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2013/0067199 | A1   | 3/2013  | Henry et al.                   |  |
|           |      |         |                             | 2013/0097408 | A1   | 4/2013  | Seal et al.                    |  |

(56)

**References Cited****U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

2013/0305013 A1 11/2013 Ebersole  
 2013/0305014 A1 11/2013 Ebersole  
 2014/0095847 A1 4/2014 Orenstein

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

EP	0747808	12/1996
EP	1050803	11/2000
EP	1447742	8/2004
TW	200912741 A	3/2009
WO	WO96/24895 A1	8/1996
WO	WO0106354 A1	1/2001
WO	WO02097612 A1	12/2002
WO	WO2009056205 A1	5/2009
WO	WO2012138950 A2	10/2012
WO	WO2012138952 A1	10/2012
WO	WO2012138957 A1	10/2012

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Quinones et al., "Selective Predicate Prediction for Out-of-Order Processors", 2006, Proceedings of the 20th annual international conference on Supercomputing, pp. 46-54.\*

Chuang, et al., "Predicate Prediction for Efficient Out-of-order Execution", 2003, ICS '03 Proceedings of the 17th annual international conference on Supercomputing, pp. 183-192.\*

Gochman et al., "The Intel Pentium® M Processor: Microarchitecture and Performance", May 21, 2003, Intel Technology Journal, vol. 7, Issue 2, pp. 21-36.\*

Buchty, Rainer et al. "High-Performance and Hardware-aware Computing." Proceedings of the First International Workshop on New Frontiers in High-performance and Hardware-aware Computing (HipHac'08). Lake Como, Italy, Nov. 2008, pp. 1-8.

"System/360, Model 30, 1401 Compatibility Feature." IBM Systems Reference Library. File No. S360-13, Form A24-3255-1. Revised Apr. 1964. pp. 5-12.

McCormack, M.A. et al. "1401 Compatibility Feature on the IBM System/360 Model 30." IBM Corporation, Endicott, New York. Downloaded May 11, 2011 from <http://www.ibm-1401.info/ACM1401Sim.html>. pp. 1-8.

Silberman, Gabriel M. et al. "An Architectural Framework for Supporting Heterogeneous Instruction-Set Architectures." IBM T.J. Watson Research Center. 8153 Computer, Jun. 26, 1993. No. 6 IEEE. XP000377627 pp. 39-56.

"Instruction Set Architectures." ARM The Architecture for the Digital World. Downloaded on Feb. 21, 2011 from <http://www.arm.com/products/processors/technologies/instruction-set-architectures.php>. pp. 1-2.

Bellard, Fabrice. "QEMU, a Fast and Portable Dynamic Translator." USENIX Association. FREENIX Track: 2005 USENIX Annual Technical Conference. pp. 41-46.

"Binary Translation" Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. Downloaded on May 5, 2011 from [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary\\_translation](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary_translation). pp. 1-4.

Nakada, Takashi et al. "OROCHI: A Multiple Instruction Set SMT Processor." Taken from High-performance and Hardware-aware Computing; Proceedings of the First International Workshop on New

Frontiers in High-performance and Hardware-aware Computing (HipHac'08) Nov. 2008. pp. 13-20.

Shimada, Hajime et al. "Outline of OROCHI: A Multiple Instruction Set Executable SMT Processor." Downloaded on May 5, 2011 from <http://arch.naist.jp/~shimada/pub/iwia07.pdf>. pp. 1-8.

"Jazelle" Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. Downloaded on May 30, 2011 from <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jazelle>. pp. 1-5.

"Concurrency (2): Advanced Operating Systems (M) Lecture 17" School of Computing Science, University of Glasgow. Downloaded on May 5, 2011 from <http://csperskins.org/teaching/adv-os/Lecture17-concurrency.pdf>. pp. 1-15.

"Machine Code" Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. Downloaded on May 23, 2011 from [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Machine\\_code](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Machine_code). pp. 1-4.

Chen, Jiunn-Yeu et al. "On Static Binary Translation and Optimization for ARM Based Applications." Downloaded on Aug. 26, 2011 from <http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~thhung/pubs/odes08.pdf>. pp. 1-10.

Ebcioğlu, Kemal et al. "DAISY: Dynamic Compilation for 100% Architectural Compatibility." IBM Research Report. RC 20538 Computer Science Aug. 5, 1996. pp. 1-84.

"Texas Instruments OMAP" Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. Downloaded on May 16, 2011 from [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Texas\\_Instruments\\_OMAP](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Texas_Instruments_OMAP). pp. 1-4.

"Transmeta" Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. Downloaded on May 12, 2011 from <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transmeta>. pp. 1-8.

Wang Hao, Lee. "ARM Instruction Set Simulation on Multi-Core x86 Hardware." School of Computer Science, The University of Adelaide. Jun. 19, 2009. pp. 1-70 Downloaded on May 5, 2011 from <http://cs.adelaide.edu.au/~brad/students/wanghao.pdf>.

Gochman, Simcha et al. "The Intel® Pentium® M Processor: Microarchitecture and Performance." Intel® Technology Journal. vol. 7 Issue 02 Published May 21, 2003. ISSN 1535-864X pp. 21-36.

Osd.com "Assembly Language: address space and addressing modes." Downloaded from <http://www.osdata.com/topic/language/asm/address.htm>. Downloaded Dec. 7, 2009. pp. 1-11.

Tendler, J.M. et al. "Power4 System Microarchitecture." IBM J. Res. & Dev. vol. 46 No. 1, Jan. 2002. pp. 5-25.

Intel® "Intel® Itanium® Architecture Software Developer's Manual." vol. 2: System Architecture. Revision 2.1 Document # 245318-004. Oct. 2002. pp. 2:35, 2:86 and pp. 2:115 thru 2:130.

Karaki, Hussein et al. "Multiple Instruction Sets Architecture (MISA)." Energy Aware Computing (ICEAC), 2011 International Conference on, IEEE. Nov. 30, 2011 thru Dec. 2, 2011. pp. 1-6, XP032099542, ISBN: 978-1-4673-0466-5.

Gwennap, Linley "Intel's P6 Uses Decoupled Superscalar Design: Next Generation of x86 Integrates L2 Cache in Package with CPU." Microprocessor Report, vol. 9, No. 2, pp. 1-7. Feb. 1995.

ARM Limited, "ARM Architecture Reference Manual v7-A and v7-R Edition." 2008, pp. A4-22, B1-6 to B1-10.

ARM Limited, "ARM Architecture Reference Manual v7-A and v7-R Edition." 2008, pp. A1-3 to A1-6, A2-11, A2-21, A2-22, B1-9, B2-3, B2-4, B4-45, B4-50.

Intel®, "IA-32 Intel® Architecture Software Developer's Manual." vol. 1, Sep. 2005, pp. 3-24, 3-28, vol. 3 pp. 2-16 to 2-23, 9-2 to 9-5, 10-1 to 10-4.

Sanchez et al. "Thermal Management System for High Performance Power™ Microprocessors." Compcon '97, Proceedings, IEEE, Feb. 1997, pp. 325-330.

\* cited by examiner

FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5






FIG. 6A




FIG. 6B




FIG. 7




FIG. 8





FIG. 9



USE SHIFT CARRY (USE) 908	PRE-SHIFT CARRY (PSC) 906	CONDITION SATISFIED (SAT) 904	ISA CONDITION FLAGS 902
------------------------------	------------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------

FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12






FIG. 13




FIG. 14

FLAG-UPDATING NON-PRE-SHIFTING ALUOP CC RD, R1, R2 (1044):




FIG. 15


*FLAG-UPDATING PRE-SHIFTING NCUALUOP CC RD, R1, R2, R3 (1056):*

FIG. 16

*FLAG-UPDATING PRE-SHIFTING CUALUOP CC RD, R1, R2, R3 (1054):*




FIG. 17

NON-FLAG-UPDATING PRE-SHIFTING ALUOP USES CARRY CC RD, R1, R2, R3 (1036):




FIG. 18

NON-FLAG-UPDATING PRE-SHIFTING ALUOP CC RD, R1, R2, R3 (1034):




FIG. 19

NON-FLAG-UPDATING NON-PRE-SHIFTING ALUOP USES CARRY CC RD, R1, R2, R3  
(1026):





FIG. 20

NON-FLAG-UPDATING NON-PRE-SHIFTING ALUOP CC RD, R1, R2, R3 (1024):





```

graph TD
    Start[INSTRUCTION TRANSLATOR ENCOUNTERS CONDITIONAL ALU INSTRUCTION FOR WHICH ONE OF THE SOURCE REGISTERS IS THE DESTINATION REGISTER AND TRANSLATES INTO APPROPRIATE MICROINSTRUCTIONS 2102] --> D1{FLAG-UPDATING?  
1004}
    D1 -- NO --> D2{PRE-SHIFT?  
1012}
    D1 -- YES --> D3{PRE-SHIFT?  
1042}
    D2 -- NO --> D4{ALU  
OP USES  
CARRY?  
1022}
    D2 -- YES --> D5{ALU  
OP USES  
CARRY?  
1032}
    D4 -- NO --> M2124[ARM ALUOP CC RD, RD, R2:  
ALUOP CC RD, RD, R2, RDFLAGS 2124]
    D4 -- YES --> M2126[ARM ALUOPUC CC RD, RD, R2:  
ALUOPUC CC RD, RD, R2, RDFLAGS 2126]
    D5 -- NO --> M2134[ARM ALUOP CC RD, RD, R2, R3:  
SHF T3, R2, R3  
ALUOP CC RD, RD, T3, RDFLAGS 2134]
    D5 -- YES --> M2136[ARM ALUOPUC CC RD, RD, R2, R3:  
SHF T3, R2, R3  
ALUOPUC CC RD, RD, T3, RDFLAGS 2136]
    D3 -- NO --> M2144[ARM ALUOP S CC RD, RD, R2:  
ALUOP CC RD, RD, R2, RDFLAGS, WRFLAGS 2144]
    D3 -- YES --> D6{OP UPDATES  
CARRY?  
1052}
    D6 -- YES --> M2154[ARM CUALUOP S CC RD, RD, R2, R3:  
SHF T3, R2, R3  
CUALUOP CC RD, RD, T3, RDFLAGS, WRFLAGS 2154]
    D6 -- NO --> M2156[ARM NCUALUOP S CC RD, RD, R2, R3:  
SHF T3, R2, R3, RDFLAGS, WRFLAGS, WRCARRY  
NCUALUOP CC RD, RD, T3, RDFLAGS, WRFLAGS 2156]

```

FIG. 22

FLAG-UPDATING NON-PRE-SHIFTING ALUOP CC RD, RD, R2 (2144):




FIG. 23

*FLAG-UPDATING PRE-SHIFTING NCUALUOP CC RD, RD, R2, R3 (2156):*




FIG. 24

*FLAG-UPDATING PRE-SHIFTING CUALUOP CC RD, RD, R2, R3 (2154):*




FIG. 25

NON-FLAG-UPDATING PRE-SHIFTING ALUOP USES CARRY CC RD, RD, R2, R3 (2136):




FIG. 26

*NON-FLAG-UPDATING PRE-SHIFTING ALUOP CC RD, RD, R2, R3 (2134):*




FIG. 27

NON-FLAG-UPDATING NON-PRE-SHIFTING ALUOP USES CARRY CC RD, RD, R2, R3  
(2126):




FIG. 28

NON-FLAG-UPDATING NON-PRE-SHIFTING ALUOP CC RD, RD, R2, R3 (2124):






FIG. 29




FIG. 30





NP CASE:E CASE:NE CASE:

FIG. 31



1

**CONDITIONAL NON-BRANCH  
INSTRUCTION PREDICTION****CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED  
APPLICATIONS**

This application is a continuation-in-part (CIP) of U.S. Non-Provisional patent application Ser. Nos.

13/224,310	Sep. 01, 2011
13/333,520	Dec. 21, 2011
13/333,572	Dec. 21, 2011
13/333,631	Dec. 21, 2011

each of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety for all purposes;

This application claims priority based on U.S. Provisional Applications

61/473,062	Apr. 07, 2011
61/473,067	Apr. 07, 2011
61/473,069	Apr. 07, 2011
61/537,473	Sep. 21, 2011
61/541,307	Sep. 30, 2011
61/547,449	Oct. 14, 2011
61/555,023	Nov. 03, 2011
61/604,561	Feb. 29, 2012

each of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety for all purposes;

U.S. Non-Provisional patent application Ser. No.

13/224,310	Sep. 1, 2011
------------	--------------

claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Applications

61/473,062	Apr. 7, 2011
61/473,067	Apr. 7, 2011
61/473,069	Apr. 7, 2011

Each of U.S. Non-Provisional Applications

13/333,520	Dec. 21, 2011
13/333,572	Dec. 21, 2011
13/333,631	Dec. 21, 2011

is a continuation-in-part (CIP) of U.S. Non-Provisional patent application Ser. No.

13/224,310	Sep. 1, 2011
------------	--------------

and claims priority based on U.S. Provisional patent application Ser. Nos.

61/473,062	Apr. 7, 2011
61/473,067	Apr. 7, 2011

2

-continued

61/473,069	Apr. 7, 2011
61/537,473	Sep. 21, 2011

This application is related to U.S. Non-Provisional application Ser. Nos.

13/412,888	Mar. 6, 2012
13/412,904	Mar. 6, 2012
13/412,914	Mar. 6, 2012
13/413,346	Mar. 6, 2012
13/413,300	Mar. 6, 2012
13/413,314	Mar. 6, 2012

**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates in general to the field of microprocessors, and particularly to microprocessors that include conditional instructions in their instruction set.

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

The x86 processor architecture, originally developed by Intel Corporation of Santa Clara, Calif., and the Advanced RISC Machines (ARM) architecture, originally developed by ARM Ltd. of Cambridge, UK, are well known in the art of computing. Many computing systems exist that include an ARM or x86 processor, and the demand for them appears to be increasing rapidly. Presently, the demand for ARM architecture processing cores appears to dominate low power, low cost segments of the computing market, such as cell phones, PDA's, tablet PCs, network routers and hubs, and set-top boxes (for example, the main processing power of the Apple iPhone and iPad is supplied by an ARM architecture processor core), while the demand for x86 architecture processors appears to dominate market segments that require higher performance that justifies higher cost, such as in laptops, desktops and servers. However, as the performance of ARM cores increases and the power consumption and cost of certain models of x86 processors decreases, the line between the different markets is evidently fading, and the two architectures are beginning to compete head-to-head, for example in mobile computing markets such as smart cellular phones, and it is likely they will begin to compete more frequently in the laptop, desktop and server markets.

This situation may leave computing device manufacturers and consumers in a dilemma over which of the two architectures will predominate and, more specifically, for which of the two architectures software developers will develop more software. For example, some entities purchase very large amounts of computing systems each month or year. These entities are highly motivated to buy systems that are the same configuration due to the cost efficiencies associated with purchasing large quantities of the same system and the simplification of system maintenance and repair, for example. However, the user population of these large entities may have diverse computing needs for these single configuration systems. More specifically, some of the users have computing needs in which they want to run software on an ARM architecture processor, and some have computing needs in which they want to run software on an x86 architecture processor, and some may even want to run software on both. Still further, new previously-unanticipated computing needs may emerge that demand one architecture or the other. In these situations,

a portion of the extremely large investment made by these large entities may have been wasted. For another example, a given user may have a crucial application that only runs on the x86 architecture so he purchases an x86 architecture system, but a version of the application is subsequently developed for the ARM architecture that is superior to the x86 version (or vice versa) and therefore the user would like to switch. Unfortunately, he has already made the investment in the architecture that he does not prefer. Still further, a given user may have invested in applications that only run on the ARM architecture, but the user would also like to take advantage of fact that applications in other areas have been developed for the x86 architecture that do not exist for the ARM architecture or that are superior to comparable software developed for the ARM architecture, or vice versa. It should be noted that although the investment made by a small entity or an individual user may not be as great as by the large entity in terms of magnitude, nevertheless in relative terms the investment wasted may be even larger. Many other similar examples of wasted investment may exist or arise in the context of a switch in dominance from the x86 architecture to the ARM architecture, or vice versa, in various computing device markets. Finally, computing device manufacturers, such as OEMs, invest large amounts of resources into developing new products. They are caught in the dilemma also and may waste some of their valuable development resources if they develop and manufacture mass quantities of a system around the x86 or ARM architecture and then the user demand changes relatively suddenly.

It would be beneficial for manufacturers and consumers of computing devices to be able to preserve their investment regardless of which of the two architectures prevails. Therefore, what is needed is a solution that would allow system manufacturers to develop computing devices that enable users to run both x86 architecture and ARM architecture programs.

The desire to have a system that is capable of running programs of more than one instruction set has long existed, primarily because customers may make a significant investment in software that runs on old hardware whose instruction set is different from that of the new hardware. For example, the IBM System/360 Model 30 included an IBM System 1401 compatibility feature to ease the pain of conversion to the higher performance and feature-enhanced System/360. The Model 30 included both a System/360 and a 1401 Read Only Storage (ROS) Control, which gave it the capability of being used in 1401 mode if the Auxiliary Storage was loaded with needed information beforehand. Furthermore, where the software was developed in a high-level language, the new hardware developer may have little or no control over the software compiled for the old hardware, and the software developer may not have a motivation to re-compile the source code for the new hardware, particularly if the software developer and the hardware developer are not the same entity. Silberman and Ebcioğlu proposed techniques for improving performance of existing ("base") CISC architecture (e.g., IBM S/390) software by running it on RISC, superscalar, and Very Long Instruction Word (VLIW) architecture ("native") systems by including a native engine that executes native code and a migrant engine that executes base object code, with the ability to switch between the code types as necessary depending upon the effectiveness of translation software that translates the base object code into native code. See "An Architectural Framework for Supporting Heterogeneous Instruction-Set Architectures," Silberman and Ebcioğlu, Computer, June 1993, No. 6. Van Dyke et al. disclosed a processor having an execution pipeline that executes native

RISC (Tapestry) program instructions and which also translates x86 program instructions into the native RISC instructions through a combination of hardware translation and software translation, in U.S. Pat. No. 7,047,394, issued May 16, 2006. Nakada et al. proposed a heterogeneous SMT processor with an Advanced RISC Machines (ARM) architecture front-end pipeline for irregular (e.g., OS) programs and a Fujitsu FR-V (VLIW) architecture front-end pipeline for multimedia applications that feed an FR-V VLIW back-end pipeline with an added VLIW queue to hold instructions from the front-end pipelines. See "OROCHI: A Multiple Instruction Set SMT Processor," Proceedings of the First International Workshop on New Frontiers in High-performance and Hardware-aware Computing (HipHaC'08), Lake Como, Italy, November 2008 (In conjunction with MICRO-41), Buchty and Weib, eds, Universitätsverlag Karlsruhe, ISBN 978-3-86644-298-6. This approach was proposed in order to reduce the total system footprint over heterogeneous System on Chip (SOC) devices, such as the Texas Instruments OMAP that includes an ARM processor core plus one or more co-processors (such as the TMS320, various digital signal processors, or various GPUs) that do not share instruction execution resources but are instead essentially distinct processing cores integrated onto a single chip.

Software translators, also referred to as software emulators, software simulators, dynamic binary translators and the like, have also been employed to support the ability to run programs of one architecture on a processor of a different architecture. A popular commercial example is the Motorola 68K-to-PowerPC emulator that accompanied Apple Macintosh computers to permit 68K programs to run on a Macintosh with a PowerPC processor, and a PowerPC-to-x86 emulator was later developed to permit PowerPC programs to run on a Macintosh with an x86 processor. Transmeta Corporation of Santa Clara, Calif., coupled VLIW core hardware and "a pure software-based instruction translator [referred to as "Code Morphing Software"] [that] dynamically compiles or emulates x86 code sequences" to execute x86 code. "Transmeta." Wikipedia. 2011. Wikimedia Foundation, Inc. <<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transmeta>>. See also, for example, U.S. Pat. No. 5,832,205, issued Nov. 3, 1998 to Kelly et al. The IBM DAISY (Dynamically Architected Instruction Set from Yorktown) system includes a VLIW machine and dynamic binary software translation to provide 100% software compatible emulation of old architectures. DAISY includes a Virtual Machine Monitor residing in ROM that parallelizes and saves the VLIW primitives to a portion of main memory not visible to the old architecture in hopes of avoiding re-translation on subsequent instances of the same old architecture code fragments. DAISY includes fast compiler optimization algorithms to increase performance. QEMU is a machine emulator that includes a software dynamic translator. QEMU emulates a number of CPUs (e.g., x86, PowerPC, ARM and SPARC) on various hosts (e.g., x86, PowerPC, ARM, SPARC, Alpha and MIPS). As stated by its originator, the "dynamic translator performs a runtime conversion of the target CPU instructions into the host instruction set. The resulting binary code is stored in a translation cache so that it can be reused . . . . QEMU is much simpler [than other dynamic translators] because it just concatenates pieces of machine code generated off line by the GNU C Compiler." QEMU, a Fast and Portable Dynamic Translator, Fabrice Bellard, USENIX Association, FREENIX Track: 2005 USENIX Annual Technical Conference. See also, "ARM Instruction Set Simulation on Multi-Core x86 Hardware," Lee Wang Hao, thesis, University of Adelaide, Jun. 19, 2009. However, while software translator-based solutions may pro-

vide sufficient performance for a subset of computing needs, they are unlikely to provide the performance required by many users.

Static binary translation is another technique that has the potential for high performance. However, there are technical considerations (e.g., self-modifying code, indirect branches whose value is known only at run-time) and commercial/legal barriers (e.g., may require the hardware developer to develop channels for distribution of the new programs; potential license or copyright violations with the original program distributors) associated with static binary translation.

One feature of the ARM ISA is conditional instruction execution. As the ARM Architecture Reference Manual states at page A4-3:

Most ARM instructions can be conditionally executed. This means that they only have their normal effect on the programmer's model operation, memory and coprocessors if the N, Z, C and V flags in the APSR satisfy a condition specified in the instruction. If the flags do not satisfy the condition, the instruction acts as a NOP, that is, execution advances to the next instruction as normal, including any relevant checks for exceptions being taken, but has no other effect.

Benefits of the conditional execution feature are that it potentially facilitates smaller code size and may improve performance by reducing the number of branch instructions and concomitantly the performance penalties associated with mispredicting them. Therefore, what is needed is a way to efficiently perform conditional instructions, particularly in a fashion that supports high microprocessor clock rates.

#### BRIEF SUMMARY OF INVENTION

In one aspect, the present invention provides a microprocessor that processes conditional non-branch instructions, wherein each conditional non-branch instruction specifies a condition, wherein each conditional non-branch instruction instructs the microprocessor to perform an operation if the condition is satisfied and to not perform the operation if the condition is not satisfied by condition flags of the microprocessor. The microprocessor includes a predictor that provides a prediction about a conditional non-branch instruction. The microprocessor also includes an instruction translator that translates the conditional non-branch instruction into a no-operation microinstruction when the prediction predicts the condition will not be satisfied, and translates the conditional non-branch instruction into a set of one or more microinstructions to unconditionally perform the operation when the prediction predicts the condition will be satisfied. The microprocessor also includes an execution pipeline that executes the no-operation microinstruction or the set of microinstructions provided by the instruction translator.

In another aspect, the present invention provides a method for processing conditional non-branch instructions by a microprocessor, wherein each conditional non-branch instruction specifies a condition, wherein each conditional non-branch instruction instructs the microprocessor to perform an operation if the condition is satisfied and to not perform the operation if the condition is not satisfied by condition flags of the microprocessor. The method includes providing a prediction about a conditional non-branch instruction. The method also includes translating the conditional non-branch instruction into a no-operation microinstruction when the prediction predicts the condition will not be satisfied. The method also includes translating the conditional non-branch instruction into a set of one or more microinstructions to unconditionally perform the operation when

the prediction predicts the condition will be satisfied. The method also includes executing the no-operation microinstruction or the set of microinstructions, wherein the executing is performed by a hardware execution pipeline of the microprocessor.

In yet another aspect, the present invention provides a computer program product encoded in at least one computer readable storage medium for use with a computing device, the computer program product comprising computer readable program code embodied in the medium for specifying a microprocessor that processes conditional non-branch instructions, wherein each conditional non-branch instruction specifies a condition, wherein each conditional non-branch instruction instructs the microprocessor to perform an operation if the condition is satisfied and to not perform the operation if the condition is not satisfied by condition flags of the microprocessor. The computer readable program code includes first program code for specifying a predictor, configured to provide a prediction about a conditional non-branch instruction. The computer readable program code also includes second program code for specifying an instruction translator, configured to translate the conditional non-branch instruction into a no-operation microinstruction when the prediction predicts the condition will not be satisfied and translate the conditional non-branch instruction into a set of one or more microinstructions to unconditionally perform the operation when the prediction predicts the condition will be satisfied. The computer readable program code also includes third program code for specifying an execution pipeline, configured to execute the no-operation microinstruction or the set of microinstructions provided by the instruction translator.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a block diagram illustrating a microprocessor that runs x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language programs according to the present invention.

FIG. 2 is a block diagram illustrating in more detail the hardware instruction translator of FIG. 1.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram illustrating in more detail the instruction formatter of FIG. 2.

FIG. 4 is a block diagram illustrating in more detail the execution pipeline of FIG. 1.

FIG. 5 is a block diagram illustrating in more detail the register file of FIG. 1.

FIG. 6 is a flowchart illustrating operation of the microprocessor of FIG. 1.

FIG. 7 is a block diagram illustrating a dual-core microprocessor according to the present invention.

FIG. 8 is a block diagram illustrating a microprocessor that runs x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language programs according to an alternate embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 9 is a block diagram illustrating in more detail portions of the microprocessor of FIG. 1.

FIG. 10 is a flowchart illustrating operation of the hardware instruction translator of FIG. 1 to translate conditional ALU instructions.

FIG. 11 is a flowchart illustrating operation of the execution units of FIG. 4 to execute a shift microinstruction.

FIG. 12 is a flowchart illustrating operation of the execution units of FIG. 4 to execute a conditional ALU microinstruction.

FIG. 13 is a flowchart illustrating operation of the execution units of FIG. 4 to execute a conditional move microinstruction.

FIGS. 14 through 20 are block diagrams illustrating operation of the execution pipeline 112 of FIG. 1 to execute a conditional ALU instruction of various types translated according to FIG. 10.

FIG. 21 is a flowchart illustrating operation of the hardware instruction translator of FIG. 1 to translate conditional ALU instructions that specify one of the source registers to be the same register as the destination register.

FIGS. 22 through 28 are block diagrams illustrating operation of the execution pipeline 112 of FIG. 1 to execute a conditional ALU instruction of various types translated according to FIG. 21.

FIG. 29 is a block diagram illustrating a microprocessor that makes predictions of non-conditional branch instructions according to the present invention.

FIG. 30 is a block diagram illustrating the translation of a conditional ALU instruction by the instruction translator of FIG. 29 according to the present invention.

FIG. 31 is a flowchart illustrating operation of the microprocessor of FIG. 29 to execute a conditional ALU instruction of FIG. 30 according to the present invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

### Glossary

An instruction set defines the mapping of a set of binary encoded values, which are machine language instructions, to operations the microprocessor performs. (Typically, machine language programs are encoded in binary, although other number systems may be employed, for example, the machine language programs of some older IBM computers were encoded in decimal although they were ultimately represented by collections of physical signals having voltages sensed as binary values.) Illustrative examples of the types of operations machine language instructions may instruct a microprocessor to perform are: add the operand in register 1 to the operand in register 2 and write the result to register 3, subtract the immediate operand specified in the instruction from the operand in memory location 0x12345678 and write the result to register 5, shift the value in register 6 by the number of bits specified in register 7, branch to the instruction 36 bytes after this instruction if the zero flag is set, load the value from memory location 0xABCD0000 into register 8. Thus, the instruction set defines the binary encoded value each machine language instruction must have to cause the microprocessor to perform the desired operation. It should be understood that the fact that the instruction set defines the mapping of binary values to microprocessor operations does not imply that a single binary value maps to a single microprocessor operation. More specifically, in some instruction sets, multiple binary values may map to the same microprocessor operation.

An instruction set architecture (ISA), in the context of a family of microprocessors, comprises: (1) an instruction set, (2) a set of resources (e.g., registers and modes for addressing memory) accessible by the instructions of the instruction set, and (3) a set of exceptions the microprocessor generates in response to processing the instructions of the instruction set (e.g., divide by zero, page fault, memory protection violation). Because a programmer, such as an assembler or compiler writer, who wants to generate a machine language program to run on a microprocessor family requires a definition of its ISA, the manufacturer of the microprocessor family typically defines the ISA in a programmer's manual. For example, at the time of its publication, the Intel 64 and IA-32 Architectures Software Developer's Manual, March 2009

(consisting of five volumes, namely Volume 1: Basic Architecture; Volume 2A: Instruction Set Reference, A-M; Volume 2B: Instruction Set Reference, N-Z; Volume 3A: System Programming Guide; and Volume 3B: System Programming Guide, Part 2), which is hereby incorporated by reference herein in its entirety for all purposes, defined the ISA of the Intel 64 and IA-32 processor architecture, which is commonly referred to as the x86 architecture and which is also referred to herein as x86, x86 ISA, x86 ISA family, x86 family or similar terms. For another example, at the time of its publication, the ARM Architecture Reference Manual, ARM v7-A and ARM v7-R edition Errata markup, 2010, which is hereby incorporated by reference herein in its entirety for all purposes, defined the ISA of the ARM processor architecture, which is also referred to herein as ARM, ARM ISA, ARM ISA family, ARM family or similar terms. Other examples of well-known ISA families are IBM System/360/370/390 and z/Architecture, DEC VAX, Motorola 68k, MIPS, SPARC, PowerPC, and DEC Alpha. The ISA definition covers a family of processors because over the life of the ISA processor family the manufacturer may enhance the ISA of the original processor in the family by, for example, adding new instructions to the instruction set and/or new registers to the architectural register set. To clarify by example, as the x86 ISA evolved it introduced in the Intel Pentium III processor family a set of 128-bit XMM registers as part of the SSE extensions, and x86 ISA machine language programs have been developed to utilize the XMM registers to increase performance, although x86 ISA machine language programs exist that do not utilize the XMM registers of the SSE extensions. Furthermore, other manufacturers have designed and manufactured microprocessors that run x86 ISA machine language programs. For example, Advanced Micro Devices (AMD) and VIA Technologies have added new features, such as the AMD 3DNow! SIMD vector processing instructions and the VIA Padlock Security Engine random number generator and advanced cryptography engine features, each of which are utilized by some x86 ISA machine language programs but which are not implemented in current Intel microprocessors. To clarify by another example, the ARM ISA originally defined the ARM instruction set state, having 4-byte instructions. However, the ARM ISA evolved to add, for example, the Thumb instruction set state with 2-byte instructions to increase code density and the Jazelle instruction set state to accelerate Java bytecode programs, and ARM ISA machine language programs have been developed to utilize some or all of the other ARM ISA instruction set states, although ARM ISA machine language programs exist that do not utilize the other ARM ISA instruction set states.

A machine language program of an ISA comprises a sequence of instructions of the ISA, i.e., a sequence of binary encoded values that the ISA instruction set maps to the sequence of operations the programmer desires the program to perform. Thus, an x86 ISA machine language program comprises a sequence of x86 ISA instructions; and an ARM ISA machine language program comprises a sequence of ARM ISA instructions. The machine language program instructions reside in memory and are fetched and performed by the microprocessor.

A hardware instruction translator comprises an arrangement of transistors that receives an ISA machine language instruction (e.g., an x86 ISA or ARM ISA machine language instruction) as input and responsively outputs one or more microinstructions directly to an execution pipeline of the microprocessor. The results of the execution of the one or more microinstructions by the execution pipeline are the results defined by the ISA instruction. Thus, the collective

execution of the one or more microinstructions by the execution pipeline “implements” the ISA instruction; that is, the collective execution by the execution pipeline of the implementing microinstructions output by the hardware instruction translator performs the operation specified by the ISA instruction on inputs specified by the ISA instruction to produce a result defined by the ISA instruction. Thus, the hardware instruction translator is said to “translate” the ISA instruction into the one or more implementing microinstructions. The present disclosure describes embodiments of a microprocessor that includes a hardware instruction translator that translates x86 ISA instructions and ARM ISA instructions into microinstructions. It should be understood that the hardware instruction translator is not necessarily capable of translating the entire set of instructions defined by the x86 programmer’s manual nor the ARM programmer’s manual but rather is capable of translating a subset of those instructions, just as the vast majority of x86 ISA and ARM ISA processors support only a subset of the instructions defined by their respective programmer’s manuals. More specifically, the subset of instructions defined by the x86 programmer’s manual that the hardware instruction translator translates does not necessarily correspond to any existing x86 ISA processor, and the subset of instructions defined by the ARM programmer’s manual that the hardware instruction translator translates does not necessarily correspond to any existing ARM ISA processor.

An execution pipeline is a sequence of stages in which each stage includes hardware logic and a hardware register for holding the output of the hardware logic for provision to the next stage in the sequence based on a clock signal of the microprocessor. The execution pipeline may include multiple such sequences of stages, i.e., multiple pipelines. The execution pipeline receives as input microinstructions and responsively performs the operations specified by the microinstructions to output results. The hardware logic of the various pipelines performs the operations specified by the microinstructions that may include, but are not limited to, arithmetic, logical, memory load/store, compare, test, and branch resolution, and performs the operations on data in formats that may include, but are not limited to, integer, floating point, character, BCD, and packed. The execution pipeline executes the microinstructions that implement an ISA instruction (e.g., x86 and ARM) to generate the result defined by the ISA instruction. The execution pipeline is distinct from the hardware instruction translator; more specifically, the hardware instruction translator generates the implementing microinstructions and the execution pipeline executes them; furthermore, the execution pipeline does not generate the implementing microinstructions.

An instruction cache is a random access memory device within a microprocessor into which the microprocessor places instructions of an ISA machine language program (such as x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language instructions) that were recently fetched from system memory and performed by the microprocessor in the course of running the ISA machine language program. More specifically, the ISA defines an instruction address register that holds the memory address of the next ISA instruction to be performed (defined by the x86 ISA as an instruction pointer (IP) and by the ARM ISA as a program counter (PC), for example), and the microprocessor updates the instruction address register contents as it runs the machine language program to control the flow of the program. The ISA instructions are cached for the purpose of subsequently fetching, based on the instruction address register contents, the ISA instructions more quickly from the instruction cache rather than from system memory the next

time the flow of the machine language program is such that the register holds the memory address of an ISA instruction present in the instruction cache. In particular, an instruction cache is accessed based on the memory address held in the instruction address register (e.g., IP or PC), rather than exclusively based on a memory address specified by a load or store instruction. Thus, a dedicated data cache that holds ISA instructions as data—such as may be present in the hardware portion of a system that employs a software translator—that is accessed exclusively based on a load/store address but not by an instruction address register value is not an instruction cache. Furthermore, a unified cache that caches both instructions and data, i.e., that is accessed based on an instruction address register value and on a load/store address, but not exclusively based on a load/store address, is intended to be included in the definition of an instruction cache for purposes of the present disclosure. In this context, a load instruction is an instruction that reads data from memory into the microprocessor, and a store instruction is an instruction that writes data to memory from the microprocessor.

A microinstruction set is the set of instructions (microinstructions) the execution pipeline of the microprocessor can execute.

#### Description of the Embodiments

The present disclosure describes embodiments of a microprocessor that is capable of running both x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language programs by hardware translating their respective x86 ISA and ARM ISA instructions into microinstructions that are directly executed by an execution pipeline of the microprocessor. The microinstructions are defined by a microinstruction set of the microarchitecture of the microprocessor distinct from both the x86 ISA and the ARM ISA. As the microprocessor embodiments described herein run x86 and ARM machine language programs, a hardware instruction translator of the microprocessor translates the x86 and ARM instructions into the microinstructions and provides them to the execution pipeline of the microprocessor that executes the microinstructions that implement the x86 and ARM instructions. Advantageously, the microprocessor potentially runs the x86 and ARM machine language programs faster than a system that employs a software translator since the implementing microinstructions are directly provided by the hardware instruction translator to the execution pipeline for execution, unlike a software translator-based system that stores the host instructions to memory before they can be executed by the execution pipeline.

Referring now to FIG. 1, a block diagram illustrating a microprocessor **100** that can run x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language programs according to the present invention is shown. The microprocessor **100** includes an instruction cache **102**; a hardware instruction translator **104** that receives x86 ISA instructions and ARM ISA instructions **124** from the instruction cache **102** and translates them into microinstructions **126**; an execution pipeline **112** that receives the implementing microinstructions **126** from the hardware instruction translator **104** executes them to generate microinstruction results **128** that are forwarded back as operands to the execution pipeline **112**; a register file **106** and a memory subsystem **108** that each provide operands to the execution pipeline **112** and receive the microinstruction results **128** therefrom; an instruction fetch unit and branch predictor **114** that provides a fetch address **134** to the instruction cache **102**; an ARM ISA-defined program counter (PC) register **116** and an x86 ISA-defined instruction pointer (IP) register **118** that are updated by the microinstruction results



## 11

128 and whose contents are provided to the instruction fetch unit and branch predictor 114; and configuration registers 122 that provide an instruction mode indicator 132 and an environment mode indicator 136 to the hardware instruction translator 104 and the instruction fetch unit and branch predictor 114 and that are updated by the microinstruction results 128.

As the microprocessor 100 performs x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language instructions, it fetches the instructions from system memory (not shown) into the microprocessor 100 according to the flow of the program. The microprocessor 100 caches the most recently fetched x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language instructions in the instruction cache 102. The instruction fetch unit 114 generates a fetch address 134 from which to fetch a block of x86 ISA or ARM ISA instruction bytes from system memory. The instruction cache 102 provides to the hardware instruction translator 104 the block of x86 ISA or ARM ISA instruction bytes 124 at the fetch address 134 if it hits in the instruction cache 102; otherwise, the ISA instructions 124 are fetched from system memory. The instruction fetch unit 114 generates the fetch address 134 based on the values in the ARM PC 116 and x86 IP 118. More specifically, the instruction fetch unit 114 maintains a fetch address in a fetch address register. Each time the instruction fetch unit 114 fetches a new block of ISA instruction bytes, it updates the fetch address by the size of the block and continues sequentially in this fashion until a control flow event occurs. The control flow events include the generation of an exception, the prediction by the branch predictor 114 that a taken branch was present in the fetched block, and an update by the execution pipeline 112 to the ARM PC 116 and x86 IP 118 in response to a taken executed branch instruction that was not predicted taken by the branch predictor 114. In response to a control flow event, the instruction fetch unit 114 updates the fetch address to the exception handler address, predicted target address, or executed target address, respectively. An embodiment is contemplated in which the instruction cache 102 is a unified cache in that it caches both ISA instructions 124 and data. It is noted that in the unified cache embodiments, although the unified cache may be accessed based on a load/store address to read/write data, when the microprocessor 100 fetches ISA instructions 124 from the unified cache, the unified cache is accessed based on the ARM PC 116 and x86 IP 118 values rather than a load/store address. The instruction cache 102 is a random access memory (RAM) device.

The instruction mode indicator 132 is state that indicates whether the microprocessor 100 is currently fetching, formatting/decoding, and translating x86 ISA or ARM ISA instructions 124 into microinstructions 126. Additionally, the execution pipeline 112 and memory subsystem 108 receive the instruction mode indicator 132 which affects the manner of executing the implementing microinstructions 126, albeit for a relatively small subset of the microinstruction set. The x86 IP register 118 holds the memory address of the next x86 ISA instruction 124 to be performed, and the ARM PC register 116 holds the memory address of the next ARM ISA instruction 124 to be performed. To control the flow of the program, the microprocessor 100 updates the x86 IP register 118 and ARM PC register 116 as the microprocessor 100 performs the x86 and ARM machine language programs, respectively, either to the next sequential instruction or to the target address of a branch instruction or to an exception handler address. As the microprocessor 100 performs instructions of x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language programs, it fetches the ISA instructions of the machine language programs from system memory and places them into the instruction cache 102

## 12

replacing less recently fetched and performed instructions. The fetch unit 114 generates the fetch address 134 based on the x86 IP register 118 or ARM PC register 116 value, depending upon whether the instruction mode indicator 132 indicates the microprocessor 100 is currently fetching ISA instructions 124 in x86 or ARM mode. In one embodiment, the x86 IP register 118 and the ARM PC register 116 are implemented as a shared hardware instruction address register that provides its contents to the instruction fetch unit and branch predictor 114 and that is updated by the execution pipeline 112 according to x86 or ARM semantics based on whether the instruction mode indicator 132 indicates x86 or ARM, respectively.

The environment mode indicator 136 is state that indicates whether the microprocessor 100 is to apply x86 ISA or ARM ISA semantics to various execution environment aspects of the microprocessor 100 operation, such as virtual memory, exceptions, cache control, and global execution-time protection. Thus, the instruction mode indicator 132 and environment mode indicator 136 together create multiple modes of execution. In a first mode in which the instruction mode indicator 132 and environment mode indicator 136 both indicate x86 ISA, the microprocessor 100 operates as a normal x86 ISA processor. In a second mode in which the instruction mode indicator 132 and environment mode indicator 136 both indicate ARM ISA, the microprocessor 100 operates as a normal ARM ISA processor. A third mode, in which the instruction mode indicator 132 indicates x86 ISA but the environment mode indicator 136 indicates ARM ISA, may advantageously be used to perform user mode x86 machine language programs under the control of an ARM operating system or hypervisor, for example; conversely, a fourth mode, in which the instruction mode indicator 132 indicates ARM ISA but the environment mode indicator 136 indicates x86 ISA, may advantageously be used to perform user mode ARM machine language programs under the control of an x86 operating system or hypervisor, for example. The instruction mode indicator 132 and environment mode indicator 136 values are initially determined at reset. In one embodiment, the initial values are encoded as microcode constants but may be modified by a blown configuration fuse and/or microcode patch. In another embodiment, the initial values are provided by an external input to the microprocessor 100. In one embodiment, the environment mode indicator 136 may only be changed after reset by a reset-to-ARM 124 or reset-to-x86 instruction 124 (described below with respect to FIG. 6); that is, the environment mode indicator 136 may not be changed during normal operation of the microprocessor 100 without resetting the microprocessor 100, either by a normal reset or by a reset-to-x86 or reset-to-ARM instruction 124.

The hardware instruction translator 104 receives as input the x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language instructions 124 and in response to each provides as output one or more microinstructions 126 that implement the x86 or ARM ISA instruction 124. The collective execution of the one or more implementing microinstructions 126 by the execution pipeline 112 implements the x86 or ARM ISA instruction 124. That is, the collective execution performs the operation specified by the x86 or ARM ISA instruction 124 on inputs specified by the x86 or ARM ISA instruction 124 to produce a result defined by the x86 or ARM ISA instruction 124. Thus, the hardware instruction translator 104 translates the x86 or ARM ISA instruction 124 into the one or more implementing microinstructions 126. The hardware instruction translator 104 comprises a collection of transistors arranged in a predetermined manner to translate the x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language instructions 124 into the implementing

microinstructions **126**. The hardware instruction translator **104** comprises Boolean logic gates (e.g., of simple instruction translator **204** of FIG. 2) that generate the implementing microinstructions **126**. In one embodiment, the hardware instruction translator **104** also comprises a microcode ROM (e.g., element **234** of the complex instruction translator **206** of FIG. 2) that the hardware instruction translator **104** employs to generate implementing microinstructions **126** for complex ISA instructions **124**, as described in more detail with respect to FIG. 2. Preferably, the hardware instruction translator **104** is not necessarily capable of translating the entire set of ISA instructions **124** defined by the x86 programmer's manual nor the ARM programmer's manual but rather is capable of translating a subset of those instructions. More specifically, the subset of ISA instructions **124** defined by the x86 programmer's manual that the hardware instruction translator **104** translates does not necessarily correspond to any existing x86 ISA processor developed by Intel, and the subset of ISA instructions **124** defined by the ARM programmer's manual that the hardware instruction translator **104** translates does not necessarily correspond to any existing ISA processor developed by ARM Ltd. The one or more implementing microinstructions **126** that implement an x86 or ARM ISA instruction **124** may be provided to the execution pipeline **112** by the hardware instruction translator **104** all at once or as a sequence. Advantageously, the hardware instruction translator **104** provides the implementing microinstructions **126** directly to the execution pipeline **112** for execution without requiring them to be stored to memory in between. In the embodiment of the microprocessor **100** of FIG. 1, as the microprocessor **100** runs an x86 or ARM machine language program, each time the microprocessor **100** performs an x86 or ARM instruction **124**, the hardware instruction translator **104** translates the x86 or ARM machine language instruction **124** into the implementing one or more microinstructions **126**. However, the embodiment of FIG. 8 employs a microinstruction cache to potentially avoid re-translation each time the microprocessor **100** performs an x86 or ARM ISA instruction **124**. Embodiments of the hardware instruction translator **104** are described in more detail with respect to FIG. 2.

The execution pipeline **112** executes the implementing microinstructions **126** provided by the hardware instruction translator **104**. Broadly speaking, the execution pipeline **112** is a general purpose high-speed microinstruction processor, and other portions of the microprocessor **100**, such as the hardware instruction translator **104**, perform the bulk of the x86/ARM-specific functions, although functions performed by the execution pipeline **112** with x86/ARM-specific knowledge are discussed herein. In one embodiment, the execution pipeline **112** performs register renaming, superscalar issue, and out-of-order execution of the implementing microinstructions **126** received from the hardware instruction translator **104**. The execution pipeline **112** is described in more detail with respect to FIG. 4.

The microarchitecture of the microprocessor **100** includes: (1) the microinstruction set; (2) a set of resources accessible by the microinstructions **126** of the microinstruction set, which is a superset of the x86 ISA and ARM ISA resources; and (3) a set of micro-exceptions the microprocessor **100** is defined to generate in response to executing the microinstructions **126**, which is a superset of the x86 ISA and ARM ISA exceptions. The microarchitecture is distinct from the x86 ISA and the ARM ISA. More specifically, the microinstruction set is distinct from the x86 ISA and ARM ISA instruction sets in several aspects. First, there is not a one-to-one correspondence between the set of operations that the microin-

structions of the microinstruction set may instruct the execution pipeline **112** to perform and the set of operations that the instructions of the x86 ISA and ARM ISA instruction sets may instruct the microprocessor to perform. Although many of the operations may be the same, there may be some operations specifiable by the microinstruction set that are not specifiable by the x86 ISA and/or the ARM ISA instruction sets; conversely, there may be some operations specifiable by the x86 ISA and/or the ARM ISA instruction sets that are not specifiable by the microinstruction set. Second, the microinstructions of the microinstruction set are encoded in a distinct manner from the manner in which the instructions of the x86 ISA and ARM ISA instruction sets are encoded. That is, although many of the same operations (e.g., add, shift, load, return) are specifiable by both the microinstruction set and the x86 ISA and ARM ISA instruction sets, there is not a one-to-one correspondence between the binary opcode value-to-operation mappings of the microinstruction set and the x86 or ARM ISA instruction sets. If there are binary opcode value-to-operation mappings that are the same in the microinstruction set and the x86 or ARM ISA instruction set, they are, generally speaking, by coincidence, and there is nevertheless not a one-to-one correspondence between them. Third, the fields of the microinstructions of the microinstruction set do not have a one-to-one correspondence with the fields of the instructions of the x86 or ARM ISA instruction set.

The microprocessor **100**, taken as a whole, can perform x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language program instructions. However, the execution pipeline **112** cannot execute x86 or ARM ISA machine language instructions themselves; rather, the execution pipeline **112** executes the implementing microinstructions **126** of the microinstruction set of the microarchitecture of the microprocessor **100** into which the x86 ISA and ARM ISA instructions are translated. However, although the microarchitecture is distinct from the x86 ISA and the ARM ISA, alternate embodiments are contemplated in which the microinstruction set and other microarchitecture-specific resources are exposed to the user; that is, in the alternate embodiments the microarchitecture may effectively be a third ISA, in addition to the x86 ISA and ARM ISA, whose machine language programs the microprocessor **100** can perform.

Table 1 below describes some of the fields of a microinstruction **126** of the microinstruction set according to one embodiment of the microprocessor **100**.

TABLE 1

Field	Description
opcode	operation to be performed (see instruction list below)
destination	specifies destination register of microinstruction result
source 1	specifies source of first input operand (e.g., general purpose register, floating point register, microarchitecture-specific register, condition flags register, immediate, displacement, useful constants, the next sequential instruction pointer value)
source 2	specifies source of second input operand
source 3	specifies source of third input operand (cannot be GPR or FPR)
condition code	condition upon which the operation will be performed if satisfied and not performed if not satisfied
operand size	encoded number of bytes of operands used by this microinstruction
address size	encoded number of bytes of address generated by this microinstruction
top of x87 FP register stack	needed for x87-style floating point instructions

Table 2 below describes some of the microinstructions in the microinstruction set according to one embodiment of the microprocessor **100**.

TABLE 2

Instruction	Description
ALU-type	e.g., add, subtract, rotate, shift, Boolean, multiply, divide, floating-point ALU, media-type ALU (e.g., packed operations)
load/store	load from memory into register/store to memory from register
conditional jump	jump to target address if condition is satisfied, e.g., zero, greater than, not equal; may specify either ISA flags or microarchitecture-specific (i.e., non-ISA visible) condition flags
move	move value from source register to destination register
conditional move	move value from source register to destination register if condition is satisfied
move to control register	move value from general purpose register to control register
move from control register	move value to general purpose register from control register
gpfetch	guaranteed cache line prefetch instruction (i.e., not a hint, always prefetches, unless certain exception conditions)
grabline	performs zero beat read-invalidate cycle on processor bus to obtain exclusive ownership of cache line without reading data from system memory (since it is known the entire cache line will be written)
load pram	load from PRAM (private microarchitecture-specific RAM, i.e., not visible to ISA, described more below) into register
store pram	store to PRAM
jump condition on/off	jump to target address if “static” condition is satisfied (within relevant timeframe, programmer guarantees there are no older, unretired microinstructions that may change the “static” condition); faster because resolved by complex instruction translator rather than execution pipeline
call	call subroutine
return	return from subroutine
set bit on/off	set/clear bit in register
copy bit	copy bit value from source register to destination register
branch to next sequential instruction	branch to next sequential x86 or ARM ISA instruction after the x86 or ARM ISA instruction from which this microinstruction was translated
pointer fence	wait until all microinstructions have drained from the execution pipeline to execute the microinstruction that comes after this microinstruction
indirect jump	unconditional jump through a register value

operands. The execution pipeline **112** writes its results **128** to the register file **106** and receives operands for the microinstructions **126** from the register file **106**. The hardware regis-

The microprocessor **100** also includes some microarchitecture-specific resources, such as microarchitecture-specific general purpose registers, media registers, and segment registers (e.g., used for register renaming or by microcode) and control registers that are not visible by the x86 or ARM ISA, and a private RAM (PRAM) described more below. Additionally, the microarchitecture can generate exceptions, referred to as micro-exceptions, that are not specified by and are not seen by the x86 or ARM ISA, typically to perform a replay of a microinstruction **126** and dependent microinstructions **126**, such as in the case of: a load miss in which the execution pipeline **112** assumes a load hit and replays the load microinstruction **126** if it misses; a TLB miss, to replay the microinstruction **126** after the page table walk and TLB fill; a floating point microinstruction **126** that received a denormal operand that was speculated to be normal that needs to be replayed after the execution pipeline **112** normalizes the operand; a load microinstruction **126** that was executed, but after which an older address-colliding store microinstruction **126** was detected, requiring the load microinstruction **126** to be replayed. It should be understood that the fields listed in Table 1, the microinstructions listed in Table 2, and the microarchitecture-specific resources and microarchitecture-specific exceptions just listed are merely given as examples to illustrate the microarchitecture and are by no means exhaustive.

The register file **106** includes hardware registers used by the microinstructions **126** to hold source and/or destination

ters instantiate the x86 ISA-defined and ARM ISA-defined registers. In one embodiment, many of the general purpose registers defined by the x86 ISA and the ARM ISA share some instances of registers of the register file **106**. For example, in one embodiment, the register file **106** instantiates fifteen 32-bit registers that are shared by the ARM ISA registers R0 through R14 and the x86 ISA EAX through R14D registers. Thus, for example, if a first microinstruction **126** writes a value to the ARM R2 register, then a subsequent second microinstruction **126** that reads the x86 ECX register will receive the same value written by the first microinstruction **126**, and vice versa. This advantageously enables x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language programs to communicate quickly through registers. For example, assume an ARM machine language program running under an ARM machine language operating system effects a change in the instruction mode **132** to x86 ISA and control transfer to an x86 machine language routine to perform a function, which may be advantageous because the x86 ISA may support certain instructions that can perform a particular operation faster than in the ARM ISA. The ARM program can provide needed data to the x86 routine in shared registers of the register file **106**. Conversely, the x86 routine can provide the results in shared registers of the register file **106** that will be visible to the ARM program upon return to it by the x86 routine. Similarly, an x86 machine language program running under an x86 machine language operating system may effect a change

in the instruction mode **132** to ARM ISA and control transfer to an ARM machine language routine; the x86 program can provide needed data to the ARM routine in shared registers of the register file **106**, and the ARM routine can provide the results in shared registers of the register file **106** that will be visible to the x86 program upon return to it by the ARM routine. A sixteenth 32-bit register that instantiates the x86 R15D register is not shared by the ARM R15 register since ARM R15 is the ARM PC register **116**, which is separately instantiated. Additionally, in one embodiment, the thirty-two 32-bit ARM VFPv3 floating-point registers share 32-bit portions of the x86 sixteen 128-bit XMM0 through XMM15 registers and the sixteen 128-bit Advanced SIMD ("Neon") registers. The register file **106** also instantiates flag registers (namely the x86 EFLAGS register and ARM condition flags register), and the various control and status registers defined by the x86 ISA and ARM ISA. The architectural control and status registers include x86 architectural model specific registers (MSRs) and ARM-reserved coprocessor (8-15) registers. The register file **106** also instantiates non-architectural registers, such as non-architectural general purpose registers used in register renaming and used by microcode **234**, as well as non-architectural x86 MSRs and implementation-defined, or vendor-specific, ARM coprocessor registers. The register file **106** is described further with respect to FIG. 5.

The memory subsystem **108** includes a cache memory hierarchy of cache memories (in one embodiment, a level-1 instruction cache **102**, level-1 data cache, and unified level-2 cache). The memory subsystem **108** also includes various memory request queues, e.g., load, store, fill, snoop, write-combine buffer. The memory subsystem **108** also includes a memory management unit (MMU) that includes translation lookaside buffers (TLBs), preferably separate instruction and data TLBs. The memory subsystem **108** also includes a table walk engine for obtaining virtual to physical address translations in response to a TLB miss. Although shown separately in FIG. 1, the instruction cache **102** is logically part of the memory subsystem **108**. The memory subsystem **108** is configured such that the x86 and ARM machine language programs share a common memory space, which advantageously enables x86 and ARM machine language programs to communicate easily through memory.

The memory subsystem **108** is aware of the instruction mode **132** and environment mode **136** which enables it to perform various operations in the appropriate ISA context. For example, the memory subsystem **108** performs certain memory access violation checks (e.g., limit violation checks) based on whether the instruction mode indicator **132** indicates x86 or ARM ISA. For another example, in response to a change of the environment mode indicator **136**, the memory subsystem **108** flushes the TLBs; however, the memory subsystem **108** does not flush the TLBs in response to a change of the instruction mode indicator **132**, thereby enabling better performance in the third and fourth modes described above in which one of the instruction mode indicator **132** and environment mode indicator **136** indicates x86 and the other indicates ARM. For another example, in response to a TLB miss, the table walk engine performs a page table walk to populate the TLB using either x86 page tables or ARM page tables depending upon whether the environment mode indicator **136** indicates x86 ISA or ARM ISA. For another example, the memory subsystem **108** examines the architectural state of the appropriate x86 ISA control registers that affect the cache policies (e.g., CR0 CD and NW bits) if the state indicator **136** indicates x86 ISA and examines the architectural state of the appropriate ARM ISA control registers (e.g., SCTLRL I and C bits) if the environment mode indicator **136** indicates ARM

ISA. For another example, the memory subsystem **108** examines the architectural state of the appropriate x86 ISA control registers that affect the memory management (e.g., CR0 PG bit) if the state indicator **136** indicates x86 ISA and examines the architectural state of the appropriate ARM ISA control registers (e.g., SCTLRL M bit) if the environment mode indicator **136** indicates ARM ISA. For another example, the memory subsystem **108** examines the architectural state of the appropriate x86 ISA control registers that affect the alignment checking (e.g., CR0 AM bit) if the state indicator **136** indicates x86 ISA and examines the architectural state of the appropriate ARM ISA control registers (e.g., SCTLRL A bit) if the environment mode indicator **136** indicates ARM ISA. For another example, the memory subsystem **108** (as well as the hardware instruction translator **104** for privileged instructions) examines the architectural state of the appropriate x86 ISA control registers that specify the current privilege level (CPL) if the state indicator **136** indicates x86 ISA and examines the architectural state of the appropriate ARM ISA control registers that indicate user or privileged mode if the environment mode indicator **136** indicates ARM ISA. However, in one embodiment, the x86 ISA and ARM ISA share control bits/registers of the microprocessor **100** that have analogous function, rather than the microprocessor **100** instantiating separate control bits/registers for each ISA.

Although shown separately, the configuration registers **122** may be considered part of the register file **106**. The configuration registers **122** include a global configuration register that controls operation of the microprocessor **100** in various aspects regarding the x86 ISA and ARM ISA, such as the ability to enable or disable various features. The global configuration register may be used to disable the ability of the microprocessor **100** to perform ARM ISA machine language programs, i.e., to make the microprocessor **100** an x86-only microprocessor **100**, including disabling other relevant ARM-specific capabilities such as the launch-x86 and reset-to-x86 instructions **124** and implementation-defined coprocessor registers described herein. The global configuration register may also be used to disable the ability of the microprocessor **100** to perform x86 ISA machine language programs, i.e., to make the microprocessor **100** an ARM-only microprocessor **100**, and to disable other relevant capabilities such as the launch-ARM and reset-to-ARM instructions **124** and new non-architectural MSRs described herein. In one embodiment, the microprocessor **100** is manufactured initially with default configuration settings, such as hardcoded values in the microcode **234**, which the microcode **234** uses at initialization time to configure the microprocessor **100**, namely to write the configuration registers **122**. However, some configuration registers **122** are set by hardware rather than by microcode **234**. Furthermore, the microprocessor **100** includes fuses, readable by the microcode **234**, which may be blown to modify the default configuration values. In one embodiment, microcode **234** reads the fuses and performs an exclusive-OR operation with the default value and the fuse value and uses the result to write to the configuration registers **122**. Still further, the modifying effect of the fuses may be reversed by a microcode **234** patch. The global configuration register may also be used, assuming the microprocessor **100** is configured to perform both x86 and ARM programs, to determine whether the microprocessor **100** (or a particular core **100** in a multi-core part, as described with respect to FIG. 7) will boot as an x86 or ARM microprocessor when reset, or in response to an x86-style INIT, as described in more detail below with respect to FIG. 6. The global configuration register also includes bits that provide initial default values for certain architectural control registers, for example, the ARM

19

ISA SCTLT and CPACR registers. In a multi-core embodiment, such as described with respect to FIG. 7, there exists a single global configuration register, although each core is individually configurable, for example, to boot as either an x86 or ARM core, i.e., with the instruction mode indicator **132** and environment mode indicator **136** both set to x86 or ARM, respectively; furthermore, the launch-ARM instruction **126** and launch-x86 instruction **126** may be used to dynamically switch between the x86 and ARM instruction modes **132**. In one embodiment, the global configuration register is readable via an x86 RDMSR instruction to a new non-architectural MSR and a portion of the control bits therein are writeable via an x86 WRMSR instruction to the new non-architectural MSR, and the global configuration register is readable via an ARM MRC/MRRC instruction to an ARM coprocessor register mapped to the new non-architectural MSR and the portion of the control bits therein are writeable via an ARM MCR/MCRR instruction to the ARM coprocessor register mapped to the new non-architectural MSR.

The configuration registers **122** also include various control registers that control operation of the microprocessor **100** in various aspects that are non-x86/ARM-specific, also referred to herein as global control registers, non-ISA control registers, non-x86/ARM control registers, generic control registers, and similar terms. In one embodiment, these control registers are accessible via both x86 RDMSR/WRMSR instructions to non-architectural MSRs and ARM MCR/MRC (or MCRR/MRRC) instructions to new implementation-defined coprocessor registers. For example, the microprocessor **100** includes non-x86/ARM-specific control registers that determine fine-grained cache control, i.e., finer-grained than provided by the x86 ISA and ARM ISA control registers.

In one embodiment, the microprocessor **100** provides ARM ISA machine language programs access to the x86 ISA MSRs via implementation-defined ARM ISA coprocessor registers that are mapped directly to the corresponding x86 MSRs. The MSR address is specified in the ARM ISA R1 register. The data is read from or written to the ARM ISA register specified by the MRC/MRRC/MCR/MCRR instruction. In one embodiment, a subset of the MSRs are password protected, i.e., the instruction attempting to access the MSR must provide a password; in this embodiment, the password is specified in the ARM R7:R6 registers. If the access would cause an x86 general protection fault, the microprocessor **100** causes an ARM ISA UND exception. In one embodiment, ARM coprocessor **4** (address: **0, 7, 15, 0**) is used to access the corresponding x86 MSRs.

The microprocessor **100** also includes an interrupt controller (not shown) coupled to the execution pipeline **112**. In one embodiment, the interrupt controller is an x86-style advanced programmable interrupt controller (APIC) that maps x86 ISA interrupts into ARM ISA interrupts. In one embodiment, the x86 INTR maps to an ARM IRQ Interrupt; the x86 NMI maps to an ARM IRQ Interrupt; the x86 INIT causes an INIT-reset sequence from which the microprocessor **100** started in whichever ISA (x86 or ARM) it originally started out of a hardware reset; the x86 SMI maps to an ARM FIQ Interrupt; and the x86 STPCLK, A20, Thermal, PREQ, and Rebranch are not mapped to ARM interrupts. ARM machine language programs are enabled to access the APIC functions via new implementation-defined ARM coprocessor registers. In one embodiment, the APIC register address is specified in the ARM R0 register, and the APIC register addresses are the same as the x86 addresses. In one embodiment, ARM coprocessor **6** (address: **0, 7, nn, 0**, where nn is 15 for accessing the

20

APIC, and 12-14 for accessing the bus interface unit to perform 8-bit, 16-bit, and 32-bit IN/OUT cycles on the processor bus) is used for privileged mode functions typically employed by operating systems. The microprocessor **100** also includes a bus interface unit (not shown), coupled to the memory subsystem **108** and execution pipeline **112**, for interfacing the microprocessor **100** to a processor bus. In one embodiment, the processor bus is conformant with one of the various Intel Pentium family microprocessor buses. ARM machine language programs are enabled to access the bus interface unit functions via new implementation-defined ARM coprocessor registers in order to generate I/O cycles on the processor bus, i.e., IN and OUT bus transfers to a specified address in I/O space, which are needed to communicate with a chipset of a system, e.g., to generate an SMI acknowledgement special cycle, or I/O cycles associated with C-state transitions. In one embodiment, the I/O address is specified in the ARM R0 register. In one embodiment, the microprocessor **100** also includes power management capabilities, such as the well-known P-state and C-state management. ARM machine language programs are enabled to perform power management via new implementation-defined ARM coprocessor registers. In one embodiment, the microprocessor **100** also includes an encryption unit (not shown) in the execution pipeline **112**. In one embodiment, the encryption unit is substantially similar to the encryption unit of VIA microprocessors that include the Padlock capability. ARM machine language programs are enabled to access the encryption unit functions, such as encryption instructions, via new implementation-defined ARM coprocessor registers. In one embodiment ARM coprocessor **5** is used for user mode functions typically employed by user mode application programs, such as those that may use the encryption unit feature.

As the microprocessor **100** runs x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language programs, the hardware instruction translator **104** performs the hardware translation each time the microprocessor **100** performs an x86 or ARM ISA instruction **124**. It is noted that, in contrast, a software translator-based system may be able to improve its performance by re-using a translation in many cases rather than re-translating a previously translated machine language instruction. Furthermore, the embodiment of FIG. 8 employs a microinstruction cache to potentially avoid re-translation each time the microprocessor **100** performs an x86 or ARM ISA instruction **124**. Each approach may have performance advantages depending upon the program characteristics and the particular circumstances in which the program is run.

The branch predictor **114** caches history information about previously performed both x86 and ARM branch instructions. The branch predictor **114** predicts the presence and target address of both x86 and ARM branch instructions **124** within a cache line as it is fetched from the instruction cache **102** based on the cached history. In one embodiment, the cached history includes the memory address of the branch instruction **124**, the branch target address, a direction (taken/not taken) indicator, type of branch instruction, start byte within the cache line of the branch instruction, and an indicator of whether the instruction wraps across multiple cache lines. In one embodiment, the branch predictor **114** is enhanced to predict the direction of ARM ISA conditional non-branch instructions, as described in U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/473,067, filed Apr. 7, 2011, entitled APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR USING BRANCH PREDICTION TO EFFICIENTLY EXECUTE CONDITIONAL NON-BRANCH INSTRUCTIONS. In one embodiment, the hardware instruction translator **104** also includes a static branch predictor that predicts a direction and branch target address for both x86 and

ARM branch instructions based on the opcode, condition code type, backward/forward, and so forth.

Various embodiments are contemplated that implement different combinations of features defined by the x86 ISA and ARM ISA. For example, in one embodiment, the microprocessor **100** implements the ARM, Thumb, ThumbEE, and Jazelle instruction set states, but provides a trivial implementation of the Jazelle extension; and implements the following instruction set extensions: Thumb-2, VFPv3-D32, Advanced SIMD ("Neon"), multiprocessing, and VMSA; and does not implement the following extensions: security extensions, fast context switch extension, ARM debug features (however, x86 debug functions are accessible by ARM programs via ARM MCR/MRC instructions to new implementation-defined coprocessor registers), performance monitoring counters (however, x86 performance counters are accessible by ARM programs via the new implementation-defined coprocessor registers). For another example, in one embodiment, the microprocessor **100** treats the ARM SETEND instruction as a NOP and only supports the Little-endian data format. For another example, in one embodiment, the microprocessor **100** does not implement the x86 SSE 4.2 capabilities.

Embodiments are contemplated in which the microprocessor **100** is an enhancement of a commercially available microprocessor, namely a VIA Nano™ Processor manufactured by VIA Technologies, Inc., of Taipei, Taiwan, which is capable of running x86 ISA machine language programs but not ARM ISA machine language programs. The Nano microprocessor includes a high performance register-renaming, superscalar instruction issue, out-of-order execution pipeline and a hardware translator that translates x86 ISA instructions into microinstructions for execution by the execution pipeline. The Nano hardware instruction translator may be substantially enhanced as described herein to translate ARM ISA machine language instructions, in addition to x86 machine language instructions, into the microinstructions executable by the execution pipeline. The enhancements to the hardware instruction translator may include enhancements to both the simple instruction translator and to the complex instruction translator, including the microcode. Additionally, new microinstructions may be added to the microinstruction set to support the translation of ARM ISA machine language instructions into the microinstructions, and the execution pipeline may be enhanced to execute the new microinstructions. Furthermore, the Nano register file and memory subsystem may be substantially enhanced as described herein to support the ARM ISA, including sharing of certain registers. The branch prediction units may also be enhanced as described herein to accommodate ARM branch instruction prediction in addition to x86 branches. Advantageously, a relatively modest amount of modification is required to the execution pipeline of the Nano microprocessor to accommodate the ARM ISA instructions since it is already largely ISA-agnostic. Enhancements to the execution pipeline may include the manner in which condition code flags are generated and used, the semantics used to update and report the instruction pointer register, the access privilege protection method, and various memory management-related functions, such as access violation checks, paging and TLB use, and cache policies, which are listed only as illustrative examples, and some of which are described more below. Finally, as mentioned above, various features defined in the x86 ISA and ARM ISA may not be supported in the Nano-enhancement embodiments, such as x86 SSE 4.2 and ARM security extensions, fast context switch extension, debug, and performance counter features, which are listed only as illustrative examples, and some of which are described more below. The enhancement of the

Nano processor to support running ARM ISA machine language programs is an example of an embodiment that makes synergistic use of design, testing, and manufacturing resources to potentially bring to market in a timely fashion a single integrated circuit design that can run both x86 and ARM machine language programs, which represent the vast majority of existing machine language programs. In particular, embodiments of the microprocessor **100** design described herein may be configured as an x86 microprocessor, an ARM microprocessor, or a microprocessor that can concurrently run both x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language programs. The ability to concurrently run both x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language programs may be achieved through dynamic switching between the x86 and ARM instruction modes **132** on a single microprocessor **100** (or core **100**—see FIG. 7), through configuring one or more cores **100** in a multi-core microprocessor **100** (as described with respect to FIG. 7) as an ARM core and one or more cores as an x86 core, or through a combination of the two, i.e., dynamic switching between the x86 and ARM instruction modes **132** on each of the multiple cores **100**. Furthermore, historically, ARM ISA cores have been designed as intellectual property cores to be incorporated into applications by various third-party vendors, such as SOC and/or embedded applications. Therefore, the ARM ISA does not specify a standardized processor bus to interface the ARM core to the rest of the system, such as a chipset or other peripheral devices. Advantageously, the Nano processor already includes a high speed x86-style processor bus interface to memory and peripherals and a memory coherency structure that may be employed synergistically by the microprocessor **100** to support running ARM ISA machine language programs in an x86 PC-style system environment.

Referring now to FIG. 2, a block diagram illustrating in more detail the hardware instruction translator **104** of FIG. 1 is shown. The hardware instruction translator **104** comprises hardware, more specifically a collection of transistors. The hardware instruction translator **104** includes an instruction formatter **202** that receives the instruction mode indicator **132** and the blocks of x86 ISA and ARM ISA instruction bytes **124** from the instruction cache **102** of FIG. 1 and outputs formatted x86 ISA and ARM ISA instructions **242**; a simple instruction translator (SIT) **204** that receives the instruction mode indicator **132** and environment mode indicator **136** and outputs implementing microinstructions **244** and a microcode address **252**; a complex instruction translator (CIT) **206** (also referred to as a microcode unit) that receives the microcode address **252** and the environment mode indicator **136** and provides implementing microinstructions **246**; and a mux **212** that receives microinstructions **244** from the simple instruction translator **204** on one input and that receives the microinstructions **246** from the complex instruction translator **206** on the other input and that provides the implementing microinstructions **126** to the execution pipeline **112** of FIG. 1. The instruction formatter **202** is described in more detail with respect to FIG. 3. The simple instruction translator **204** includes an x86 SIT **222** and an ARM SIT **224**. The complex instruction translator **206** includes a micro-program counter (micro-PC) **232** that receives the microcode address **252**, a microcode read only memory (ROM) **234** that receives a ROM address **254** from the micro-PC **232**, a microsequencer **236** that updates the micro-PC **232**, an instruction indirection register (IIR) **235**, and a microtranslator **237** that generates the implementing microinstructions **246** output by the complex instruction translator **206**. Both the implementing microinstructions **244** generated by the simple instruction translator **204** and the implementing microinstructions **246**

## 23

generated by the complex instruction translator 206 are microinstructions 126 of the microinstruction set of the microarchitecture of the microprocessor 100 and which are directly executable by the execution pipeline 112.

The mux 212 is controlled by a select input 248. Normally, the mux 212 selects the microinstructions from the simple instruction translator 204; however, when the simple instruction translator 204 encounters a complex x86 or ARM ISA instruction 242 and transfers control, or traps, to the complex instruction translator 206, the simple instruction translator 204 controls the select input 248 to cause the mux 212 to select microinstructions 246 from the complex instruction translator 206. When the RAT 402 (of FIG. 4) encounters a microinstruction 126 with a special bit set to indicate it is the last microinstruction 126 in the sequence implementing the complex ISA instruction 242, the RAT 402 controls the select input 248 to cause the mux 212 to return to selecting microinstructions 244 from the simple instruction translator 204. Additionally, the reorder buffer 422 controls the select input 248 to cause the mux 212 to select microinstructions 246 from the complex instruction translator 206 when the reorder buffer 422 (see FIG. 4) is ready to retire a microinstruction 126 whose status requires such, for example if the status indicates the microinstruction 126 has caused an exception condition.

The simple instruction translator 204 receives the ISA instructions 242 and decodes them as x86 ISA instructions if the instruction mode indicator 132 indicates x86 and decodes them as ARM ISA instructions if the instruction mode indicator 132 indicates ARM. The simple instruction translator 204 also determines whether the ISA instructions 242 are simple or complex ISA instructions. A simple ISA instruction 242 is one for which the simple instruction translator 204 can emit all the implementing microinstructions 126 that implement the ISA instruction 242; that is, the complex instruction translator 206 does not provide any of the implementing microinstructions 126 for a simple ISA instruction 124. In contrast, a complex ISA instruction 124 requires the complex instruction translator 206 to provide at least some, if not all, of the implementing microinstructions 126. In one embodiment, for a subset of the instructions 124 of the ARM and x86 ISA instruction sets, the simple instruction translator 204 emits a portion of the microinstructions 244 that implement the x86/ARM ISA instruction 126 and then transfers control to the complex instruction translator 206 which subsequently emits the remainder of the microinstructions 246 that implement the x86/ARM ISA instruction 126. The mux 212 is controlled to first provide the implementing microinstructions 244 from the simple instruction translator 204 as microinstructions 126 to the execution pipeline 112 and second to provide the implementing microinstructions 246 from the complex instruction translator 206 as microinstructions 126 to the execution pipeline 112. The simple instruction translator 204 knows the starting microcode ROM 234 address of the various microcode routines employed by the hardware instruction translator 104 to generate the implementing microinstructions 126 for various complex ISA instructions 124, and when the simple instruction translator 204 decodes a complex ISA instruction 242, it provides the relevant microcode routine address 252 to the micro-PC 232 of the complex instruction translator 206. The simple instruction translator 204 emits all the microinstructions 244 needed to implement a relatively large percentage of the instructions 124 of the ARM and x86 ISA instruction sets, particularly ISA instructions 124 that tend to be performed by x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language programs with a high frequency, and only a relatively small percentage requires the complex instruction

## 24

translator 206 to provide implementing microinstructions 246. According to one embodiment, examples of x86 instructions that are primarily implemented by the complex instruction translator 206 are the RDMSR/WRMSR, CPUID, complex mathematical instructions (e.g., FSQRT and transcendental instructions), and IRET instructions; and examples of ARM instructions that are primarily implemented by the complex instruction translator 206 are the MCR, MRC, MSR, MRS, SRS, and RFE instructions. The preceding list is by no means exhaustive, but provides an indication of the type of ISA instructions implemented by the complex instruction translator 206.

When the instruction mode indicator 132 indicates x86, the x86 SIT 222 decodes the x86 ISA instructions 242 and translates them into the implementing microinstructions 244; when the instruction mode indicator 132 indicates ARM, the ARM SIT 224 decodes the ARM ISA instructions 242 and translates them into the implementing microinstructions 244. In one embodiment, the simple instruction translator 204 is a block of Boolean logic gates synthesized using well-known synthesis tools. In one embodiment, the x86 SIT 222 and the ARM SIT 224 are separate blocks of Boolean logic gates; however, in another embodiment, the x86 SIT 222 and the ARM SIT 224 are a single block of Boolean logic gates. In one embodiment, the simple instruction translator 204 translates up to three ISA instructions 242 and provides up to six implementing microinstructions 244 to the execution pipeline 112 per clock cycle. In one embodiment, the simple instruction translator 204 comprises three sub-translators (not shown) that each translate a single formatted ISA instruction 242: the first sub-translator is capable of translating a formatted ISA instruction 242 that requires no more than three implementing microinstructions 126; the second sub-translator is capable of translating a formatted ISA instruction 242 that requires no more than two implementing microinstructions 126; and the third sub-translator is capable of translating a formatted ISA instruction 242 that requires no more than one implementing microinstruction 126. In one embodiment, the simple instruction translator 204 includes a hardware state machine that enables it to output multiple microinstructions 244 that implement an ISA instruction 242 over multiple clock cycles.

In one embodiment, the simple instruction translator 204 also performs various exception checks based on the instruction mode indicator 132 and/or environment mode indicator 136. For example, if the instruction mode indicator 132 indicates x86 and the x86 SIT 222 decodes an ISA instruction 124 that is invalid for the x86 ISA, then the simple instruction translator 204 generates an x86 invalid opcode exception; similarly, if the instruction mode indicator 132 indicates ARM and the ARM SIT 224 decodes an ISA instruction 124 that is invalid for the ARM ISA, then the simple instruction translator 204 generates an ARM undefined instruction exception. For another example, if the environment mode indicator 136 indicates the x86 ISA, then the simple instruction translator 204 checks to see whether each x86 ISA instruction 242 it encounters requires a particular privilege level and, if so, checks whether the CPL satisfies the required privilege level for the x86 ISA instruction 242 and generates an exception if not; similarly, if the environment mode indicator 136 indicates the ARM ISA, then the simple instruction translator 204 checks to see whether each formatted ARM ISA instruction 242 is a privileged mode instruction and, if so, checks whether the current mode is a privileged mode and generates an exception if the current mode is user mode. The complex instruction translator 206 performs a similar function for certain complex ISA instructions 242.

25

The complex instruction translator **206** outputs a sequence of implementing microinstructions **246** to the mux **212**. The microcode ROM **234** stores ROM instructions **247** of microcode routines. The microcode ROM **234** outputs the ROM instructions **247** in response to the address of the next ROM instruction **247** to be fetched from the microcode ROM **234**, which is held by the micro-PC **232**. Typically, the micro-PC **232** receives its initial value **252** from the simple instruction translator **204** in response to the simple instruction translator **204** decoding a complex ISA instruction **242**. In other cases, such as in response to a reset or exception, the micro-PC **232** receives the address of the reset microcode routine address or appropriate microcode exception handler address, respectively. The microsequencer **236** updates the micro-PC **232** normally by the size of a ROM instruction **247** to sequence through microcode routines and alternatively to a target address generated by the execution pipeline **112** in response to execution of a control type microinstruction **126**, such as a branch instruction, to effect branches to non-sequential locations in the microcode ROM **234**. The microcode ROM **234** is manufactured within the semiconductor die of the microprocessor **100**.

In addition to the microinstructions **244** that implement a simple ISA instruction **124** or a portion of a complex ISA instruction **124**, the simple instruction translator **204** also generates ISA instruction information **255** that is written to the instruction indirection register (IIR) **235**. The ISA instruction information **255** stored in the IIR **235** includes information about the ISA instruction **124** being translated, for example, information identifying the source and destination registers specified by the ISA instruction **124** and the form of the ISA instruction **124**, such as whether the ISA instruction **124** operates on an operand in memory or in an architectural register **106** of the microprocessor **100**. This enables the microcode routines to be generic, i.e., without having to have a different microcode routine for each different source and/or destination architectural register **106**. In particular, the simple instruction translator **204** is knowledgeable of the register file **106**, including which registers are shared registers **504**, and translates the register information provided in the x86 ISA and ARM ISA instructions **124** to the appropriate register in the register file **106** via the ISA instruction information **255**. The ISA instruction information **255** also includes a displacement field, an immediate field, a constant field, rename information for each source operand as well as for the microinstruction **126** itself, information to indicate the first and last microinstruction **126** in the sequence of microinstructions **126** that implement the ISA instruction **124**, and other bits of useful information gleaned from the decode of the ISA instruction **124** by the hardware instruction translator **104**.

The microtranslator **237** receives the ROM instructions **247** from the microcode ROM **234** and the contents of the IIR **235**. In response, the microtranslator **237** generates implementing microinstructions **246**. The microtranslator **237** translates certain ROM instructions **247** into different sequences of microinstructions **246** depending upon the information received from the IIR **235**, such as depending upon the form of the ISA instruction **124** and the source and/or destination architectural register **106** combinations specified by them. In many cases, much of the ISA instruction information **255** is merged with the ROM instruction **247** to generate the implementing microinstructions **246**. In one embodiment, each ROM instruction **247** is approximately 40 bits wide and each microinstruction **246** is approximately 200 bits wide. In one embodiment, the microtranslator **237** is capable of generating up to three microinstructions **246** from

26

a ROM instruction **247**. The microtranslator **237** comprises Boolean logic gates that generate the implementing microinstructions **246**.

An advantage provided by the microtranslator **237** is that the size of the microcode ROM **234** may be reduced since it does not need to store the ISA instruction information **255** provided by the IIR **235** since the simple instruction translator **204** generates the ISA instruction information **255**. Furthermore, the microcode ROM **234** routines may include fewer conditional branch instructions because it does not need to include a separate routine for each different ISA instruction form and for each source and/or destination architectural register **106** combination. For example, if the complex ISA instruction **124** is a memory form, the simple instruction translator **204** may generate a prolog of microinstructions **244** that includes microinstructions **244** to load the source operand from memory into a temporary register **106**, and the microtranslator **237** may generate a microinstruction **246** to store the result from the temporary register to memory; whereas, if the complex ISA instruction **124** is a register form, the prolog may move the source operand from the source register specified by the ISA instruction **124** to the temporary register **106**, and the microtranslator **237** may generate a microinstruction **246** to move the result from a temporary register to the architectural destination register **106** specified by the IIR **235**. In one embodiment, the microtranslator **237** is similar in many respects to the microtranslator **237** described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/766,244, filed on Apr. 23, 2010, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety for all purposes, but which is modified to translate ARM ISA instructions **124** in addition to x86 ISA instructions **124**.

It is noted that the micro-PC **232** is distinct from the ARM PC **116** and the x86 IP **118**; that is, the micro-PC **232** does not hold the address of ISA instructions **124**, and the addresses held in the micro-PC **232** are not within the system memory address space. It is further noted that the microinstructions **246** are produced by the hardware instruction translator **104** and provided directly to the execution pipeline **112** for execution rather than being results **128** of the execution pipeline **112**.

Referring now to FIG. 3, a block diagram illustrating in more detail the instruction formatter **202** of FIG. 2 is shown. The instruction formatter **202** receives a block of the x86 ISA and ARM ISA instruction bytes **124** from the instruction cache **102** of FIG. 1. By virtue of the variable length nature of x86 ISA instructions, an x86 instruction **124** may begin in any byte within a block of instruction bytes **124**. The task of determining the length and location of an x86 ISA instruction within a cache block is further complicated by the fact that the x86 ISA allows prefix bytes and the length may be affected by current address length and operand length default values. Furthermore, ARM ISA instructions are either 2-byte or 4-byte length instructions and are 2-byte or 4-byte aligned, depending upon the current ARM instruction set state **322** and the opcode of the ARM ISA instruction **124**. Therefore, the instruction formatter **202** extracts distinct x86 ISA and ARM ISA instructions from the stream of instruction bytes **124** made up of the blocks received from the instruction cache **102**. That is, the instruction formatter **202** formats the stream of x86 ISA and ARM ISA instruction bytes, which greatly simplifies the already difficult task of the simple instruction translator **204** of FIG. 2 to decode and translate the ISA instructions **124**.

The instruction formatter **202** includes a pre-decoder **302** that pre-decodes the instruction bytes **124** as x86 instruction bytes if the instruction mode indicator **132** indicates x86 and



pre-decodes the instruction bytes **124** as ARM instruction bytes if the instruction mode indicator **132** indicates ARM to generate pre-decode information. An instruction byte queue (IBQ) **304** receives the block of ISA instruction bytes **124** and associated pre-decode information generated by the pre-decoder **302**.

An array of length decoders and ripple logic **306** receives the contents of the bottom entry of the IBQ **304**, namely a block of ISA instruction bytes **124** and associated pre-decode information. The length decoders and ripple logic **306** also receives the instruction mode indicator **132** and the ARM ISA instruction set state **322**. In one embodiment, the ARM ISA instruction set state **322** comprises the J and T bits of the ARM ISA CPSR register. In response to its inputs, the length decoders and ripple logic **306** generates decode information including the length of x86 and ARM instructions in the block of ISA instruction bytes **124**, x86 prefix information, and indicators associated with each of the ISA instruction bytes **124** indicating whether the byte is the start byte of an ISA instruction **124**, the end byte of an ISA instruction **124**, and/or a valid byte of an ISA instruction **124**. A mux queue (MQ) **308** receives a block of the ISA instruction bytes **124**, its associated pre-decode information generated by the pre-decoder **302**, and the associated decode information generated by the length decoders and ripple logic **306**.

Control logic (not shown) examines the contents of the bottom MQ **308** entries and controls muxes **312** to extract distinct, or formatted, ISA instructions and associated pre-decode and decode information, which are provided to a formatted instruction queue (FIQ) **314**. The FIQ **314** buffers the formatted ISA instructions **242** and related information for provision to the simple instruction translator **204** of FIG. 2. In one embodiment, the muxes **312** extract up to three formatted ISA instructions and related information per clock cycle.

In one embodiment, the instruction formatter **202** is similar in many ways to the XIBQ, instruction formatter, and FIQ collectively as described in U.S. patent application Ser. Nos. 12/571,997; 12/572,002; 12/572,045; 12/572,024; 12/572,052; 12/572,058, each filed on Oct. 1, 2009, which are hereby incorporated by reference herein for all purposes. However, the XIBQ, instruction formatter, and FIQ of the above Patent Applications are modified to format ARM ISA instructions **124** in addition to x86 ISA instructions **124**. The length decoder **306** is modified to decode ARM ISA instructions **124** to generate their length and start, end, and valid byte indicators. In particular, if the instruction mode indicator **132** indicates ARM ISA, the length decoder **306** examines the current ARM instruction set state **322** and the opcode of the ARM ISA instruction **124** to determine whether the ARM instruction **124** is a 2-byte or 4-byte length instruction. In one embodiment, the length decoder **306** includes separate length decoders for generating the length of x86 ISA instructions **124** and for generating the length of ARM ISA instructions **124**, and tri-state outputs of the separate length decoders are wire-ORed together for provision to the ripple logic **306**. In one embodiment, the formatted instruction queue (FIQ) **314** comprises separate queues for holding separate portions of the formatted instructions **242**. In one embodiment, the instruction formatter **202** provides the simple instruction translator **204** up to three formatted ISA instructions **242** per clock cycle.

Referring now to FIG. 4, a block diagram illustrating in more detail the execution pipeline **112** of FIG. 1 is shown. The execution pipeline **112** is coupled to receive the implementing microinstructions **126** directly from the hardware instruction translator **104** of FIG. 2. The execution pipeline **112**

includes a microinstruction queue **401** that receives the microinstructions **126**; a register allocation table (RAT) **402** that receives the microinstructions from the microinstruction queue **401**; an instruction dispatcher **404** coupled to the RAT **402**; reservation stations **406** coupled to the instruction dispatcher **404**; an instruction issue unit **408** coupled to the reservation stations **406**; a reorder buffer (ROB) **422** coupled to the RAT **402**, instruction dispatcher **404**, and reservation stations **406**; and execution units **424** coupled to the reservation stations **406**, instruction issue unit **408**, and ROB **422**. The RAT **402** and execution units **424** receive the instruction mode indicator **132**.

The microinstruction queue **401** operates as a buffer in circumstances where the rate at which the hardware instruction translator **104** generates the implementing microinstructions **126** differs from the rate at which the execution pipeline **112** executes them. In one embodiment, the microinstruction queue **401** comprises an M-to-N compressible microinstruction queue that enables the execution pipeline **112** to receive up to M (in one embodiment M is six) microinstructions **126** from the hardware instruction translator **104** in a given clock cycle and yet store the received microinstructions **126** in an N-wide queue (in one embodiment N is three) structure in order to provide up to N microinstructions **126** per clock cycle to the RAT **402**, which is capable of processing up to N microinstructions **126** per clock cycle. The microinstruction queue **401** is compressible in that it does not leave holes among the entries of the queue, but instead sequentially fills empty entries of the queue with the microinstructions **126** as they are received from the hardware instruction translator **104** regardless of the particular clock cycles in which the microinstructions **126** are received. This advantageously enables high utilization of the execution units **424** (of FIG. 4) in order to achieve high instruction throughput while providing advantages over a non-compressible M-wide or N-wide instruction queue. More specifically, a non-compressible N-wide queue would require the hardware instruction translator **104**, in particular the simple instruction translator **204**, to re-translate in a subsequent clock cycle one or more ISA instructions **124** that it already translated in a previous clock cycle because the non-compressible N-wide queue could not receive more than N microinstructions **126** per clock cycle, and the re-translation wastes power; whereas, a non-compressible M-wide queue, although not requiring the simple instruction translator **204** to re-translate, would create holes among the queue entries, which is wasteful and would require more rows of entries and thus a larger and more power-consuming queue in order to accomplish comparable buffering capability.

The RAT **402** receives the microinstructions **126** from the microinstruction queue **401** and generates dependency information regarding the pending microinstructions **126** within the microprocessor **100** and performs register renaming to increase the microinstruction parallelism to take advantage of the superscalar, out-of-order execution ability of the execution pipeline **112**. If the ISA instructions **124** indicates x86, then the RAT **402** generates the dependency information and performs the register renaming with respect to the x86 ISA registers **106** of the microprocessor **100**; whereas, if the ISA instructions **124** indicates ARM, then the RAT **402** generates the dependency information and performs the register renaming with respect to the ARM ISA registers **106** of the microprocessor **100**; however, as mentioned above, some of the registers **106** may be shared by the x86 ISA and ARM ISA. The RAT **402** also allocates an entry in the ROB **422** for each microinstruction **126** in program order so that the ROB **422** can retire the microinstructions **126** and their associated x86

ISA and ARM ISA instructions **124** in program order, even though the microinstructions **126** may execute out of program order with respect to the x86 ISA and ARM ISA instructions **124** they implement. The ROB **422** comprises a circular queue of entries, each for storing information related to a pending microinstruction **126**. The information includes, among other things, microinstruction **126** execution status, a tag that identifies the x86 or ARM ISA instruction **124** from which the microinstruction **126** was translated, and storage for storing the results of the microinstruction **126**.

The instruction dispatcher **404** receives the register-renamed microinstructions **126** and dependency information from the RAT **402** and, based on the type of instruction and availability of the execution units **424**, dispatches the microinstructions **126** and their associated dependency information to the reservation station **406** associated with the appropriate execution unit **424** that will execute the microinstruction **126**.

The instruction issue unit **408**, for each microinstruction **126** waiting in a reservation station **406**, detects that the associated execution unit **424** is available and the dependencies are satisfied (e.g., the source operands are available) and issues the microinstruction **126** to the execution unit **424** for execution. As mentioned, the instruction issue unit **408** can issue the microinstructions **126** for execution out of program order and in a superscalar fashion.

In one embodiment, the execution units **424** include integer/branch units **412**, media units **414**, load/store units **416**, and floating point units **418**. The execution units **424** execute the microinstructions **126** to generate results **128** that are provided to the ROB **422**. Although the execution units **424** are largely agnostic of whether the microinstructions **126** they are executing were translated from an x86 or ARM ISA instruction **124**, the execution units **424** use the instruction mode indicator **132** and environment mode indicator **136** to execute a relatively small subset of the microinstructions **126**. For example, the execution pipeline **112** handles the generation of flags slightly differently based on whether the instruction mode indicator **132** indicates the x86 ISA or the ARM ISA and updates the x86 EFLAGS register or ARM condition code flags in the PSR depending upon whether the instruction mode indicator **132** indicates the x86 ISA or the ARM ISA. For another example, the execution pipeline **112** samples the instruction mode indicator **132** to decide whether to update the x86 IP **118** or the ARM PC **116**, or common instruction address register, and whether to use x86 or ARM semantics to do so. Once a microinstruction **126** becomes the oldest completed microinstruction **126** in the microprocessor **100** (i.e., at the head of the ROB **422** queue and having a completed status) and all other microinstructions **126** that implement the associated ISA instruction **124** are complete, the ROB **422** retires the ISA instruction **124** and frees up the entries associated with the implementing microinstructions **126**. In one embodiment, the microprocessor **100** can retire up to three ISA instructions **124** per clock cycle. Advantageously, the execution pipeline **112** is a high performance, general purpose execution engine that executes microinstructions **126** of the microarchitecture of the microprocessor **100** that supports both x86 ISA and ARM ISA instructions **124**.

Referring now to FIG. 5, a block diagram illustrating in more detail the register file **106** of FIG. 1 is shown. Preferably register file **106** is implemented as separate physical blocks of registers. In one embodiment, the general purpose registers are implemented in one physical register file having a plurality of read ports and write ports; whereas, other registers may be physically located apart from the general purpose register file and proximate functional blocks which access them and may have fewer read/write ports than the general purpose

register file. In one embodiment, some of the non-general purpose registers, particularly those that do not directly control hardware of the microprocessor **100** but simply store values used by microcode **234** (e.g., some x86 MSR or ARM coprocessor registers), are implemented in a private random access memory (PRAM) accessible by the microcode **234** but invisible to the x86 ISA and ARM ISA programmer, i.e., not within the ISA system memory address space.

Broadly speaking, the register file **106** is separated logically into three categories, as shown in FIG. 5, namely the ARM-specific registers **502**, the x86-specific register **504**, and the shared registers **506**. In one embodiment, the shared registers **506** include fifteen 32-bit registers that are shared by the ARM ISA registers R0 through R14 and the x86 ISA EAX through R14D registers as well as sixteen 128-bit registers shared by the x86 ISA XMM0 through XMM15 registers and the ARM ISA Advanced SIMD (Neon) registers, a portion of which are also overlapped by the thirty-two 32-bit ARM VFPv3 floating-point registers. As mentioned above with respect to FIG. 1, the sharing of the general purpose registers implies that a value written to a shared register by an x86 ISA instruction **124** will be seen by an ARM ISA instruction **124** that subsequently reads the shared register, and vice versa. This advantageously enables x86 ISA and ARM ISA routines to communicate with one another through registers. Additionally, as mentioned above, certain bits of architectural control registers of the x86 ISA and ARM ISA are also instantiated as shared registers **506**. As mentioned above, in one embodiment, the x86 MSRs may be accessed by ARM ISA instructions **124** via an implementation-defined coprocessor register, and are thus shared by the x86 ISA and ARM ISA. The shared registers **506** may also include non-architectural registers, for example non-architectural equivalents of the condition flags, that are also renamed by the RAT **402**. The hardware instruction translator **104** is aware of which registers are shared by the x86 ISA and ARM ISA so that it may generate the implementing microinstructions **126** that access the correct registers.

The ARM-specific registers **502** include the other registers defined by the ARM ISA that are not included in the shared registers **506**, and the x86-specific registers **504** include the other registers defined by the x86 ISA that are not included in the shared registers **506**. Examples of the ARM-specific registers **502** include the ARM PC **116**, CPSR, SCTRL, FPSCR, CPACR, coprocessor registers, banked general purpose registers and SPSRs of the various exception modes, and so forth. The foregoing is not intended as an exhaustive list of the ARM-specific registers **502**, but is merely provided as an illustrative example. Examples of the x86-specific registers **504** include the x86 EIP **118**, EFLAGS, R15D, upper 32 bits of the 64-bit R0-R15 registers (i.e., the portion not in the shared registers **506**), segment registers (SS, CS, DS, ES, FS, GS), x87 FPU registers, MMX registers, control registers (e.g., CR0-CR3, CR8), and so forth. The foregoing is not intended as an exhaustive list of the x86-specific registers **504**, but is merely provided as an illustrative example.

In one embodiment, the microprocessor **100** includes new implementation-defined ARM coprocessor registers that may be accessed when the instruction mode indicator **132** indicates the ARM ISA in order to perform x86 ISA-related operations, including but not limited to: the ability to reset the microprocessor **100** to an x86 ISA processor (reset-to-x86 instruction); the ability to initialize the x86-specific state of the microprocessor **100**, switch the instruction mode indicator **132** to x86, and begin fetching x86 instructions **124** at a specified x86 target address (launch-x86 instruction); the ability to access the global configuration register discussed

31

above; the ability to access x86-specific registers (e.g., EFLAGS), in which the x86 register to be accessed is identified in the ARM R0 register, power management (e.g., P-state and C-state transitions), processor bus functions (e.g., I/O cycles), interrupt controller access, and encryption acceleration functionality access, as discussed above. Furthermore, in one embodiment, the microprocessor **100** includes new x86 non-architectural MSRs that may be accessed when the instruction mode indicator **132** indicates the x86 ISA in order to perform ARM ISA-related operations, including but not limited to: the ability to reset the microprocessor **100** to an ARM ISA processor (reset-to-ARM instruction); the ability to initialize the ARM-specific state of the microprocessor **100**, switch the instruction mode indicator **132** to ARM, and begin fetching ARM instructions **124** at a specified ARM target address (launch-ARM instruction); the ability to access the global configuration register discussed above; the ability to access ARM-specific registers (e.g., the CPSR), in which the ARM register to be accessed is identified in the EAX register.

Referring now to FIG. 6, comprising FIGS. 6A and 6B, a flowchart illustrating operation of the microprocessor **100** of FIG. 1 is shown. Flow begins at block **602**.

At block **602**, the microprocessor **100** is reset. The reset may be signaled on the reset input to the microprocessor **100**. Additionally, in an embodiment in which the processor bus is an x86 style processor bus, the reset may be signaled by an x86-style INIT. In response to the reset, the reset routines in the microcode **234** are invoked. The reset microcode: (1) initializes the x86-specific state **504** to the default values specified by the x86 ISA; (2) initializes the ARM-specific state **502** to the default values specified by the ARM ISA; (3) initializes the non-ISA-specific state of the microprocessor **100** to the default values specified by the microprocessor **100** manufacturer; (4) initializes the shared ISA state **506**, e.g., the GPRs, to the default values specified by the x86 ISA; and (5) sets the instruction mode indicator **132** and environment mode indicator **136** to indicate the x86 ISA. In an alternate embodiment, instead of actions (4) and (5) above, the reset microcode initializes the shared ISA state **506** to the default values specified by the ARM ISA and sets the instruction mode indicator **132** and environment mode indicator **136** to indicate the ARM ISA. In such an embodiment, the actions at blocks **638** and **642** would not need to be performed, and before block **614** the reset microcode would initialize the shared ISA state **506** to the default values specified by the x86 ISA and set the instruction mode indicator **132** and environment mode indicator **136** to indicate the x86 ISA. Flow proceeds to block **604**.

At block **604**, the reset microcode determines whether the microprocessor **100** is configured to boot as an x86 processor or as an ARM processor. In one embodiment, as described above, the default ISA boot mode is hardcoded in microcode but may be modified by blowing a configuration fuse and/or by a microcode patch. In another embodiment, the default ISA boot mode is provided as an external input to the microprocessor **100**, such as an external input pin. Flow proceeds to decision block **606**. At decision block **606**, if the default ISA boot mode is x86, flow proceeds to block **614**; whereas, if the default ISA boot mode is ARM, flow proceeds to block **638**.

At block **614**, the reset microcode causes the microprocessor **100** to begin fetching x86 instructions **124** at the reset vector address specified by the x86 ISA. Flow proceeds to block **616**.

32

At block **616**, the x86 system software, e.g., BIOS, configures the microprocessor **100** using, for example, x86 ISA RDMSR and WRMSR instructions **124**. Flow proceeds to block **618**.

At block **618**, the x86 system software does a reset-to-ARM instruction **124**. The reset-to-ARM instruction causes the microprocessor **100** to reset and to come out of the reset as an ARM processor. However, because no x86-specific state **504** and no non-ISA-specific configuration state is changed by the reset-to-ARM instruction **126**, it advantageously enables x86 system firmware to perform the initial configuration of the microprocessor **100** and then reboot the microprocessor **100** as an ARM processor while keeping intact the non-ARM configuration of the microprocessor **100** performed by the x86 system software. This enables "thin" micro-boot code to boot an ARM operating system without requiring the micro-boot code to know the complexities of how to configure the microprocessor **100**. In one embodiment, the reset-to-ARM instruction is an x86 WRMSR instruction to a new non-architectural MSR. Flow proceeds to block **622**.

At block **622**, the simple instruction translator **204** traps to the reset microcode in response to the complex reset-to-ARM instruction **124**. The reset microcode initializes the ARM-specific state **502** to the default values specified by the ARM ISA. However, the reset microcode does not modify the non-ISA-specific state of the microprocessor **100**, which advantageously preserves the configuration performed at block **616**. Additionally, the reset microcode initializes the shared ISA state **506** to the default values specified by the ARM ISA. Finally, the reset microcode sets the instruction mode indicator **132** and environment mode indicator **136** to indicate the ARM ISA. Flow proceeds to block **624**.

At block **624**, the reset microcode causes the microprocessor **100** to begin fetching ARM instructions **124** at the address specified in the x86 ISA EDX:EAX registers. Flow ends at block **624**.

At block **638**, the reset microcode initializes the shared ISA state **506**, e.g., the GPRs, to the default values specified by the ARM ISA. Flow proceeds to block **642**.

At block **642**, the reset microcode sets the instruction mode indicator **132** and environment mode indicator **136** to indicate the ARM ISA. Flow proceeds to block **644**.

At block **644**, the reset microcode causes the microprocessor **100** to begin fetching ARM instructions **124** at the reset vector address specified by the ARM ISA. The ARM ISA defines two reset vector addresses selected by an input. In one embodiment, the microprocessor **100** includes an external input to select between the two ARM ISA-defined reset vector addresses. In another embodiment, the microcode **234** includes a default selection between the two ARM ISA-defined reset vector addresses, which may be modified by a blown fuse and/or microcode patch. Flow proceeds to block **646**.

At block **646**, the ARM system software configures the microprocessor **100** using, for example, ARM ISA MCR and MRC instructions **124**. Flow proceeds to block **648**.

At block **648**, the ARM system software does a reset-to-x86 instruction **124**. The reset-to-x86 instruction causes the microprocessor **100** to reset and to come out of the reset as an x86 processor. However, because no ARM-specific state **502** and no non-ISA-specific configuration state is changed by the reset-to-x86 instruction **126**, it advantageously enables ARM system firmware to perform the initial configuration of the microprocessor **100** and then reboot the microprocessor **100** as an x86 processor while keeping intact the non-x86 configuration of the microprocessor **100** performed by the ARM

33

system software. This enables “thin” micro-boot code to boot an x86 operating system without requiring the micro-boot code to know the complexities of how to configure the microprocessor 100. In one embodiment, the reset-to-x86 instruction is an ARM MRC/MRCC instruction to a new implementation-defined coprocessor register. Flow proceeds to block 652.

At block 652, the simple instruction translator 204 traps to the reset microcode in response to the complex reset-to-x86 instruction 124. The reset microcode initializes the x86-specific state 504 to the default values specified by the x86 ISA. However, the reset microcode does not modify the non-ISA-specific state of the microprocessor 100, which advantageously preserves the configuration performed at block 646. Additionally, the reset microcode initializes the shared ISA state 506 to the default values specified by the x86 ISA. Finally, the reset microcode sets the instruction mode indicator 132 and environment mode indicator 136 to indicate the x86 ISA. Flow proceeds to block 654.

At block 654, the reset microcode causes the microprocessor 100 to begin fetching x86 instructions 124 at the address specified in the ARM ISA R1:R0 registers. Flow ends at block 654.

Referring now to FIG. 7, a block diagram illustrating a dual-core microprocessor 700 according to the present invention is shown. The dual-core microprocessor 700 includes two processing cores 100 in which each core 100 includes the elements of the microprocessor 100 of FIG. 1 such that it can perform both x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language programs. The cores 100 may be configured such that both cores 100 are running x86 ISA programs, both cores 100 are running ARM ISA programs, or one core 100 is running x86 ISA programs while the other core 100 is running ARM ISA programs, and the mix between these three configurations may change dynamically during operation of the microprocessor 700. As discussed above with respect to FIG. 6, each core 100 has a default value for its instruction mode indicator 132 and environment mode indicator 136, which may be inverted by a fuse and/or microcode patch, such that each core 100 may individually come out of reset as an x86 or an ARM processor. Although the embodiment of FIG. 7 includes two cores 100, in other embodiments the microprocessor 700 includes more than two cores 100, each capable of running both x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language programs.

Referring now to FIG. 8, a block diagram illustrating a microprocessor 100 that can perform x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language programs according to an alternate embodiment of the present invention is shown. The microprocessor 100 of FIG. 8 is similar to the microprocessor 100 of FIG. 1 and like-numbered elements are similar. However, the microprocessor 100 of FIG. 8 also includes a microinstruction cache 892. The microinstruction cache 892 caches microinstructions 126 generated by the hardware instruction translator 104 that are provided directly to the execution pipeline 112. The microinstruction cache 892 is indexed by the fetch address 134 generated by the instruction fetch unit 114. If the fetch address 134 hits in the microinstruction cache 892, then a mux (not shown) within the execution pipeline 112 selects the microinstructions 126 from the microinstruction cache 892 rather than from the hardware instruction translator 104; otherwise, the mux selects the microinstructions 126 provided directly from the hardware instruction translator 104. The operation of a microinstruction cache, also commonly referred to as a trace cache, is well-known in the art of microprocessor design. An advantage provided by the microinstruction cache 892 is that the time required to fetch the microinstructions 126 from the microinstruction

34

cache 892 is typically less than the time required to fetch the ISA instructions 124 from the instruction cache 102 and translate them into the microinstructions 126 by the hardware instruction translator 104. In the embodiment of FIG. 8, as the microprocessor 100 runs an x86 or ARM ISA machine language program, the hardware instruction translator 104 may not need to perform the hardware translation each time it performs an x86 or ARM ISA instruction 124, namely if the implementing microinstructions 126 are already present in the microinstruction cache 892.

Advantageously, embodiments of a microprocessor are described herein that can run both x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language programs by including a hardware instruction translator that translates both x86 ISA and ARM ISA instructions into microinstructions of a microinstruction set distinct from the x86 ISA and ARM ISA instruction sets, which microinstructions are executable by a common execution pipeline of the microprocessor to which the implementing microinstructions are provided. An advantage of embodiments of the microprocessor described herein is that, by synergistically utilizing the largely ISA-agnostic execution pipeline to execute microinstructions that are hardware translated from both x86 ISA and ARM ISA instructions, the design and manufacture of the microprocessor may require fewer resources than two separately designed and manufactured microprocessors, i.e., one that can perform x86 ISA machine language programs and one that can perform ARM ISA machine language programs. Additionally, embodiments of the microprocessor, particularly those which employ a superscalar out-of-order execution pipeline, potentially provide a higher performance ARM ISA processor than currently exists. Furthermore, embodiments of the microprocessor potentially provide higher x86 and ARM performance than a system that employs a software translator. Finally, the microprocessor may be included in a system on which both x86 and ARM machine language programs can be run concurrently with high performance due to its ability to concurrently run both x86 ISA and ARM ISA machine language programs.

#### Conditional ALU Instructions

It may be desirable for a microprocessor to include in its instruction set the ability for instructions to be conditionally executed. That is, the instruction may specify a condition (e.g., zero, or negative, or greater than) which if satisfied by condition flags is executed by the microprocessor and which if not satisfied by condition flags is not executed. As mentioned above, the ARM ISA provides this capability, not just for branch instructions, but for a large portion of the instructions of its instruction set. The conditionally executed instructions may specify source operands supplied from general purpose registers to generate a result to be written to a general purpose destination register. U.S. Pat. No. 7,647,480, whose assignee is ARM Limited, of Cambridge, Great Britain, describes a data processing apparatus that handles conditional instructions. Generally speaking, a pipelined processing unit executes a conditional instruction to produce a result data value which represents either the result of the computation specified by the conditional instruction if the condition is satisfied, or the current data value stored in the destination register if the condition is not satisfied. Two possible solutions for accomplishing this are described.

In the first solution, each conditional instruction in the instruction set is constrained to specify a register that is both a source register and a destination register. This way, the conditional instruction only consumes two read ports of the register file, namely to supply the current destination register value as a source operand and to supply the other source operand. Thus, the first solution reduces the minimum num-

35

ber of register file read ports required to support execution of the conditional instruction by the pipelined processing unit.

A second solution removes the constraint of the first solution such that conditional instructions could specify separately the destination register and the source registers. The second solution requires an additional read port on the register file in order to be able to read the required operand data values (i.e., the source operands and the destination operand from the register file) for the conditional instruction in a single cycle. The first solution rather than the second solution is the subject of the invention of U.S. Pat. No. 7,647,480 because the second solution has the disadvantages of not only requiring the cost of an additional read port for the register file, but also requires a greater number of bits to specify the conditional instruction and increased complexity of the data path. More specifically, the data path would need logic to be provided for the three input paths from the register file and would potentially need forwarding logic to couple into each of the three paths.

Advantageously, embodiments are described herein that enable the conditional instructions to specify source operand registers that are distinct from the destination register and which does not require an additional read port on the register file. Generally speaking, according to embodiments described herein, the hardware instruction translator **104** of the microprocessor **100** of FIG. **1** translates a conditionally executed ISA instruction **124** into a sequence of one or more microinstructions **126** for execution by the execution pipeline **112**. The execution unit **424** that executes the last microinstruction **126** of the sequence receives the original value of the destination register specified by the conditional instruction **124** along with a means to determine whether the condition is satisfied. A previous microinstruction **126**, or the last microinstruction **126** itself, performs an operation on the source operands to generate a result. If the condition is not satisfied, the execution unit **424** that executes the last microinstruction **126** of the sequence writes the original value back to the destination register rather than writing the result value to the destination register.

As used herein, a conditional ALU instruction is an ISA instruction **124** that instructs the microprocessor **100** to perform an arithmetic or logical operation (ALU operation) on one or more source operands to generate a result and to write the result to a destination register. Other types of conditional instructions **124** may be supported in the ISA instruction sets of the microprocessor **100**, such as conditional branch instructions **124** or conditional load/store instructions **124**, which are distinguished from the conditional ALU instruction **124** type.

The number and types of microinstructions **126** in the sequence emitted by the hardware instruction translator **104** in response to encountering the conditional ALU instruction **124** is primarily a function of two characteristics. The first characteristic is whether the conditional ALU instruction **124** specifies that one of the source operands has a pre-shift operation applied to it. In one embodiment, the pre-shift operations include those described in the ARM Architecture Reference Manual at pages A8-10 through A8-12, for example. If the conditional ALU instruction **124** specifies a pre-shift operation, the hardware instruction translator **104** generates a shift microinstruction **126** (denoted SHF in FIG. **10** et seq.) as the first microinstruction **126** in the sequence. The shift microinstruction **126** performs the pre-shift to generate a shifted result that is written to a temporary register for potential use by a subsequent microinstruction **126** in the sequence. The second characteristic is whether the destination register specified by the conditional ALU instruction **124** is also one

36

of the source operand registers. If so, the hardware instruction translator **104** makes an optimization to translate the conditional ALU instruction **124** into one less microinstruction **126** than a version of the conditional ALU instruction **124** that does not specify the destination register as one of the source operand registers, as described primarily with respect to FIGS. **21** through **28**.

Furthermore, the conditional ALU instruction **124** specifies a condition that must be satisfied by the architectural condition flags in order for the conditional ALU instruction **124** to be performed by the microprocessor **100**. The conditional ALU instruction **124** may specify that the architectural condition flags are to be updated based on the result of the ALU operation and/or a pre-shift-generated carry flag. However, the architectural condition flags are not to be updated if the condition is not satisfied. Accomplishing this is complicated by the fact that the hardware instruction translator **104** translates the conditional ALU instruction **124** into a sequence of microinstructions **126**. More specifically, if the condition is satisfied, at least one of the microinstructions **126** must write the new condition flag values; however, the old values of the condition flags may be needed by microinstructions **126** in the sequence to determine whether the condition specified by the conditional ALU instruction **124** is satisfied and/or to perform the ALU operation. Advantageously, embodiments are described in which the microprocessor **100** employs techniques to ensure that it does not update the condition flags if the condition is not satisfied, and yet updates the condition flags with the correct values if the condition is satisfied, including with the pre-shift-generated carry flag value.

Embodiments of the microprocessor **100** are described in which the register file **106** of FIG. **1** that holds the general purpose registers includes only enough read ports for the register file **106** to provide at most two source operands to the execution units **424** that execute the microinstructions **126** that implement the conditional ALU instructions **124**. As described above with respect to FIG. **1**, embodiments are contemplated in which the microprocessor **100** is an enhancement of a commercially available microprocessor. The register file that holds the general purpose registers of the commercially available microprocessor includes only enough read ports for the register file to provide at most two source operands to the execution units that execute the microinstructions **126** that are described herein that implement the conditional ALU instructions **124**. Thus, the embodiments described herein are particularly advantageous for synergistic adaptation of the commercially available microprocessor microarchitecture. As also described above with respect to FIG. **1**, the commercially available microprocessor was originally designed for the x86 ISA in which conditional execution of instructions is not a dominant feature and, because it is accumulator-based, generally requires one of the source operands to be the destination operand, and therefore does not seem to justify the additional read port.

An advantage of the embodiments described herein is that although in some cases there is a two clock cycle execution latency associated with the execution of two microinstructions into which the conditional ALU instruction **124** is translated, and in some cases there is a three clock cycle execution latency associated with the execution of three microinstructions into which the conditional ALU instruction **124** is translated, the operations performed by each of the microinstructions is relatively simple, which lends itself to a pipelined implementation that is capable of supporting relatively high core clock rates.

Although embodiments are described in which the microprocessor **100** is capable of performing instructions of both the ARM ISA and the x86 ISA, the embodiments are not so limited. Rather, embodiments are contemplated in which the microprocessor performs instructions of only a single ISA. Furthermore, although embodiments are described in which the microprocessor **100** translates ARM ISA conditional ALU instructions into microinstructions **126** as described herein, embodiments are contemplated in which the microprocessor performs instructions of an ISA other than the ARM but which includes conditional ALU instructions in its instruction set.

Referring now to FIG. 9, a block diagram illustrating in more detail portions of the microprocessor **100** of FIG. 1 is shown. The microprocessor **100** includes an architectural condition flags register **926** of the register files **106** of FIG. 1, and the execution units **424** and the ROB **422** of FIG. 4. The condition flags register **926** stores the architectural condition flags. In one embodiment, when the instruction mode indicator **132** indicates the ARM ISA, the condition flags register **926** is storing values according to the semantics of the ARM ISA condition flags, and when the instruction mode indicator **132** indicates the x86 ISA, the condition flags register **926** is storing values according to the semantics of the x86 ISA condition flags, i.e., the x86 EFLAGS. As described above with respect to FIG. 5, preferably the register file **106** is implemented as separate physical blocks of registers; in particular, the condition flags register **926** may be implemented as a physical register file separate from, for example, the physical register file for the general purpose registers. Thus, even though the condition flags are provided to the execution units **424** for execution of the microinstructions **126**, as discussed below, the read ports of the condition flags register file may be distinct from the read ports of the general purpose register file.

The condition flags register **926** outputs its condition flags value to one data input of a three-input mux **922**. The mux **922** also receives on a second data input the condition flag results from the appropriate entry of the ROB **422**. The mux **922** also receives on a third data input condition flag results from a flag bus **928**. The mux **922** selects the appropriate data input to provide as an output **924** to the execution unit **424** to execute a microinstruction **126** that reads the condition flags, as discussed in more detail below. Although a single flag bus **928** is described, according to one embodiment, each execution unit **424** that is capable of generating condition flags has its own flag bus **928**, and each execution unit **424** capable of reading the condition flags has its own condition flag input **924**. Thus, the various execution units **424** are enabled to concurrently execute different microinstructions **126** that read and/or write the condition flags.

The flag bus **928**, which is a portion of the result bus **128** of FIG. 1, conveys condition flag results that are output by the execution units **424**. The condition flag results are written to the ROB **422**, more particularly to the entry in the ROB **422** allocated to the microinstruction **126** being executed by the execution unit **424** executing the microinstruction **126** and whose results are conveyed on the flag bus **928**. The condition flag results are also forwarded by the flag bus **928** to the third data input of the mux **922**.

Also shown in FIG. 9 is a block diagram illustrating the condition flag values output on the flag bus **928** by the execution units **424** and the condition flag values **924** received by the execution units **424** from the mux **922**. The condition flag values **928/924** include ISA condition flags **902**, a condition satisfied (SAT) bit **904**, a pre-shift carry (PSC) bit **906**, and a use shift carry (USE) bit **908**. When the instruction mode

indicator **132** indicates the ARM ISA, the ISA condition flags **902** include the ARM carry flag (C), zero flag (Z), overflow flag (V), and negative flag (N). When the instruction mode indicator **132** indicates the x86 ISA, the ISA condition flags **902** include the x86 EFLAGS carry flag (CF), zero flag (ZF), overflow flag (OF), sign flag (SF), parity flag (PF) and auxiliary flag (AF). The condition flags register **926** includes storage for the ISA condition flags **902**, SAT bit **904**, PSC bit **906**, and USE bit **908**. In one embodiment, the condition flags register **926** shares storage for the x86 ISA and ARM ISA carry flag, zero flag, overflow flag, and negative/sign flag.

Each microinstruction **126** indicates, in addition to its basic operation (e.g., add, load/store, shift, Boolean AND, branch), whether the microinstruction **126** is to perform one or more of three additional operations: (1) read the condition flags **926** (denoted RDFLAGS in FIG. 10 et seq.), (2) write the condition flags **926** (denoted WRFLAGS in FIG. 10 et seq.), and (3) generate a carry flag value and write it to the PSC bit **906** of the condition flags **926** (denoted WRCARRY in FIG. 10 et seq.). In one embodiment, the microinstruction **126** includes respective bits to indicate the three additional operations. In another embodiment, the microinstruction **126** indicates the three additional operations via the opcode of the microinstruction **126**; that is, alternative opcodes exist for the microinstruction **126** types that are capable of performing one or more of the three additional operations.

If an execution unit **424** executes a conditional ALU microinstruction **126** (denoted variously ALUOP CC, CUALUOP CC, NCUALUOP CC in FIG. 10 et seq.) that instructs it to write the condition flags **926** (denoted by WRFLAGS) and the condition flags **924** read by the execution unit **424** satisfy the condition specified by the microinstruction **126**, then the execution unit **424** sets the SAT bit **904** to one; otherwise, the execution unit **424** clears the SAT bit **904** to zero. To further clarify, if an execution unit **424** executes any microinstruction **126** that instructs it to write the condition flags **926** and the microinstruction **126** is other than a conditional ALU microinstruction **126**, then the execution unit **424** clears the SAT bit **904** to zero. As discussed below, some conditional microinstructions **126** specify a condition based on the ISA condition flags **902** (denoted XMOV CC in FIG. 10 et seq.) and some conditional microinstructions **126** specify a condition based on the SAT bit **904** (denoted CMOV in FIG. 10 et seq.).

If an execution unit **424** executes a shift microinstruction **126** that instructs it to write the carry flag (denoted by WRCARRY), then the execution unit **424** sets the USE bit **908** to one and writes to the PSC bit **906** the carry value generated by the shift microinstruction **126**; otherwise, the execution unit **424** clears the USE bit **908** to zero. To further clarify, if an execution unit **424** executes any microinstruction **126** that instructs it to write the condition flags **926** and the microinstruction **126** is other than a shift microinstruction **126**, then the execution unit **424** clears the USE bit **908** to zero. The USE bit **908** is consumed by a subsequent conditional ALU microinstruction **126** to determine whether to update the architectural carry flag **902** with the PSC bit **906** value or with a carry flag value generated based on an ALU operation performed by the conditional ALU microinstruction **126**, as described more below. In an alternate embodiment, described more below, the USE bit **908** does not exist and instead the hardware instruction translator **104** translator directly generates a functional equivalent of the USE bit **908** as an indicator within the conditional ALU microinstruction **126**.

Referring now to FIG. 10, a flowchart illustrating operation of the hardware instruction translator **104** of FIG. 1 to translate conditional ALU instructions **124** according to the

present invention is shown. Generally, FIG. 10 illustrates the manner in which the hardware instruction translator 104 decodes the conditional ALU instruction 124 to determine its type in order to translate it into the appropriate sequence of microinstructions 126 for execution by the execution pipeline 112. More specifically, the hardware instruction translator 104 determines whether the conditional ALU instruction 124 updates the architectural condition flags 902, performs a pre-shift on a source operand, uses the carry flag as an input to the ALU operation, and whether the ALU operation is a carry-updating or non-carry-updating operation, which as discussed in more detail below denotes whether the ALU operation updates only a subset of the architectural condition flags 902 or all of the architectural condition flags 902. Flow begins at block 1002.

At block 1002, the hardware instruction translator 104 encounters a conditional ALU instruction 124, decodes it, and translates it into the appropriate sequence of microinstructions 126 such as those described with respect to blocks 1024, 1026, 1034, 1036, 1044, 1054 and 1056. A conditional ALU instruction 124 is an ISA instruction 124 that instructs the microprocessor 100 to perform an arithmetic or logical operation (ALU operation) on one or more source operands to generate a result and to write the result to a destination register. Some types of the ALU operation specified by the conditional ALU instruction 124 use the architectural carry flag 902 as input (e.g., add with carry), although most do not. The conditional ALU instruction 124 also specifies a condition with respect to the architectural condition flags 902 of the ISA. If the architectural condition flags 902 satisfy the condition specified, the microprocessor 100 performs the conditional ALU instruction 124, i.e., performs the ALU operation and writes the result to the destination register. Otherwise, the microprocessor 100 treats the conditional ALU instruction 124 as a no-op instruction; more specifically, the microprocessor 100 does not change the value in the destination register. Additionally, the conditional ALU instruction 124 may specify that the architectural condition flags 902 are to be updated based on the result of the ALU operation, or the conditional ALU instruction 124 may specify that the architectural condition flags 902 are not to be updated. However, even if the conditional ALU instruction 124 specifies that the architectural condition flags 902 are to be updated, if the architectural condition flags 902 do not satisfy the condition specified, then the microprocessor 100 does not change the value in the architectural condition flags 902. Finally, the conditional ALU instruction 124 may additionally specify that one of the source operands of the ALU operation be pre-shifted, as described in more detail herein, such as with respect to block 1012. In one embodiment, the set of conditional ALU instructions 124 translated by the hardware instruction translator 104 are ARM ISA instructions. More specifically, in one embodiment, the ARM ISA Data-processing instructions and multiply instructions are translated by the hardware instruction translator 104 as described with respect to FIG. 10. In one embodiment, these include, but are not limited to, the AND, EOR, SUB, RSB, ADD, ADC, SBC, RSC, TST, TEQ, CMP, CMN, ORR, ORN, MOV, LSL, LSR, ASR, RRX, ROR, BIC, MVN, MUL, MLA, and MLS instructions. In each of blocks 1024, 1026, 1034, 1036, 1044, 1054 and 1056, for illustration purposes, the relevant type of ARM ISA conditional ALU instruction 124 is shown on the first line, and the microinstructions 126 into which the hardware instruction translator 104 translates the conditional ALU instruction 124 are shown on the following lines. The "CC" suffix indicates that the instruction 124 is a conditional instruction. Additionally, type of ALU operation is shown and

illustrative examples of source and destination operands specified. The programmer may specify a destination register that happens to be the same as a register that provides one of the source operands; in this situation, the hardware instruction translator 104 is configured to take advantage of this situation and optimize the sequence of microinstructions 126 into which it translates the conditional ALU instruction 124, which is described with respect to FIG. 21. Flow proceeds to decision block 1004.

At decision block 1004, the hardware instruction translator 104 determines whether the conditional ALU instruction 124 specifies that the architectural condition flags 902 are to be updated by the conditional ALU instruction 124. That is, in some situations the programmer may choose a version of the conditional ALU instruction 124 that updates the architectural condition flags 902 based on the result of the ALU operation, and in other situations the programmer may choose a version of the conditional ALU instruction 124 that does not update the architectural condition flags 902 regardless of the result of the ALU operation. In the ARM ISA assembly language, an "S" suffix to the instruction mnemonic indicates the architectural condition flags 902 are to be updated, and this convention is followed in FIG. 10 et seq. For example, block 1044 denotes the ARM ISA conditional ALU instruction 124 as "ALUOP S" to indicate the architectural condition flags 902 are to be updated, whereas block 1024 denotes the ARM ISA conditional ALU instruction 124 as simply "ALUOP" (i.e., with the "S") to indicate the architectural condition flags 902 are not to be updated. If the conditional ALU instruction 124 specifies that the architectural condition flags 902 are to be updated, flow proceeds to decision block 1042; otherwise, flow proceeds to decision block 1012.

At decision block 1012, the hardware instruction translator 104 determines whether the conditional ALU instruction 124 is of the type that specifies a pre-shift of one of the ALU operation operands. The pre-shift may be of an immediate field to generate a constant source operand, or the pre-shift may be of a source operand supplied from a register. The pre-shift amount may be specified as a constant in the conditional ALU instruction 124. Additionally, in the case of a register-shifted operand, the pre-shift amount may be specified as a value in a register. In the case of the ARM ISA, a pre-shift of an immediate value by an immediate shift amount to generate a constant source operand is referred to as a modified immediate constant. The pre-shift operation generates a carry flag value. For some types of ALU operations the architectural carry flag 902 is updated with the carry flag value generated by the shift operation, whereas for some types of ALU operations the architectural carry flag 902 is updated with the carry flag value generated by the ALU operation. However, the carry flag value generated by the pre-shift is not used to determine whether the condition specified by the conditional ALU instruction 124 is satisfied; rather, the current architectural carry flag 902 value is used. It is noted that, for example, the ARM ISA MUL, ASR, LSL, LSR, ROR, and RRX instructions cannot specify a pre-shift operation and are therefore processed as described with respect to blocks 1024, 1026 or 1044. Additionally, the versions of the MOV and MVN instructions that specify a modified immediate constant operand may specify a pre-shift operation, whereas the versions of the MOV and MVN instructions that do not specify a modified immediate constant operand, i.e., that specify a register operand, may not specify a pre-shift operation and are therefore processed as described with respect to blocks 1024, 1026 or 1044. As mentioned above, the pre-shift may be of an immediate field to generate a constant source operand, or the pre-shift may be



## 41

of a source operand supplied from a register. If the conditional ALU instruction **124** specifies a pre-shift, flow proceeds to decision block **1032**; otherwise, flow proceeds to decision block **1022**.

At decision block **1022**, the hardware instruction translator **104** determines whether the conditional ALU instruction **124** specifies an ALU operation that uses the carry flag. Examples of ARM ISA instructions **124** that use the carry flag are the add with carry (ADC), reverse subtract with carry (RSC), and subtract with carry (SBC) instructions as well as instructions that specify a shifted register operand in which the shift operation uses the carry flag, namely the RRX shift type. If the conditional ALU instruction **124** specifies an ALU operation that uses the carry flag, flow proceeds to block **1026**; otherwise, flow proceeds to block **1024**.

At block **1024**, the hardware instruction translator **104** translates the non-flag-updating, non-pre-shifting, non-carry-using conditional ALU instruction **124** into first and second microinstructions **126**, namely: (1) an ALU operation microinstruction **126** (denoted ALUOP); and (2) a conditional move microinstruction **126** (denoted XMOV). In the example of block **1024**, the conditional ALU instruction **124** specifies a first source register (R1) and a second source register (R2), an ALU operation (denoted ALUOP) to be performed on R1 and R2 to generate a result, and a destination register (RD) to which the result is conditionally written. The ALUOP microinstruction **126** specifies the same ALU operation and source operands specified by the conditional ALU instruction **124**. The ALUOP microinstruction **126** performs the ALU operation on the two source operands and writes the result to a temporary register (denoted T2). The XMOV microinstruction **126** specifies the same condition specified by the conditional ALU instruction **124**. The XMOV microinstruction **126** receives the value of the temporary register written by the ALUOP microinstruction **126** and receives the old, or current, value of the destination register (RD). The XMOV microinstruction **126** receives the condition flags **924** and determines whether they satisfy the condition. If the condition is satisfied, the XMOV microinstruction **126** writes the temporary register value to the destination register (RD), and otherwise writes the old destination register value back to the destination register. It is noted that although two source register operands are specified in the example, one of the source operands may be a constant operand specified in an immediate field of the conditional ALU instruction **124** rather than provided from a register. The execution of the microinstructions **126** is described in more detail with respect to FIG. **20**. Use of the term “old” in FIG. **10** et seq. to refer to the flag or destination register values, unless otherwise indicated, refers to the values received by the execution unit **424** when it executes the microinstruction **126**. These values may also be referred to as the current values. In the case of the destination register, the old, or current, value is received from either the forwarding result bus **128** of FIG. **1**, the ROB **422**, or the architectural register file **106**. In the case of the flags, as described with respect to FIG. **9**, the old, or current, value is received from either the forwarding flag bus **928**, the ROB **422**, or the architectural condition flags register **926**. Flow ends at block **1024**.

At block **1026**, the hardware instruction translator **104** translates the non-flag-updating, non-pre-shifting, carry-using conditional ALU instruction **124** into first and second microinstructions **126**, namely: (1) a carry-using ALU operation microinstruction **126** (denoted ALUOPUC); and (2) a conditional move microinstruction **126** (denoted XMOV). In the example of block **1026**, the conditional ALU instruction **124** is similar to that of block **1024**, except that the ALU

## 42

operation it specifies uses the carry flag. The two microinstructions **126** are similar to those described with respect to block **1024**; however, the ALUOPUC microinstruction **126** also receives the condition flags **924** in order to obtain the current value of the carry flag for use in the carry-using ALU operation. The execution of the microinstructions **126** is described in more detail with respect to FIG. **19**. Flow ends at block **1026**.

At decision block **1032**, the hardware instruction translator **104** determines whether the conditional ALU instruction **124** specifies an ALU operation that uses the carry flag. If the ALU operation uses the carry flag, flow proceeds to block **1036**; otherwise, flow proceeds to block **1034**.

At block **1034**, the hardware instruction translator **104** translates the non-flag-updating, pre-shifting, non-carry-using conditional ALU instruction **124** into first, second and third microinstructions **126**, namely: (1) a shift microinstruction **126** (denoted SHF); (2) an ALU operation microinstruction **126**; and (3) a conditional move microinstruction **126**. In the example of block **1034**, the conditional ALU instruction **124** is similar to that described with respect to block **1024**; however, the conditional ALU instruction **124** also specifies a pre-shift operation on the second source operand (R2) by a shift amount which, in the example of block **1034**, is held in a third source register (R3) specified by the conditional ALU instruction **124**. However, if the conditional ALU instruction **124** is of the type that specifies the shift amount as a constant within the instruction **124**, then the third source register is not used. The list of possible pre-shift operations the conditional ALU instruction **124** may specify include, but are not limited to, a logical shift left (LSL), logical shift right (LSR), arithmetic shift right (ASR), rotate right (ROR), and rotate right with extend (RRX). In one embodiment, the hardware instruction translator **104** emits a shift microinstruction **126** to ensure that the carry value is generated according to the semantics of the ARM ISA, particularly as described in the ARM Architecture Reference Manual with respect to the individual ARM instruction descriptions and at pages A8-10 through A8-12, and A5-10 through A5-11, for example. The shift microinstruction **126** specifies the same pre-shift operation specified by the conditional ALU instruction **124**. The shift microinstruction **126** also specifies the same R2 and R3 source operands specified by the conditional ALU instruction **124**. The shift microinstruction **126** performs the pre-shift operation by the shift amount on the second source operand R2 and writes the result to a temporary register (denoted T3). Although in the case of block **1034** the carry flag value generated by the shift microinstruction **126** is not used since the conditional ALU instruction **124** specifies that the architectural condition flags **902** are not updated, in the case of block **1056**, for example, the carry flag value generated by the shift microinstruction **126** is used as discussed in more detail below. Furthermore, the pre-shift operation may require the old carry flag to be rotated into the resulting shifted value; for example, the RRX pre-shift operation shifts the carry flag into the most significant bit of the result. In such situations, although not shown in FIG. **10** (except in block **1056**), the shift microinstruction **126** will also read the condition flags **924** to get the current carry flag value. The ALUOP microinstruction **126** is similar to that described with respect to block **1024**; however, it receives the value of T3 rather than R2 and performs the ALU operation on R1 and T3 to generate the result written to T2. The XMOV microinstruction **126** is similar to that described with respect to block **1024**. The execution of the microinstructions **126** is described in more detail with respect to FIG. **18**. Flow ends at block **1034**.



43

At block **1036**, the hardware instruction translator **104** translates the non-flag-updating, pre-shifting, carry-using conditional ALU instruction **124** into first, second and third microinstructions **126**, namely: (1) a shift microinstruction **126**; (2) a carry-using ALU operation microinstruction **126**; and (3) a conditional move microinstruction **126**. In the example of block **1036**, the conditional ALU instruction **124** is similar to that of block **1034**, except that the ALU operation it specifies uses the carry flag. The three microinstructions **126** are similar to those described with respect to block **1034**; however, the ALUOPUC microinstruction **126** also receives the condition flags **924** in order to obtain the current value of the carry flag for use in the carry-using ALU operation. The execution of the microinstructions **126** is described in more detail with respect to FIG. **17**. Flow ends at block **1036**.

At decision block **1042**, the hardware instruction translator **104** determines whether the conditional ALU instruction **124** is of the type that specifies a pre-shift of one of the ALU operation operands. If the conditional ALU instruction **124** specifies a pre-shift, flow proceeds to decision block **1052**; otherwise, flow proceeds to block **1044**.

At block **1044**, the hardware instruction translator **104** translates the flag-updating, non-pre-shifting conditional ALU instruction **124** into first and second microinstructions **126**, namely: (1) a conditional ALU operation microinstruction **126** (denoted ALUOP CC); and (2) a conditional move microinstruction **126** (denoted CMOV). In the example of block **1044**, the conditional ALU instruction **124** is similar to the conditional ALU instruction **124** of block **1024** except that it updates the architectural condition flags **902**. The conditional ALU microinstruction **126** specifies the same condition and source operands specified by the conditional ALU instruction **124**. The conditional ALU operation microinstruction **126** performs the ALU operation on the two source operands, and writes the result to a temporary register (denoted T2). Additionally, the conditional ALU operation microinstruction **126** receives the architectural condition flags **902** and determines whether they satisfy the condition. Furthermore, the conditional ALU operation microinstruction **126** writes the condition flags register **926**. More specifically, the conditional ALU operation microinstruction **126** writes the SAT bit **904** to indicate whether the architectural condition flags **902** satisfy the condition. Furthermore, if the condition was not satisfied, the conditional ALU operation microinstruction **126** writes the old condition flag values to the architectural condition flags **902**; whereas, if the condition was satisfied, the conditional ALU operation microinstruction **126** updates the architectural condition flags **902** based on the result of the ALU operation. The update values of the architectural condition flags **902** are dependent upon the type of ALU operation. That is, for some types of ALU operations, all of the architectural condition flags **902** are updated with new values based on the result of the ALU operation; whereas, for some types of ALU operations, some of the architectural condition flags **902** (in one embodiment the Z and N flags) are updated with new values based on the result of the ALU operation, but the old values are retained for others of the architectural condition flags **902** (in one embodiment the V and C flags). The updating of the architectural condition flags **902** is described in more detail with respect to FIG. **14**. The CMOV microinstruction **126** receives the value of the temporary register (T2) written by the ALUOP microinstruction **126** and receives the old, or current, value of the destination register (RD). The CMOV microinstruction **126** receives the condition flags **924** and examines the SAT bit **904** to determine whether the conditional ALU operation microinstruction **126** indicated that the architectural condition flags

44

**902** satisfy the condition. If the condition is satisfied, the CMOV microinstruction **126** writes the temporary register value to the destination register, and otherwise writes the old destination register value back to the destination register. The execution of the microinstructions **126** is described in more detail with respect to FIG. **14**. It is noted that the ALU operation performed by the conditional ALU operation microinstruction **126** generated at block **1044** (and at blocks **1054** and **1056**) may be an ALU operation that uses the carry flag (similar to those described with respect to blocks **1026** and **1036**), and since the microinstruction **126** reads the flags (as indicated by the RDFLAGS indicator) the execution unit **424** has the carry flag to perform the carry-using ALU operation. Flow ends at block **1044**.

At decision block **1052**, the hardware instruction translator **104** determines whether the conditional ALU instruction **124** specifies an ALU operation that is of the type that updates the architectural carry flag **902**. It is necessary for the hardware instruction translator **104** to make this distinction because the carry flag value generated by the pre-shift must be used to update the architectural carry flag **902**, rather than the carry flag value generated based on the ALU operation, if the ALU operation does not update the architectural carry flag **902**. In one embodiment, the ARM ISA instructions **124** that specify an ALU operation that does not update the architectural carry flag **902**, and which may specify a pre-shift operation, include, but are not limited to, AND, BIC, EOR, ORN, ORR, TEQ and TST, as well as MOV/MVN instructions **124** that specify a modified immediate constant with a non-zero rotation value. If the ALU operation updates the architectural carry flag **902**, flow proceeds to block **1054**; otherwise, flow proceeds to block **1056**.

At block **1054**, the hardware instruction translator **104** translates the flag-updating, pre-shifting, carry-updating conditional ALU instruction **124** into first, second and third microinstructions **126**, namely: (1) a shift microinstruction **126**; (2) a conditional carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction **126** (denoted CUALUOP CC); and (3) a conditional move microinstruction **126**. In the example of block **1054**, the conditional ALU instruction **124** is similar to that described with respect to block **1034**; however, the conditional ALU instruction **124** also specifies that the architectural condition flags **902** are to be updated. The shift microinstruction **126** is similar to that described with respect to block **1034**. The conditional carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction **126** specifies the same condition specified by the conditional ALU instruction **124**. The conditional carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction **126** performs the ALU operation on R1 and T3 and writes the result to a temporary register (denoted T2). Additionally, the conditional carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction **126** receives the architectural condition flags **902** and determines whether they satisfy the condition. Furthermore, the conditional carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction **126** writes the condition flags register **926**. More specifically, the conditional carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction **126** writes the SAT bit **904** to indicate whether the architectural condition flags **902** satisfy the condition. Furthermore, if the condition was not satisfied, the conditional carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction **126** writes the old condition flag values to the architectural condition flags **902**; whereas, if the condition was satisfied, the conditional carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction **126** updates the architectural condition flags **902** based on the result of the ALU operation. The updating of the architectural condition flags **902** is described in more detail with respect to FIG. **16**.

45

The CMOV microinstruction 126 is similar to that described with respect to block 1044. Flow ends at block 1054.

At block 1056, the hardware instruction translator 104 translates the flag-updating, pre-shifting, non-carry-updating conditional ALU instruction 124 into first, second and third microinstructions 126, namely: (1) a shift microinstruction 126; (2) a conditional non-carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction 126 (denoted NCUALUOP CC); and (3) a conditional move microinstruction 126. In the example of block 1056, the conditional ALU instruction 124 is similar to that described with respect to block 1054; however, the conditional ALU instruction 124 specifies a non-carry-updating ALU operation. Consequently, the architectural carry flag 902 is updated with the pre-shift carry flag value if the condition is satisfied. The shift microinstruction 126 is similar to that described with respect to block 1034; however, the shift microinstruction 126 reads and writes the condition flags register 926. More specifically, the shift microinstruction 126: (1) writes the carry flag value generated by the pre-shift operation to the PSC bit 906; (2) sets the USE bit 908 to instruct the conditional non-carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction 126 to use the PSC 906 to update the architectural carry flag 902; and (3) writes the old architectural condition flags 902 back to the condition flags register 926, so that the conditional non-carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction 126 can evaluate the old value of the architectural condition flags 902 to determine whether they satisfy the condition. The conditional non-carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction 126 specifies the same condition specified by the conditional ALU instruction 124. The conditional non-carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction 126 performs the ALU operation on R1 and T3 and writes the result to a temporary register (denoted T2). Additionally, the conditional non-carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction 126 receives the architectural condition flags 902 and determines whether they satisfy the condition. Furthermore, the conditional non-carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction 126 writes the condition flags register 926. More specifically, the conditional non-carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction 126 writes the SAT bit 904 to indicate whether the architectural condition flags 902 satisfy the condition. Furthermore, if the condition was not satisfied, the conditional non-carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction 126 writes the old condition flag values to the architectural condition flags 902; whereas, if the condition was satisfied, the conditional non-carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction 126 updates the architectural condition flags 902 based on the result of the ALU operation. More specifically, the architectural overflow (V) flag 902 is written with the old overflow flag value 924. Additionally, the architectural carry flag 902 is updated with the pre-shift carry flag value in the PSC bit 906 if the USE bit 908 so indicates, and otherwise is updated with the old carry flag value 924. The updating of the architectural condition flags 902 is described in more detail with respect to FIG. 15. The CMOV microinstruction 126 is similar to that described with respect to block 1044. In an alternate embodiment, as described above and below, the USE bit 908 does not exist and instead the hardware instruction translator 104 translator directly generates a functional equivalent of the USE bit 908 as an indicator within the NCUALUOP microinstruction 126, which the execution unit 424 examines to determine whether to update the architectural carry flag 902 with the pre-shift carry flag value in the PSC bit 906 or with the old carry flag value 924. Flow ends at block 1056.

Embodiments are contemplated in which the hardware instruction translator 104 is configured to generate and pro-

46

vide a modified immediate constant rather than emitting a shift microinstruction 126 to do so. In this embodiment, processing is similar to that described with respect to blocks 1024, 1026 and 1044, rather than blocks 1034, 1036 and 1054/1056, respectively. Furthermore, in this embodiment, the hardware instruction translator 104 also generates and provides the carry flag value from the pre-shift for use by the conditional ALU operation microinstruction 126 in updating the architectural carry flag 902.

Referring now to FIG. 11, a flowchart illustrating operation of the execution units 424 of FIG. 4 to execute a shift microinstruction 126 according to the present invention is shown. Flow begins at block 1102.

At block 1102, one of the execution units 424 of FIG. 4 receives a shift microinstruction 126, such as those described with respect to FIG. 10 that were generated by the hardware instruction translator 104 in response to encountering a conditional ALU instruction 124. The execution unit 424 also receives the source operands specified by the microinstruction 126, including the condition flag values 924, which may or may not be used by the microinstruction 126. Flow proceeds to block 1104.

At block 1104, the execution unit 424 performs the shift operation specified by the shift microinstruction 126 on the operands specified by the shift microinstruction 126 to generate a result and outputs the result on the result bus 128. In one embodiment, the shift operation may include, but is not limited to, a logical shift left (LSL), logical shift right (LSR), arithmetic shift right (ASR), rotate right (ROR), and rotate right with extend (RRX). Additionally, the execution unit 424 generates new condition flag values based on the result of the shift operation. More specifically, the execution unit 424 generates a carry flag value based on the result of the shift operation. In one embodiment: in the case of an LSL shift operation, the carry flag value is bit N of an extended value that is M least significant bit zeroes concatenated with the operand being left-shifted, where N is the number of bits in the original operand and M is the specified positive shift amount; in the case of an LSR shift operation, the carry flag value is bit (M-1) of an extended value that is the original operand zero-extended by (M+N) bits, where M is the specified positive shift amount and N is the number of bits in the original operand; in the case of an ASR shift operation, the carry flag value is bit (M-1) of an extended value that is the original operand sign-extended by (M+N) bits, where M is the specified positive shift amount and N is the number of bits in the original operand; in the case of a ROR shift operation, the carry flag value is bit (N-1) of the result of the operand being rotated right by the specified non-zero shift amount mod N, where N is the number of bits in the original operand; and in the case of a RRX shift operation, the carry flag value is bit zero of the original operand. Flow proceeds to decision block 1106.

At decision block 1106, the execution unit 424 determines whether the shift microinstruction 126 emitted by the hardware instruction translator 104 indicates that the execution unit 424 should write the carry flag, as indicated by WRCARRY in block 1056 of FIG. 10, for example. More specifically, the shift microinstruction 126 indicates whether the PSC bit 906 on the flag bus output 928 should be written with the carry flag value generated by the shift operation and the USE bit 908 should be set, which will enable the subsequent conditional non-carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction 126 to conditionally write the PSC bit 906 value to the architectural carry flag 902. If the execution unit 424 should write the carry flag, flow proceeds to block 1114; otherwise, flow proceeds to decision block 1108.

47

At decision block 1108, the execution unit 424 determines whether the shift microinstruction 126 emitted by the hardware instruction translator 104 indicates that the execution unit 424 should write the condition flags (denoted WRFLAGS). Although none of the shift microinstructions 126 of FIG. 10 indicate the execution unit 424 should write the condition flags when the shift microinstruction 126 does not indicate it should write the PSC bit 906 (denoted WRCARRY), the hardware instruction translator 104 might generate such a shift microinstruction 126 when translating other ISA instructions 124. If the execution unit 424 should write the condition flags, flow proceeds to block 1112; otherwise, flow ends.

At block 1112, the execution unit 424 outputs values on the flag bus 928 to clear the PSC 906, USE 908, and SAT 904 bits to zero, and write the architectural condition flags 902 with the new architectural condition flags 902 value generated at block 1104. Flow ends at block 1114.

At block 1114, the execution unit 424 outputs values on the flag bus 928 to write the PSC bit 906 with the carry flag value generated at block 1112, set the USE bit 908 to one, clear the SAT bit 904 to zero, and write the architectural condition flags 902 with the old architectural condition flags 902 value received at block 1102. Flow ends at block 1114.

Referring now to FIG. 12, a flowchart illustrating operation of the execution units 424 of FIG. 4 to execute a conditional ALU microinstruction 126 according to the present invention is shown. Flow begins at block 1202.

At block 1202, one of the execution units 424 of FIG. 4 receives a conditional ALU microinstruction 126, such as those described with respect to FIG. 10 that were generated by the hardware instruction translator 104 in response to encountering a conditional ALU instruction 124. The execution unit 424 also receives the source operands specified by the microinstruction 126, including the condition flag values 924, which may or may not be used by the microinstruction 126. It should be understood that the execution units 424 also execute unconditional ALU microinstructions 126, such as those described with respect to FIG. 10 that were generated by the hardware instruction translator 104 in response to encountering a conditional ALU instruction 124, according to a process similar to that described with respect to FIG. 12, excluding the actions performed at blocks 1209, 1212, 1214 and 1216. Furthermore, the execution unit 424 that executes the conditional ALU microinstruction 126 can be the same as or different from the execution unit 424 that executes the associated shift microinstruction 126 and/or XMOV/CMOV microinstruction 126. Flow proceeds to decision block 1204.

At block 1204, the execution unit 424 performs the ALU operation specified by the conditional ALU microinstruction 126 on the operands specified by the conditional ALU microinstruction 126 to generate a result and outputs the result on the result bus 128. Additionally, the execution unit 424 generates new architectural condition flag 902 values based on the result of the ALU operation. If the ALU operation is one that uses the carry flag, the execution unit 424 uses the old value of the received architectural carry flag 924 rather than the new carry flag value generated by the ALU operation. Flow proceeds to block 1206.

At block 1206, the execution unit 424 determines whether the architectural condition flags 924 received at block 1202 satisfy the specified condition. The determination is subsequently used at blocks 1212 and 1214. Flow proceeds to decision block 1208.

At decision block 1208, the execution unit 424 determines whether the conditional ALU microinstruction 126 instructs the execution unit 424 to write the condition flags register

48

926, as indicated by WRFLAGS in various blocks of FIG. 10, for example. If so, flow proceeds to decision block 1214; otherwise, flow proceeds to decision block 1209.

At decision block 1209, if it was determined at block 1206 that the condition was satisfied, flow proceeds to block 1211; otherwise, flow proceeds to block 1212.

At block 1211, the execution unit 424 outputs on the result bus 128 the result generated at block 1204, since the condition was satisfied. However, the conditional ALU microinstruction 126 does not update the condition flags register 926 since the conditional ALU microinstruction 126 specified to not update the architectural condition flags 902. As described above, the result and condition flag values output on the result bus 128/928 by the execution units 424 are forwarded to the other execution units 424 of the execution pipeline 112 and are also written to the ROB 422 entry associated with the conditional ALU microinstruction 126. It should be understood that even though the microinstruction 126 specified to not update the architectural condition flags 902, the execution unit 424 still outputs some value onto the flag result bus 928 that is written to the ROB 422 entry associated with the conditional ALU microinstruction 126, but which will not be retired to the destination register 106 and/or condition flags register 926. That is, the determination as to whether the values written to the ROB 422 entry are ultimately retired to is made by the retire unit of the execution pipeline 112 based on the type of microinstruction 126, the occurrence of exception, branch misprediction, or other invalidating event, rather than by the execution unit 424 itself. Flow ends at block 1211.

At block 1212, the execution unit 424 outputs on the result bus 128 the first source operand. It is noted that when the condition is not satisfied, the first source operand output is not used in the case of the various conditional ALU microinstructions 126 described with respect to FIG. 10, for example. More specifically, the XMOV and CMOV microinstructions 126 of FIG. 10 will write back the old destination register value rather than the T2 register value. However, as described more with respect to FIG. 21 et seq., in translating other forms of conditional ALU instructions 124, namely same-source-destination conditional ALU instructions 124 (or other ISA instructions 124), the hardware instruction translator 104 may advantageously generate conditional ALU microinstruction 126 in which the first source operand is the destination register specified by the ISA instruction 124 such that the original destination register value is written back if the condition is not satisfied. As described at block 1211, the conditional ALU microinstruction 126 does not update the condition flags register 926 since the conditional ALU microinstruction 126 specified to not update the architectural condition flags 902. Flow ends at block 1212.

At decision block 1214, if it was determined at block 1206 that the condition was satisfied, flow proceeds to decision block 1218; otherwise, flow proceeds to block 1216.

At block 1216, the execution unit 424 outputs the first source operand, clears the USE 908, PSC 906, and SAT 904 bits to zero, and outputs the old architectural condition flags 924 value received at block 1202 onto the flag bus 928, which advantageously aids in collectively performing the conditional ALU instruction 124 as a no-op instruction (i.e., to not perform the conditional ALU instruction 124) by not modifying the value of the architectural condition flags 902. Flow ends at block 1216.

At decision block 1218, the execution unit 424 determines whether the conditional ALU microinstruction 126 specifies a carry-updating ALU operation. In one embodiment, the execution unit 424 decodes the opcode of the conditional ALU microinstruction 126 to make this determination. In an

alternate embodiment, the hardware instruction translator **104** determines whether the ALU operation is carry-updating at block **1052** of FIG. **10** and provides an indicator of such to the execution unit **424**. In one embodiment, the non-carry-updating ALU operations include, but are not limited to, those specified by the AND, BIC, EOR, ORN, ORR, TEQ, TST, MUL, MOV, MVN, ASR, LSL, LSR, ROR, and RRX ARM ISA instructions **124**. If the ALU operation is carry-updating, flow proceeds to block **1222**; otherwise, flow proceeds to decision block **1224**.

At block **1222**, the execution unit **424** outputs the result generated at block **1204**, clears the USE **908** and PSC **906** bits to zero, sets the SAT bit **904** to one, and outputs the new architectural condition flags value generated at block **1204** onto the flag bus **928**. It is noted that conditional ALU microinstructions **126** that do not update the overflow flag and that specify a carry-updating ALU operation, such as the ASR, LSL, LSR, ROR, and RRX operations, are processed slightly differently than described with respect to block **1222**. In particular, the execution unit **424** outputs the old V flag value rather than the new V flag value. Flow ends at block **1222**.

At decision block **1224**, the execution unit **424** examines the USE bit **908**. If the USE bit **908** is set to one, flow proceeds to block **1228**; otherwise, flow proceeds to block **1226**. In an alternate embodiment, as described above and below, the USE bit **908** does not exist and instead the execution unit **424** examines the indicator within the conditional ALU microinstruction **126** to determine whether to update the architectural carry flag **902** with the pre-shift carry flag value in the PSC bit **906** or with the old carry flag value **924**.

At block **1226**, the execution unit **424** outputs the result generated at block **1204**, clears the USE **908** and PSC **906** bits to zero, sets the SAT bit **904** to one, and outputs the architectural condition flags onto the flag bus **928** as follows: the C flag and V flag are written with the old C flag and V flag values, respectively, received at block **1202**; the N flag and Z flag are written with the new N flag and Z flag values, respectively, generated at block **1204**. Flow ends at block **1226**.

At block **1228**, the execution unit **424** outputs the result generated at block **1204**, clears the USE **908** and PSC **906** bits to zero, sets the SAT bit **904** to one, and outputs the architectural condition flags onto the flag bus **928** as follows: the C flag is written with the PSC bit **906** value received at block **1202**; the V flag is written with the old V flag value received at block **1202**; the N flag and Z flag are written with the new N flag and Z flag values, respectively, generated at block **1204**. Flow ends at block **1228**.

In one embodiment, the execution unit **424** executes the conditional ALU microinstruction **126** differently with respect to the values output on the flag bus **928** depending upon whether the instruction mode indicator **132** indicates x86 or ARM. More specifically, if the instruction mode indicator **132** indicates x86, the execution unit **424** does not make a distinction between whether the ALU operation type is carry-updating or non-carry-updating, does not consider the USE bit **908**, and updates the condition code flags in accordance with x86 semantics.

Referring now to FIG. **13**, a flowchart illustrating operation of the execution units **424** of FIG. **4** to execute a conditional move microinstruction **126** according to the present invention is shown. Flow begins at block **1302**.

At block **1302**, one of the execution units **424** of FIG. **4** receives a conditional move microinstruction **126**, such as those described with respect to FIG. **10** that were generated by the hardware instruction translator **104** in response to encountering a conditional ALU instruction **124**, denoted CMOV or XMOV. The execution unit **424** also receives the

source operands specified by the microinstruction **126**, including the condition flag values **924**, which may or may not be used by the microinstruction **126**. Flow proceeds to decision block **1304**.

At decision block **1304**, the execution unit **424** decodes the microinstruction **126** to determine whether it is an XMOV microinstruction **126** or a CMOV microinstruction **126**. If CMOV **126**, flow proceeds to block **1308**; otherwise, flow proceeds to block **1306**.

At block **1306**, the execution unit **424** examines the architectural condition flags **902** received at block **1302** and determines whether the condition is satisfied. Flow proceeds to block **1312**.

At block **1308**, the execution unit **424** examines the SAT bit **904** received at block **1302** and determines from it whether the condition is satisfied, as previously determined by a corresponding conditional ALU microinstruction **126** that wrote the SAT bit **904**, such as those described in blocks **1044**, **1054**, and **1056** of FIG. **10**. Flow proceeds to decision block **1312**.

At decision block **1312**, if the condition determined at the appropriate block **1306** or **1308** was satisfied, flow proceeds to block **1316**; otherwise, flow proceeds to block **1314**.

At block **1314**, the execution unit **424** outputs on the result bus **128** the first source operand value. In the context of FIG. **10**, the first source operand value is the old destination register value, which advantageously aids in collectively performing the conditional ALU instruction **124** as a no-op instruction (i.e., to not perform the conditional ALU instruction **124**) by not modifying the value of the destination register since the specified condition was not satisfied. Flow ends at block **1314**.

At block **1316**, the execution unit **424** outputs on the result bus **128** the second source operand value. In the context of FIG. **10**, the second source operand value is the temporary register value written by the associated conditional ALU microinstruction **126**, which advantageously aids in collectively performing the conditional ALU instruction **124** by writing the result to the destination register since the specified condition was satisfied. Flow ends at block **1316**.

Referring now to FIG. **14**, a block diagram illustrating operation of the execution pipeline **112** of FIG. **1** to execute a conditional ALU instruction **124** according to the present invention is shown. More specifically, the conditional ALU instruction **124** is a flag-updating, non-pre-shifting, conditional ALU operation ISA instruction **124** which the hardware instruction translator **104** translates into the microinstructions **126** of block **1044** of FIG. **10**. The RAT **402** of FIG. **4** generates dependencies for the CMOV microinstruction **126** on the T2 register and condition flags register **926** values written by the conditional ALUOP microinstruction **126**, among others. The instruction dispatcher **404** dispatches the microinstructions **126** to the appropriate reservation stations **406** of FIG. **4**. The instruction issue unit **408** determines that a microinstruction **126** is ready to be issued from its reservation station **406** to the corresponding execution unit **424** for execution when all of the source operand values are available to the microinstruction **126** (either from the forwarding bus **128**, the ROB **422**, or the register file **106**). The microinstructions **126** are executed according to the description of FIGS. **12** and **13**.

The execution unit **424** receives the conditional ALUOP microinstruction **126** of block **1044** from the reservation station **406**, the source operand values from registers R1 and R2 of the register file **106** of FIG. **1**, and the condition flags **924** from the condition flags register **926** of FIG. **9** (or from the forwarding bus **128** and/or ROB **422**) according to block **1202** of FIG. **12**. The execution unit **424** performs the ALU opera-

51

tion on R1 and R2 (and the received C flag 902 if the ALU operation is a carry-using operation) to generate a result to be written to temporary register T2 according to block 1204. Additionally: (1) if the architectural condition flags 902 do not satisfy the specified condition (denoted NOT SATISFIED in FIG. 14), the execution unit 424 generates the new condition flags 928 values according to block 1216 of FIG. 12 for writing to the condition flags register 926; (2) if the architectural condition flags 902 satisfy the specified condition and the ALU operation is non-carry-updating (denoted NCUALUOP SAT. in FIG. 14), the execution unit 424 generates the new condition flags 928 values according to block 1226 of FIG. 12 for writing to the condition flags register 926; and (3) if the architectural condition flags 902 satisfy the specified condition and the ALU operation is carry-updating (denoted CUALUOP SAT. in FIG. 14), the execution unit 424 generates the new condition flags 928 values according to block 1222 of FIG. 12 for writing to the condition flags register 926. The T2 value and condition flags 928 are provided on forwarding bus 128 for consumption by the CMOV microinstruction 126, are written to the ROB 422 entry for consumption by the CMOV microinstruction 126 if not from the forwarding bus 128, and are eventually retired to their appropriate architectural state, barring the occurrence of an exception, branch misprediction, or other invalidating event, for consumption by the CMOV microinstruction 126 if not from the forwarding bus 128 or ROB 422 entry. In particular, the mux 922 of FIG. 9 operates to select the appropriate source of the condition flags 924 for the execution unit 424.

The execution unit 424 receives the CMOV microinstruction 126 of block 1044, the source operand values T2 and RD, and the condition flags 924 according to block 1302 of FIG. 13. The execution unit 424 outputs as its result the value of the T2 source operand if the SAT bit 904 is set and outputs the value of the RD source operand if the SAT bit 904 is clear, according to blocks 1316 and 1314, respectively, of FIG. 13. The result value is provided on forwarding bus 128 for consumption by subsequent microinstructions 126, is written to the ROB 422 entry, and is eventually retired to its appropriate architectural state barring the occurrence of an exception, branch misprediction, or other invalidating event.

As discussed above with respect to block 1222, flag-updating conditional ALU instructions 124 that specify a carry-updating ALU operation that does not update the overflow flag, such as the ARM ISA ASR, LSL, LSR, ROR, and RRR instructions 124, are processed slightly differently than shown in FIG. 14. In particular, the execution unit 424 outputs the old V flag value rather than the new V flag value. Finally, as mentioned above, flag-updating ARM ISA MUL, and MOV/MVN (register) instructions 124 are non-carry-updating and cannot specify a pre-shift operation and are therefore processed as described with respect to block 1044, and more specifically as described with respect to block 1226 of FIG. 12.

As may be observed from the above, advantageously, the ALU operation microinstruction 126 indicates to the CMOV microinstruction 126 via the SAT bit 904 whether the old condition flags 902 satisfy the specified condition, which enables the ALU operation microinstruction 126 to clobber the old value of the condition flags 902, if the condition is satisfied, with the appropriate values generated based on the result of the ALU operation.

Referring now to FIG. 15, a block diagram illustrating operation of the execution pipeline 112 of FIG. 1 to execute a conditional ALU instruction 124 according to the present invention is shown. More specifically, the conditional ALU instruction 124 is a flag-updating, pre-shifting, non-carry-

52

updating conditional ALU operation ISA instruction 124 which the hardware instruction translator 104 translates into the microinstructions 126 of block 1056 of FIG. 10. The operation according to FIG. 15 is similar in many respects to the operation described with respect to FIG. 14 such that like operations are not repeated for the sake of brevity and differences are now described. The RAT 402 of FIG. 4 generates dependencies for the NCUALUOP microinstruction 126 on the T3 register and condition flags register 926 values written by the shift microinstruction 126, among others. The microinstructions 126 are executed according to the description of FIGS. 11, 12 and 13.

The execution unit 424 receives the shift microinstruction 126 of block 1056 from the reservation station 406, the source operand values from registers R2 and R3 of the register file 106, and the condition flags 924 from the condition flags register 926 (or from the forwarding bus 128 and/or ROB 422) according to block 1102 of FIG. 11. The execution unit 424 performs the shift operation on R2 and R3 (and the received C flag 902 if the ALU operation is a carry-using operation) to generate a result to be written to temporary register T3 according to block 1104. Additionally, the execution unit 424 generates the new architectural condition flags 902 values according to block 1104 and writes the new condition flags 928 according to block 1114 of FIG. 11 for writing to the condition flags register 926. The T3 value and condition flags 928 are provided on forwarding bus 128 for consumption by the NCUALUOP microinstruction 126, are written to the ROB 422 entry for consumption by the NCUALUOP microinstruction 126 if not from the forwarding bus 128, and are eventually retired to their appropriate architectural state, barring the occurrence of an exception, branch misprediction, or other invalidating event, for consumption by the NCUALUOP microinstruction 126 if not from the forwarding bus 128 or ROB 422 entry. In particular, the mux 922 of FIG. 9 operates to select the appropriate source of the condition flags 924 for the execution unit 424.

The execution unit 424 receives the NCUALUOP microinstruction 126 of block 1056 from the reservation station 406, the source operand values from registers R1 and T3 of the register file 106, and the condition flags 924 from the condition flags register 926 according to block 1202. The execution unit 424 performs the ALU operation on R1 and T3 (and the received C flag 902 if the ALU operation is a carry-using operation) to generate a result to be written to temporary register T2 according to block 1204. Additionally: (1) if the architectural condition flags 902 do not satisfy the specified condition (denoted NOT SATISFIED in FIG. 15), the execution unit 424 generates the new condition flags 928 values according to block 1216 for writing to the condition flags register 926; (2) if the architectural condition flags 902 satisfy the specified condition and the USE bit 908 is clear (denoted SAT., USE=0 in FIG. 15), the execution unit 424 generates the new condition flags 928 values according to block 1226 of FIG. 12 for writing to the condition flags register 926; and (3) if the architectural condition flags 902 satisfy the specified condition and the USE bit 908 is set (denoted SAT., USE=1 in FIG. 15), the execution unit 424 generates the new condition flags 928 values according to block 1228 of FIG. 12 for writing to the condition flags register 926. The execution of the CMOV microinstruction 126 of FIG. 15 is similar to that described with respect to FIG. 14. In an alternate embodiment, as described above, the USE bit 908 does not exist and instead the execution unit 424 examines the indicator within the conditional ALU microinstruction 126 to determine whether to update the architectural

53

carry flag 902 with the pre-shift carry flag value in the PSC bit 906 or with the old carry flag value 924.

As may be observed from the above, advantageously, the shift microinstruction 126 does not clobber the old value of the condition flags 902, but instead writes the old value of the condition flags 902 back to the condition flags register 926 so that the conditional ALU operation microinstruction 126 that receives the condition flags register 926 result from the shift microinstruction 126 can determine whether the old condition flags 902 satisfied the condition specified by the ISA conditional ALU instruction 124. Stated alternatively, if the shift microinstruction 126 had clobbered the old carry flag 902 with the newly generated carry flag value, then the conditional ALU operation microinstruction 126 would not have been able to determine whether the old condition flags 902 satisfied the specified condition.

Referring now to FIG. 16, a block diagram illustrating operation of the execution pipeline 112 of FIG. 1 to execute a conditional ALU instruction 124 according to the present invention is shown. More specifically, the conditional ALU instruction 124 is a flag-updating, pre-shifting, carry-updating conditional ALU operation ISA instruction 124 which the hardware instruction translator 104 translates into the microinstructions 126 of block 1054 of FIG. 10. The operation according to FIG. 16 is similar in many respects to the operation described with respect to FIG. 15 such that like operations are not repeated for the sake of brevity and differences are now described. The RAT 402 of FIG. 4 generates dependencies for the CUALUOP microinstruction 126 on the T3 register value written by the shift microinstruction 126, among others, but since the shift microinstruction 126 does not write the condition flags register 926, the RAT 402 does not generate a dependency upon it.

The execution unit 424 receives the shift microinstruction 126 of block 1054 from the reservation station 406 and the source operand values from registers R2 and R3 of the register file 106 according to block 1102, but not the condition flags 924 (unless the ALU operation is a carry-using operation). The execution unit 424 performs the shift operation on R2 and R3 (and the received C flag 902 if the ALU operation is a carry-using operation) to generate a result to be written to temporary register T3 according to block 1104. The T3 value is provided on forwarding bus 128 for consumption by the CUALUOP microinstruction 126, is written to the ROB 422 entry for consumption by the CUALUOP microinstruction 126 if not from the forwarding bus 128, and is eventually retired to its appropriate architectural state, barring the occurrence of an exception, branch misprediction, or other invalidating event, for consumption by the CUALUOP microinstruction 126 if not from the forwarding bus 128 or ROB 422 entry.

The execution unit 424 receives the CUALUOP microinstruction 126 of block 1054 from the reservation station 406, the source operand values from registers R1 and T3 of the register file 106, and the condition flags 924 from the condition flags register 926 according to block 1202. The execution unit 424 performs the ALU operation on R1 and T3 (and the received C flag 902 if the ALU operation is a carry-using operation) to generate a result to be written to temporary register T2 according to block 1204. Additionally: (1) if the architectural condition flags 902 do not satisfy the specified condition (denoted NOT SATISFIED in FIG. 16), the execution unit 424 generates the new condition flags 928 values according to block 1216 for writing to the condition flags register 926; and (2) if the architectural condition flags 902 satisfy the specified condition (denoted SATISFIED in FIG. 16), the execution unit 424 generates the new condition flags

54

928 values according to block 1222 of FIG. 12 for writing to the condition flags register 926. The execution of the CMOV microinstruction 126 of FIG. 16 is similar to that described with respect to FIG. 14.

Referring now to FIG. 17, a block diagram illustrating operation of the execution pipeline 112 of FIG. 1 to execute a conditional ALU instruction 124 according to the present invention is shown. More specifically, the conditional ALU instruction 124 is a non-flag-updating, pre-shifting, carry-using conditional ALU operation ISA instruction 124 which the hardware instruction translator 104 translates into the microinstructions 126 of block 1036 of FIG. 10. The operation according to FIG. 17 is similar in many respects to the operation described with respect to FIG. 16 such that like operations are not repeated for the sake of brevity and differences are now described. The execution of the shift microinstruction 126 of FIG. 17 is similar to that described with respect to FIG. 16.

The execution unit 424 receives the ALUOPUC microinstruction 126 of block 1036 from the reservation station 406, the source operand values from registers R1 and T3 of the register file 106, and the condition flags 924 from the condition flags register 926 according to block 1202. The execution unit 424 performs the ALU operation on R1 and T3 and the received C flag 902, since the ALU operation is a carry-using operation, to generate a result to be written to temporary register T2 according to block 1204. The execution unit 424 does not write to the condition flags register 926.

The execution unit 424 receives the XMOV microinstruction 126 of block 1036, the source operand values T2 and RD, and the condition flags 924 according to block 1302 of FIG. 13. The execution unit 424 outputs as its result the value of the T2 source operand if the condition flags 924 satisfy the specified condition and outputs the value of the RD source operand if the condition flags 924 do not satisfy the specified condition, according to blocks 1316 and 1314, respectively, of FIG. 13. The result value is provided on forwarding bus 128 for consumption by subsequent microinstructions 126, is written to the ROB 422 entry, and is eventually retired to its appropriate architectural state barring the occurrence of an exception, branch misprediction, or other invalidating event.

Referring now to FIG. 18, a block diagram illustrating operation of the execution pipeline 112 of FIG. 1 to execute a conditional ALU instruction 124 according to the present invention is shown. More specifically, the conditional ALU instruction 124 is a non-flag-updating, pre-shifting, non-carry-using conditional ALU operation ISA instruction 124 which the hardware instruction translator 104 translates into the microinstructions 126 of block 1034 of FIG. 10. The operation according to FIG. 18 is similar in many respects to the operation described with respect to FIG. 17 such that like operations are not repeated for the sake of brevity and differences are now described. The execution of the shift microinstruction 126 of FIG. 18 is similar to that described with respect to FIG. 16. The execution of the ALUOP microinstruction 126 of FIG. 18 is similar to the execution of the ALUOPUC microinstruction 126 of FIG. 17 except that the ALUOP microinstruction 126 of FIG. 18 does not use the C flag 902 to generate its result. The execution of the XMOV microinstruction 126 of FIG. 18 is similar to the execution of the XMOV microinstruction 126 of FIG. 17.

Referring now to FIG. 19, a block diagram illustrating operation of the execution pipeline 112 of FIG. 1 to execute a conditional ALU instruction 124 according to the present invention is shown. More specifically, the conditional ALU instruction 124 is a non-flag-updating, non-pre-shifting, carry-using conditional ALU operation ISA instruction 124

which the hardware instruction translator **104** translates into the microinstructions **126** of block **1026** of FIG. **10**. The operation according to FIG. **19** is similar in many respects to the operation described with respect to FIG. **17** such that like operations are not repeated for the sake of brevity and differences are now described. The translation of the conditional ALU instruction **124** is a non-flag-updating, non-pre-shifting, carry-using conditional ALU operation ISA instruction **124** does not include a shift microinstruction **126**.

The execution unit **424** receives the ALUOPUC microinstruction **126** of block **1026** from the reservation station **406**, the source operand values from registers R1 and R2 of the register file **106**, and the condition flags **924** from the condition flags register **926** according to block **1202**. The execution unit **424** performs the ALU operation on R1 and R2 and the received C flag **902**, since the ALU operation is a carry-using operation, to generate a result to be written to temporary register T2 according to block **1204**. The execution unit **424** does not write to the condition flags register **926**. The execution of the XMOV microinstruction **126** of FIG. **19** is similar to the execution of the XMOV microinstruction **126** of FIG. **17**.

Referring now to FIG. **20**, a block diagram illustrating operation of the execution pipeline **112** of FIG. **1** to execute a conditional ALU instruction **124** according to the present invention is shown. More specifically, the conditional ALU instruction **124** is a non-flag-updating, non-pre-shifting, non-carry-using conditional ALU operation ISA instruction **124** which the hardware instruction translator **104** translates into the microinstructions **126** of block **1024** of FIG. **10**. The operation according to FIG. **20** is similar in many respects to the operation described with respect to FIG. **19** such that like operations are not repeated for the sake of brevity and differences are now described. The execution of the ALUOP microinstruction **126** of FIG. **20** is similar to the execution of the ALUOPUC microinstruction **126** of FIG. **19** except that the ALUOP microinstruction **126** of FIG. **20** does not use the C flag **902** to generate its result. The execution of the XMOV microinstruction **126** of FIG. **20** is similar to the execution of the XMOV microinstruction **126** of FIG. **17**.

As may be observed from the foregoing, embodiments described herein potentially avoid disadvantages of allowing microinstructions **126** to specify an additional source operand, which may include the following. First, it may require an additional read port on the general purpose register file for each execution unit **424** that would execute microinstructions **126** with an additional source operand. Second, it may require an additional read port on the ROB **422** for each execution unit **424** that would execute microinstructions **126** with an additional source operand. Third, it may require more wires for the forwarding buses **128** for each execution unit **424** that would execute microinstructions **126** with an additional source operand. Fourth, it may require an additional relatively large multiplexer for each execution unit **424** that would execute microinstructions **126** with an additional source operand. Fifth, it may require Q additional tag comparators, where

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^n (R[i] * P[i] * J[i]),$$

where n is the number of execution units **424**, R[i] is the number of reservation station **406** entries for execution unit[i] **424**, P[i] is the maximum number of source operands specifiable by a microinstruction executable by execution unit[i] **424**, and J[i] is the number of execution units **424** that are capable of forwarding to execution unit[i] **424**. Sixth, it may require additional renaming lookup in the RAT **402** for the additional source operand. Seventh, it may require the reservation stations **406** to be expanded to handle the additional

source operand. The additional cost in terms of speed, power, and real estate might be undesirable.

#### Same-Source-Destination Optimization Embodiments

Referring now to FIG. **21**, a flowchart illustrating operation of the hardware instruction translator **104** of FIG. **1** to translate conditional ALU instructions **124** according to the present invention is shown. Generally, the operation of the hardware instruction translator **104** according to FIG. **21** is similar in many ways to the operation of the hardware instruction translator **104** according to FIG. **10**, particularly with respect to the various decisions made at the decision blocks, which are all therefore similarly numbered.

In FIG. **21**, block **1002** of FIG. **10** is replaced with block **2102** in which the conditional ALU instruction **124** encountered by the hardware instruction translator **104** is different from that encountered at block **1002** because the conditional ALU instruction **124** encountered at block **2102** specifies one of the source registers to be the same register as the destination register. Advantageously, the hardware instruction translator **104** is configured to recognize this condition and make optimizations to the microinstruction **126** it emits. In particular, the hardware instruction translator **104** decodes the same-source-destination conditional ALU instruction **124** and translates it into different sequence of microinstructions **126** than those described with respect to blocks **1024**, **1026**, **1034**, **1036**, **1044**, **1054**, and **1056** (the "ten hundred blocks") of FIG. **10**. The different sequence of microinstructions **126** are described in blocks **2124**, **2126**, **2134**, **2136**, **2144**, **2154** and **2156** (the "twenty-one hundred blocks") in FIG. **21** that replace their corresponding ten hundred block. In particular, the microinstruction **126** sequence in each of the twenty-one hundred blocks has one less microinstruction **126** than the microinstruction **126** sequence in its corresponding ten hundred block. More specifically, the twenty-one hundred block sequences do not include the CMOV or XMOV microinstructions **126**, and the selective writing of either the original destination register value or the result value is performed by a conditional ALU microinstruction **126** at the end of the sequence, as discussed in more detail below.

At block **2124**, the hardware instruction translator **104** translates the same-source-destination non-flag-updating, non-pre-shifting, non-carry-using conditional ALU instruction **124** into a single microinstruction **126**, namely, a conditional ALU operation microinstruction **126** (denoted ALUOP CC). In the example of block **2124**, the conditional ALU instruction **124** is similar to that described with respect to block **1024**, except that the first source operand is the destination register (RD). Thus, the conditional ALU instruction **124** specifies a first source register (RD) and a second source register (R2), an ALU operation (denoted ALUOP) to be performed on RD and R2 to generate a result, and a destination register (RD), which is the same as the first source register, to which the result is conditionally written. The conditional ALUOP microinstruction **126** specifies the same ALU operation and condition as the conditional ALU instruction **124**. The execution unit **424** that executes the conditional ALUOP microinstruction **126** receives the old, or current, value of the destination register RD and receives the second source operand value R2, according to block **1202**, and performs the ALU operation on the two source operands to generate a result, according to block **1204**. The execution unit **424** also receives the condition flags **924** and examines them to determine whether they satisfy the specified condition, according to block **1204**. If so, the execution unit **424** outputs the result, according to block **1211**, and otherwise outputs the old destination register value, according to block **1212**. The



57

execution of the conditional ALUOP microinstruction 126 is shown in the block diagram of FIG. 28. Flow ends at block 2124.

At block 2126, the hardware instruction translator 104 translates the same-source-destination non-flag-updating, non-pre-shifting, carry-using conditional ALU instruction 124 into a single microinstruction 126, namely, a carry-using conditional ALU operation microinstruction 126 (denoted ALUOPUC CC). In the example of block 2126, the conditional ALU instruction 124 is similar to that of block 2124, except that the ALU operation it specifies uses the carry flag, and is similar to that described with respect to block 1026, except that the first source operand is the destination register (RD). The conditional ALUOPUC microinstruction 126 is similar to that described with respect to block 2124; however, the ALU operation it specifies uses the carry flag. The execution of the conditional ALUOPUC microinstruction 126, as shown in the block diagram of FIG. 27, is similar to the execution of the conditional ALUOP microinstruction 126 of block 2124 except that the execution unit 424 uses the carry flag to perform the ALU operation. Flow ends at block 2126.

At block 2134, the hardware instruction translator 104 translates the same-source-destination non-flag-updating, pre-shifting, non-carry-using conditional ALU instruction 124 into first and second microinstructions 126, namely: (1) a shift microinstruction 126; and (2) a conditional ALUOP microinstruction 126. In the example of block 2134, the conditional ALU instruction 124 is similar to that described with respect to block 1034, except that the first source operand is the destination register (RD), and is similar to that described with respect to block 2124, except the conditional ALU instruction 124 also specifies a pre-shift operation on the second source operand (R2) by a shift amount which, in the example of block 2134, is held in a third source register (R3) specified by the conditional ALU instruction 124. However, if the conditional ALU instruction 124 is of the type that specifies the shift amount as a constant within the instruction 124, then the third source register is not used. The shift microinstruction 126 is similar to that described with respect to block 1034, and the execution unit 424 executes the shift microinstruction 126 similar to the manner described with respect to block 1034 and FIG. 18. Although in the case of block 2134 the carry flag value generated by the shift microinstruction 126 is not used since the conditional ALU instruction 124 specifies that the architectural condition flags 902 are not updated, in the case of block 2156, for example, the carry flag value generated by the shift microinstruction 126 is used as discussed in more detail below. Furthermore, the pre-shift operation may require the old carry flag to be rotated into the resulting shifted value; for example, the RRX pre-shift operation shifts the carry flag into the most significant bit of the result. In such situations, although not shown in FIG. 21 (except in block 2156), when the execution unit 424 executes the shift microinstruction 126 it will also read the condition flags 924 to get the current carry flag value. The conditional ALUOP microinstruction 126 and its execution is similar to that described with respect to block 2124; however, it receives the value of T3 rather than R2 and performs the ALU operation on R1 and T3 to generate the result written to RD. The execution of the shift microinstruction 126 and the conditional ALUOP microinstruction 126 is shown in the block diagram of FIG. 26. Flow ends at block 2134.

At block 2136, the hardware instruction translator 104 translates the same-source-destination non-flag-updating, pre-shifting, carry-using conditional ALU instruction 124 into first and second microinstructions 126, namely: (1) a shift microinstruction 126; and (2) a carry-using conditional

58

ALUOP microinstruction 126 (denoted ALUOPUC CC). In the example of block 2136, the conditional ALU instruction 124 is similar to that of block 2134, except that the ALU operation it specifies uses the carry flag, and is similar to that described with respect to block 1036, except that the first source operand is the destination register (RD). The two microinstructions 126 and their execution are similar to those described with respect to block 2134; however, the ALUOPUC microinstruction 126 also receives the condition flags 924 in order to obtain the current value of the carry flag for use in the carry-using ALU operation. The execution of the shift microinstruction 126 and the conditional ALUOPUC microinstruction 126, as shown in the block diagram of FIG. 25, is similar to the execution of the shift microinstruction 126 and the conditional ALUOP microinstruction 126 of block 2134 except that the execution unit 424 uses the carry flag to perform the ALU operation. Flow ends at block 2136.

At block 2144, the hardware instruction translator 104 translates the same-source-destination flag-updating, non-pre-shifting conditional ALU instruction 124 into a single microinstruction 126, namely a conditional ALU operation microinstruction 126 (denoted ALUOP CC). In the example of block 2144, the conditional ALU instruction 124 is similar to the conditional ALU instruction 124 of block 2124 except that it updates the architectural condition flags 902, and is similar to that described with respect to block 1044, except that the first source operand is the destination register (RD). The conditional ALU operation microinstruction 126 of block 2144 and its execution are similar to that of block 2124, except that the ALU operation microinstruction 126 of block 2144 also updates the architectural condition flags 902, and is similar to the conditional ALU microinstruction 126 of block 1044, except that its first operand is the destination register (RD) rather than R1 and its destination register is RD rather than T2. The execution unit 424 that executes the conditional ALU microinstruction 126 receives RD and R2 as source operands, according to block 1202, and performs the specified ALU operation on the two source operands to generate a result, according to block 1204. The execution unit 424 also receives the architectural condition flags 902 and determines whether they satisfy the specified condition, according to block 1206. If so, the execution unit 424 outputs the ALU operation result for writing to RD, according to block 1222 or 1226 depending upon whether the ALU operation is carry-updating, and otherwise outputs the old value of RD, according to block 1216. Furthermore, the execution unit 424 writes the condition flags register 926, according to block 1216, 1222 or 1226 depending upon whether the condition was satisfied and whether the ALU operation was carry-updating. If the condition was not satisfied, the execution unit 424 writes the old condition flag values to the architectural condition flags 902, according to block 1216; whereas, if the condition was satisfied, the execution unit 424 updates the architectural condition flags 902 based on the result of the ALU operation, according to block 1222 for a carry-updating ALU operation and according to block 1226 for a non-carry-updating ALU operation. The execution of the conditional ALUOP microinstruction 126 is shown in the block diagram of FIG. 22. It is noted that the ALU operation performed by the conditional ALU operation microinstruction 126 generated at block 2144 (and at blocks 1054 and 1056) may be an ALU operation that uses the carry flag (similar to those described with respect to blocks 1026 and 1036), and since the microinstruction 126 reads the flags (as indicated by the RDFLAGS indicator) the execution unit 424 has the carry flag to perform the carry-using ALU operation. Flow ends at block 2144.



At block 2154, the hardware instruction translator 104 translates the same-source-destination flag-updating, pre-shifting, carry-updating conditional ALU instruction 124 into first and second microinstructions 126, namely: (1) a shift microinstruction 126; and (2) a conditional carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction 126 (denoted CUALUOP CC). In the example of block 2154, the conditional ALU instruction 124 is similar to that described with respect to block 2134, except the conditional ALU instruction 124 also specifies that the architectural condition flags 902 are to be updated, and is similar to that described with respect to block 1054, except that the first source operand is the destination register (RD). The shift microinstruction 126 is similar to that described with respect to block 1034, and the execution unit 424 executes the shift microinstruction 126 similar to the manner described with respect to block 1034 and FIG. 18. The CUALUOP microinstruction 126 and its execution are similar to the conditional ALU microinstruction 126 of block 2124, except that the CUALUOP microinstruction 126 of block 2144 also updates the architectural condition flags 902, and is similar to the conditional ALU microinstruction 126 of block 1054, except that its first operand is the destination register (RD) rather than R1 and its destination register is RD rather than T2. The execution unit 424 that executes the CUALUOP microinstruction 126 receives RD and T3 as source operands, according to block 1202, and performs the specified ALU operation on RD and T3 to generate a result, according to block 1204. Additionally, the execution unit 424 receives the architectural condition flags 902, according to block 1202, and determines whether they satisfy the specified condition, according to block 1206. Furthermore, the execution unit 424 updates the condition flags register 926, according to block 1216 or block 1222, depending upon whether the condition was satisfied. If the condition was not satisfied, the execution unit 424 writes the old condition flag values to the architectural condition flags 902; whereas, if the condition was satisfied, the execution unit 424 updates the architectural condition flags 902 based on the result of the ALU operation. The execution of the shift microinstruction 126 and the conditional ALUOP microinstruction 126 is shown in the block diagram of FIG. 24. Flow ends at block 2154.

At block 2156, the hardware instruction translator 104 translates the same-source-destination flag-updating, pre-shifting, non-carry-updating conditional ALU instruction 124 into first and second microinstructions 126, namely: (1) a shift microinstruction 126; and (2) a conditional non-carry-updating ALU operation microinstruction 126 (denoted NCUALUOP CC). In the example of block 2156, the conditional ALU instruction 124 is similar to that described with respect to block 2154, except the conditional ALU instruction 124 specifies a non-carry-updating ALU operation, and is similar to that described with respect to block 1056, except that the first source operand is the destination register (RD). Consequently, the architectural carry flag 902 is updated with the pre-shift carry flag value if the condition is satisfied. The shift microinstruction 126 is similar to that described with respect to block 2134; however, the shift microinstruction 126 reads and writes the condition flags register 926. More specifically, the execution unit 424 that executes the shift microinstruction 126: (1) writes the carry flag value generated by the pre-shift operation to the PSC bit 906; (2) sets the USE bit 908 to instruct the conditional NCUALUOP microinstruction 126 to use the PSC 906 to update the architectural carry flag 902; and (3) writes the old architectural condition flags 902 back to the condition flags register 926, according to block 1114, so that the NCUALUOP microinstruction 126 can evaluate the old value of the architectural condition flags

902 to determine whether they satisfy the specified condition. The NCUALUOP microinstruction 126 specifies the same condition specified by the conditional ALU instruction 124. The execution unit 424 that executes the NCUALUOP microinstruction 126 performs the ALU operation on RD and T3 to generate a result, according to block 1204. Additionally, the execution unit 424 receives the architectural condition flags 902 and determines whether they satisfy the condition, according to block 1206. Furthermore, the execution unit 424 writes the condition flags register 926, according to block 1216, 1226 or 1228, depending upon whether the condition was satisfied and whether the USE bit 908 is set. More specifically, if the condition was not satisfied, the execution unit 424 writes the old condition flag values to the architectural condition flags 902, according to block 1216; whereas, if the condition was satisfied, the execution unit 424 updates the architectural condition flags 902 based on the result of the ALU operation, according to block 1226 or 1228, depending upon whether the USE bit 908 is set. More specifically, the architectural overflow (V) flag 902 is written with the old overflow flag value 924 and the N and Z flags are written with the new values generated based on the result. Additionally, the architectural carry flag 902 is updated with the pre-shift carry flag value in the PSC bit 906 if the USE bit 908 so indicates, according to block 1228, and otherwise is updated with the old carry flag value 924, according to block 1226. The execution of the shift microinstruction 126 and the NCUALUOP microinstruction 126 is shown in the block diagram of FIG. 23. Flow ends at block 2156.

There are advantages associated with the fact that the hardware instruction translator 104 makes an optimization when the conditional ALU instruction 124 specifies the destination register is the same as one of the source registers and generates a sequence of with one less microinstruction 126. First, it may increase the lookahead capability of the microprocessor 100 to exploit instruction level parallelism of the program being run, which typically increases the utilization of the execution units 424. The lookahead capability may be increased because the reduction in the number of microinstructions 126 means an increase in free slots in the ROB 422 for additional microinstructions 126 associated with subsequently translated ISA instructions 124, which potentially creates a larger pool of microinstructions 126 who are ready to be issued for execution. Second, because there is a predetermined number of slots to which the hardware instruction translator 104 can emit microinstruction 126 each clock cycle and, at least in one embodiment the hardware instruction translator 104 must emit in the same clock cycle all the microinstructions 126 that implement a given ISA instruction 124, reducing the number of microinstructions 126 into which a conditional ALU instruction 124 is translated may also decrease the average number of empty microinstruction 126 slots per clock, which also tends to increase the lookahead capability of the microprocessor 100 and utilization of the execution units 424.

#### Conditional Non-Branch Instruction Prediction

Described above are embodiments for translating a conditional non-branch instruction, namely a conditional ALU instruction, into microinstructions in a read port-limited pipelined microprocessor. A first microinstruction performs an ALU operation and writes the result to a temporary register. A second microinstruction receives both the result from the temporary register and the current value of the destination register, and writes the result to the destination register if the condition was satisfied and writes the current value back to the destination register if the condition was not satisfied. Similarly, U.S. Provisional Application 61/473,062 describes

embodiments for translating a conditional non-branch instruction, namely a conditional load instruction, into microinstructions in a read port-limited pipelined microprocessor. The instruction translator translates the conditional load instruction into two microinstructions: (1) a load microinstruction that also gets the condition code and flags, and if the condition is not met it does not update architectural state (e.g., memory write as side effect of table walk or generate exception) and loads a dummy value into a temporary register, but if the condition is met it loads the real value from memory into the temporary register; and (2) a conditional move that receives the current value of the destination register and moves that current value back into the destination register if the condition is not true, but moves the value from the temporary register into the destination register if the condition is true.

Although this solution is an improvement over conventional methods, it involves additional overhead, namely a second microinstruction and latency associated with the dependency of the second microinstruction on the first microinstruction. Additionally, a second slot in other structures of the microprocessor, such as the microinstruction queue, reorder buffer, reservation stations, and execution units is consumed by the second microinstruction. Furthermore, the presence of the second microinstruction may reduce the average number of instructions per clock cycle emitted, issued, and retired by the instruction translator, instruction issue unit, and instruction retire unit, respectively, and therefore limit the throughput of the processor.

A potentially higher performance solution, described herein, is to include a prediction mechanism, akin to branch prediction methods, that predicts the conditional non-branch instruction direction, i.e., whether the condition is satisfied or not satisfied such that the conditional non-branch instruction is executed or not executed, respectively. This solution enables the instruction translator to emit a single microinstruction based on the prediction, rather than multiple microinstructions. The microprocessor also includes a mechanism for recovering from a misprediction.

Both static and dynamic prediction mechanism embodiments are described. The static prediction mechanism is akin to static branch prediction. The dynamic, or history-based, prediction mechanism examines the program counter/instruction pointer value of the conditional non-branch instruction when it is fetched from the instruction cache, akin to the manner in which a branch target address cache (BTAC) operates.

In the static prediction mechanism, the static predictor looks at the operation and/or the condition code specified by the conditional non-branch instruction (e.g., ALU operation is ADD, condition code is EQUAL) and predicts executed or not executed based on profiling data. For example, for ALU operation/condition code combinations in which the empirical data shows that the conditional non-branch instruction is executed a sufficiently large percentage of the time, the static predictor predicts executed, and the instruction translator emits a single unconditional microinstruction, e.g.:

```
adcc dst, src1, src2
```

The condition codes and flags are provided to the microinstruction (hence adcc) so that the execution unit can determine whether the prediction was correct and if not generate a misprediction indication.

Conversely, for operation/condition code combinations in which the empirical data shows that the conditional non-branch instruction is not executed a sufficiently large percent-

age of the time, the static predictor predicts not executed, and the instruction translator emits a single nop microinstruction, e.g.:

```
nopcc
```

Again, the condition codes and flags are provided to the microinstruction (hence nopcc) so that the execution unit can generate a misprediction indication if necessary.

For combinations in which the percentage of executed/not executed is not sufficiently large enough to warrant static prediction, the instruction translator reverts to the lower performance multiple microinstruction solution described above, e.g., the translator emits two microinstructions:

```
add tmp, src1, src2
```

```
movcc dst, src-dst, tmp // src-dst is the current dst reg value
```

In the dynamic prediction mechanism, a BTAC-like structure, referred to herein as the conditional ALU direction cache (CADC) caches a history of directions of previously-executed conditional non-branch instructions and their program counter/instruction pointer value and predicts the direction of a subsequently fetched conditional non-branch instruction based on the history in the CADC entry that the fetch address value hits. The CADC provides its prediction to the instruction translator, which emits microinstructions based on the prediction as described above with respect to the static predictor.

The recovery mechanism flushes the pipeline of the conditional non-branch instruction and all instructions after it (more specifically, microinstructions translated there from), or at least all instructions that depend either directly or indirectly from it and then replays all the flushed instructions. On replay of the conditional non-branch instruction, preferably the translator reverts to emitting the multiple microinstruction method.

In one embodiment, both the static and dynamic predictors are implemented, and a history is kept of which predictor has been more accurate for each program counter/instruction pointer value. One of the two predictors is dynamically selected to provide the final prediction based on the history, according to well-known two-level hybrid branch predictor methods.

It should be noted that there is a cost (pipeline flush and replay of the conditional non-branch instruction and the instructions after it—or at least the instructions that depend either directly or indirectly from it) associated with mispredicting the conditional non-branch instruction, and that cost is variable and a function of the application code and/or data set. Therefore, the conditional non-branch instruction prediction solution could actually be a lower performance solution for some application code and/or data set mixes.

A non-branch instruction is defined herein as an instruction that does not write the program counter of the microprocessor. Consequently, the microprocessor fetches and executes the next sequential instruction after the non-branch instruction. The program counter is the term used in the ARM architecture. However, other architectures may use a different term for the program counter. For example, the x86 ISA uses the term instruction pointer and other ISAs use instruction address register. A non-branch instruction is contrasted with a branch instruction, which writes an address to the program counter/instruction pointer, which causes the microprocessor to branch to the address. That is, the microprocessor begins to fetch instructions from the address written to the program counter/instruction pointer by the branch instruction and to execute the fetched instructions. This is in contrast to fetching and executing the next sequential instruction after the branch instruction, which is the default operation of the microprocessor and is the operation when encountering a non-branch

63

instruction. Examples of conditional non-branch instructions are conditional ALU instructions and conditional load/store instructions.

Referring now to FIG. 29, a block diagram illustrating a microprocessor 100 that makes predictions of non-conditional branch instructions according to the present invention is shown. The microprocessor 100 of FIG. 29 is similar to the microprocessor 100 of FIG. 1 and includes similar elements from FIG. 1 and FIG. 4, including the instruction cache 102, instruction translator 104, configuration register 122, RAT 402, instruction issue unit 408, execution units 424, and ROB 422. The execution units 424 include one or more units that execute microinstructions 126 as described herein. Additionally, the execution units 424 execute no-operation (NOP or no-op) microinstructions 126. A no-operation microinstruction 126 instructs the execution unit 424 to perform no operation. More specifically, the no-operation microinstruction 126 described herein also includes a condition, or condition code, that was specified by the conditional ALU instruction 124 from which the no-operation microinstruction 126 was translated. The no-operation microinstruction 126 is described in more detail below. The microprocessor 100 also includes architectural registers and temporary registers 106 and the flags 926 of FIG. 9.

The microprocessor 100 of FIG. 29 also includes a dynamic predictor 2932, a static predictor 2936, and a predictor selector 2934, which are coupled to the instruction translator 104 and which are used to predict the direction (executed or not executed) of a conditional ALU instruction 124 (of FIG. 2). The fetch address 134 of FIG. 1 is also provided to the dynamic predictor 2932 and to the predictor selector 2934.

The dynamic predictor 2932 and predictor selector 2934 each comprise a cache memory having a plurality of entries. Each entry caches a memory address of a previously executed ARM conditional ALU instruction 124. That is, when the microprocessor 100 retires a conditional ALU instruction 124, the dynamic predictor 2932 and predictor selector 2934 are checked to see whether they contain an entry with the address of the conditional ALU instruction 124. If so, the entry is updated based on the correct direction of the conditional ALU instruction 124 indicated on a history update indicator 2974; if not, an entry is allocated for the conditional ALU instruction 124 in each of the dynamic predictor 2932 and predictor selector 2934. In one embodiment, the dynamic predictor 2932 and predictor selector 2934, although shown as separate entities in FIG. 1, are integrated into a single cache memory array. That is, each entry in the single array includes both the direction prediction and selector fields of the dynamic predictor 2932 and predictor selector 2934, respectively, discussed below.

Each entry in the dynamic predictor 2932 also includes a field for storing a direction prediction for the conditional ALU instruction 124 whose address is stored in the entry. The direction prediction is updated in response to the correct direction from the retirement of a conditional ALU instruction 124 at the address. The direction prediction may comprise various forms. For example, the direction prediction comprises a single bit which simply indicates executed or not executed. The bit is set to one value if the direction was executed and set to the other value if the direction was not executed. For another example, the direction prediction comprises a multi-bit counter that is incremented in a saturating manner if the direction was executed and decremented in a saturating manner if the direction was not executed. A counter

64

value greater than the median value predicts executed and a counter value less than the median value predicts not executed.

Each time a block of instructions is fetched from the instruction cache 102, the fetch address 134 is provided to the dynamic predictor 2932 which looks up the fetch address 134 to determine whether or not it matches a valid tag of its cache memory array, i.e., hits or misses. If the fetch address 134 misses, the dynamic predictor 2932 outputs a value that indicates no prediction (NP) on its dynamic prediction output 2982. If the fetch address 134 hits, the dynamic predictor 2932 outputs a value on the dynamic prediction output 2982 that indicates either an executed (E) direction or a not executed (NE) direction based on the direction prediction field value stored in the matching entry. In one embodiment, even if the fetch address 134 hits, the dynamic predictor 2932 may output a value on the dynamic prediction output 2982 that indicates NP, for example if the history indicates that there is an almost equal likelihood that the conditional ALU instruction 124 will be executed as not executed, i.e., an almost equal likelihood that the condition will be satisfied as not satisfied. The direction prediction 2982 is provided to the instruction translator 104.

Each entry in the predictor selector 2934 also includes a field for storing a selector for the conditional ALU instruction 124 whose address is stored in the entry. The selector indicates whether the dynamic predictor 2932 or the static predictor 2936 is more likely to correctly predict the direction of the conditional ALU instruction 124. The selector is updated in response to the retirement of a conditional ALU instruction 124 at the address, in particular, based on the correct direction and information indicated on the history update indicator 2974 indicating the prediction made by each of the dynamic predictor 2932 and static predictor 2936. The selector may comprise various forms. For example, the selector comprises a single bit which simply indicates the dynamic predictor 2932 or static predictor 2936. The bit is set to one value if the dynamic predictor 2932 correctly predicted the direction and set to the other value if the static predictor 2936 correctly predicted the direction. If both correctly predicted the direction, then the predictor that was selected to make the prediction is retained. For another example, the selector comprises a multi-bit counter that is incremented in a saturating manner if the dynamic predictor 2932 correctly predicted the direction and decremented in a saturating manner if the static predictor 2936 correctly predicted the direction. If both correctly predicted the direction, then the counter value is not updated. A counter value greater than the median value predicts the dynamic predictor 2932 will correctly predict the direction and a counter value less than the median value predicts the static predictor 2936 will correctly predict the direction.

Each time a block of instructions is fetched from the instruction cache 102, the fetch address 134 is provided to the predictor selector 2934 which looks up the fetch address 134 to determine whether or not it matches a valid tag of its cache memory array, i.e., hits or misses. If the fetch address 134 misses, the predictor selector 2934 outputs a value that indicates no prediction (NP) on its prediction selection output 2984. If the fetch address 134 hits, the predictor selector 2934 outputs a value on the prediction selection output 2984 that indicates either the dynamic predictor 2932 (D) (i.e., the dynamic predictor 2932) or the static predictor 2936 (S) based on the selector field value stored in the matching entry. In one embodiment, even if the fetch address 134 hits, the predictor selector 2934 may output a value on the selection output 2984 that indicates NP, for example if the history

indicates that neither the dynamic predictor **2932** nor the static predictor **2936** has a sufficiently high likelihood of predicting correctly. The prediction selection **2984** is provided to the instruction translator **104**.

The static predictor **2936** receives the instruction **124** fetched from the instruction cache **102** and analyzes the condition code and/or the particular ALU function specified by the instruction **124** to make a prediction of the direction of the conditional ALU instruction **124**. The static predictor **2936** includes in essence a lookup table that includes an E, NE, or NP indication associated with each of the possible condition code/ALU function combinations. Preferably, the E, NE, or NP indications are configured in the static predictor **2936** based on empirical data taken from the execution of programs written for the ARM instruction set architecture, as discussed above. The static prediction **2986** is provided to the instruction translator **104**. In one embodiment, the static predictor **2936** is integrated within the instruction translator **104**.

The instruction translator **104** uses the predictions **2982/2984/2986** to translate a conditional ALU instruction **124** into microinstructions **126**, as described in more detail below with respect to FIGS. **30** and **31**. The predictions **2982/2984/2986** are also piped down the microprocessor **100** pipeline along with the conditional ALU instruction **124** for use by the execution units **424** to determine whether each predictor **2932/2934/2936** correctly or incorrectly predicted the direction of the conditional ALU instruction **124**. In one embodiment, in recognition of the fact that the instruction block fetched from the instruction cache **102** each clock cycle may include multiple conditional ALU instructions **124**, the dynamic predictor **2932** and predictor selector **2934** and static predictor **2936** each generate multiple predictions **2982/2984/2986** each clock cycle.

In one embodiment, the microarchitecture of the microprocessor **100** is similar in many ways to a microarchitecture of the VIA Nano™ Processor manufactured by VIA Technologies, Inc., of Taipei, Taiwan, but modified to support the ARM instruction set architecture. Advantageously, the VIA Nano processor microarchitecture is a high performance out-of-order execution superscalar microarchitecture that supports the x86 instruction set architecture and which is modified as described herein to additionally support the ARM microarchitecture, and more particularly, the ARM conditional ALU instructions **124**, which is described in more detail below with respect to FIG. **2**.

The RAT **402** indicates that a conditional move microinstruction **3046** (described below with respect to FIG. **30**) is dependent upon the result of an ALU microinstruction **3044** (described below with respect to FIG. **30**), both of which are emitted by the instruction translator **104** when it translates a conditional ALU instruction **124** under certain conditions, namely when no prediction is available for a conditional ALU instruction **124** or when a conditional ALU instruction **124** was mispredicted and is replayed, as described below.

The temporary registers **106** store non-architectural state of the microprocessor **100**. The temporary registers **106** may be used by the microarchitecture to temporarily store intermediate values as needed to implement the instructions of the instruction set architectures. More specifically, the microinstructions emitted by the instruction translator **104** may specify the temporary registers **106** as source and/or destination operand locations. In particular, the ALU microinstruction **3044** of FIG. **30** may specify a temporary register **106** as its destination register, and the associated conditional move microinstruction **3046** specifies the same temporary register **106** as one of its source registers, as described in more detail below.

At least one of the execution units **424** includes an arithmetic logic unit (ALU) (not shown) configured to execute various microinstructions, including the ALU microinstruction **3044** and unconditional ALU with CC (condition code) microinstruction **3045** of FIG. **30**. Additionally, at least one of the execution units **424** is configured to execute the conditional move microinstruction **3046** and NOP with CC microinstruction **3047** of FIG. **30**. In the case of a conditional move microinstruction **3046**, an unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **3045**, or a NOP with CC microinstruction **3047** of FIG. **30**, the execution unit **424** receives the condition code value **224**, **254**, or **274** (see FIG. **30**) as an input and the current value of the flags **926**. The execution unit **424** determines whether the value of the flags **926** satisfies the condition specified by the condition code **224**, **254**, or **274**. Thus, the execution unit **424** determines the correct direction of the conditional ALU instruction **124** and additionally whether the dynamic predictor **2932** and/or static predictor **2936** mispredicted the direction of the conditional ALU instruction **124**, which is indicated on a misprediction indication **2976** provided to the ROB **422**. Additionally, the execution unit **424** determines whether the predictor selector **2934** selected the predictor **2932/2936** that correctly predicted the direction. The determinations are used to update the dynamic predictor **2932** and predictor selector **2934**. In the case of a conditional move microinstruction **3046**, the execution unit **424** moves the value of the temporary register **106** specified by the source register **1** field **226** into the architectural register **106** specified by the destination register field **232** of FIG. **30** if the condition is satisfied and otherwise moves the value of the architectural register **106** specified by the source register **2** field **228**, which is the original destination register value, into the architectural register **106** specified by the destination register field **232** if the condition is not satisfied.

The ROB **422** receives the results **128** from the execution units **424**, which includes an indication of whether the direction of a conditional ALU instruction **124** was mispredicted. If the direction was not mispredicted, the ROB **422** updates the architectural state of the microprocessor **100**, namely the flags **926** and the architectural register **106** specified by the destination register field **208** of the conditional ALU instruction **124** (which is reflected in the destination register field **232** and destination register field **258** of the conditional move microinstruction **3046** and unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **3045**, respectively, of FIG. **30**), with the results generated from the performance of the ALU operation specified by the opcode **202** of the conditional ALU instruction **124** upon the source operands specified by the source register **1** and source register **2** fields **206**. However, if the direction was mispredicted, the ROB **422** generates a true value on a mispredict indicator **2976**. The mispredict indicator **2976** is provided to the instruction translator **104** so that upon replay of the mispredicted conditional ALU instruction **124** the instruction translator **104** knows to revert to the multiple microinstruction technique according to a no prediction (NP) regime. The mispredict indicator **2976** is also provided to other relevant pipeline units such as the RAT **402** and instruction issue unit **408** so that they can flush microinstructions as needed. The ROB **422** also generates the history update values **2974** to update the dynamic predictor **2932** and predictor selector **2934** based on the conditional ALU instruction **124** results, namely the direction prediction results.

Referring now to FIG. **30**, a block diagram illustrating the translation of a conditional ALU instruction **124** by the instruction translator **104** of FIG. **29** according to the present invention is shown. As described herein, the instruction translator **104** of FIG. **29** translates the conditional ALU instruc-

tion **124** into three different possible sets of microinstructions depending upon the circumstances in which the instruction translator **104** is translating the conditional ALU instruction **124**, namely whether the conditional ALU instruction **124** is predicted executed (E), predicted not executed (NE), or not predicted (NP), as shown in FIG. **30**. In one embodiment, the conditional ALU instruction **124** is a conditional ALU instruction defined by the ARM instruction set architecture.

The conditional ALU instruction **124** includes an opcode field **202**, a condition code field **204**, source register **1** and source register **2** fields **206**, and a destination register field **208**. The opcode field **202** includes a value that differentiates the conditional ALU instruction **124** from other instructions in the instruction set architecture.

The condition code field **204** specifies a condition upon which the destination register will be selectively updated with the result of an ALU microinstruction **3044** (described below) depending upon whether the current value of the flags **926** satisfies the condition. According to one embodiment compatible with the ARM instruction set architecture, the condition code field **204** is specified in the upper four bits (i.e., bits [31:28]) of the conditional ALU instruction **124** to enable the coding of sixteen different possible values according to Table 3 below. With respect to the architecture version-dependent value (0b1111), the instruction is unpredictable according to one architecture version and is used to indicate an unconditional instruction extension space in other versions.

TABLE 3

field 204 value	mnemonic	meaning	flags 926 value
0000	EQ	Equal	Z set
0001	NE	Not Equal	Z clear
0010	CS/HS	Carry set/unsigned higher or same	C set
0011	CC/LO	Carry clear/unsigned lower	C clear
0100	MI	Minus/negative	N set
0101	PL	Plus/positive or zero	N clear
0110	VS	Overflow	V set
0111	VC	No overflow	V clear
1000	HI	Unsigned higher	C set and Z clear
1001	LS	Unsigned lower or same	C clear or Z set
1010	GE	Signed greater than or equal	N set and V set, or N clear and V clear (N == V)
1011	LT	Signed less than	N set and V clear, or N clear and V set (N != V)
1100	GT	Signed greater than	Z clear, and either N set and V set, or N clear and V clear (Z == 0, N == V)
1101	LE	Signed less than or equal	Z set, or N set and V clear, or N clear and V set (Z == 1 or N != V)
1110	AL	Always (unconditional)	—
1111	—	Architecture version-dependent	—

The source register **1** and source register **2** fields **206** specify immediate values and the architectural registers **106** holding the input operands upon which the ALU operation (e.g., add, subtract, multiply, divide, AND, OR, etc.) specified by the opcode **202** will be performed to generate a result that will be conditionally loaded into the architectural register **106** specified by the destination register field **208** if the condition is satisfied.

In the NP case, the instruction translator **104** translates the conditional ALU instruction **124** into an ALU microinstruction **3044** and a conditional move microinstruction **3046** for execution by the execution units **424**.

The ALU microinstruction **3044** includes an opcode field **212**, source register **1** and source register **2** fields **216**, and a destination register field **218**. The opcode field **212** includes a value that differentiates the ALU microinstruction **3044** from other microinstructions in the microinstruction set architecture of the microprocessor **100**. The ALU function specified by the opcode **202** of the conditional ALU instruction **124** is conveyed in the opcode field **212** of the ALU microinstruction **3044**. The source register **1** and source register **2** fields **216** specify immediate values and the architectural registers **106** holding the operands upon which the ALU operation specified by the opcode **212** will be performed to generate a result that will be loaded into the architectural or temporary register **106** specified by the destination register field **218**, and the instruction translator **104** populates the source register **1** and source register **2** fields **216** of the ALU microinstruction **3044** with the same values as the source register **1** and source register **2** fields **206** of the conditional ALU instruction **124** when it translates the conditional ALU instruction **124** in the NP case. When the instruction translator **104** translates the conditional ALU instruction **124**, it populates the destination register field **218** to specify a temporary register **106** to receive the result of the ALU operation.

The conditional move microinstruction **3046** includes an opcode field **222**, a condition code field **224**, a source register **1** field **226**, a source register **2** field **228**, and a destination register field **232**. The opcode field **222** includes a value that differentiates the conditional move microinstruction **3046** from other microinstructions in the microinstruction set architecture of the microprocessor **100**. The condition code field **224** specifies a condition upon which the move operation will be selectively performed depending upon whether the current value of the flags **926** satisfies the condition in the same sense as the condition code field **204** of the conditional ALU instruction **124**. Indeed, the instruction translator **104** populates the condition code field **224** of the conditional move microinstruction **3046** with the same value as the condition code field **204** of the conditional ALU instruction **124** when it translates the conditional ALU instruction **124**. The source register **1** field **226** specifies an architectural register **106** or temporary register **106** from which a first source operand will be provided to the conditional move microinstruction **3046**, and the source register **2** field **228** specifies an architectural register **106** or temporary register **106** from which a second source operand will be provided to the conditional move microinstruction **3046**. When the instruction translator **104** translates the conditional ALU instruction **124**, it populates the source register **1** field **226** with the same value with which it populates the destination register field **218** of the ALU microinstruction **3044**, and the instruction translator **104** populates the source register **2** field **228** with the same value as the destination register field **208** of the conditional ALU instruction **124**, i.e., the source register **2** field **228** causes the conditional move microinstruction **3046** to receive the current value of the destination register so that the current value may be written back to the destination register if the condition is not satisfied. The instruction translator **104** populates the destination register field **232** with the same value as the destination register field **208** of the conditional ALU instruction **124** so that either the current value of the destination register specified by the conditional ALU instruction **124** (i.e., the value prior to execution of the conditional ALU instruction **124**) is loaded into the destination register if the

condition is not met or the value from the temporary register that holds the result of the ALU microinstruction **3044** is loaded into the destination register if the condition is met.

In one embodiment, in the NP case, the instruction translator **104** translates conditional ALU instructions **124** into the microinstructions **126** of the embodiments described above with respect to FIGS. **10** through **28**. As described, the set of microinstructions **126** varies depending upon the characteristics of the conditional ALU instruction **124**, such as whether one of the source registers is the destination register, whether it is a flag-updating instruction, whether it specifies a pre-shift, whether it uses the current carry flag value, and whether the ALU operation updates the carry flag in the flag-updating pre-shifting case. In particular, in some cases of a pre-shifting conditional ALU instruction **124**, the set of microinstructions **126** may include three microinstructions **126** as shown in FIG. **10** rather than the two microinstructions **126** shown in FIG. **30**. Additionally, in the case of a conditional ALU instruction **124** that specifies one of the source registers is the destination register, the set of microinstructions **126** may include one less microinstruction **126** as observed by comparing FIG. **21** with FIG. **10**. More specifically, the set does not include a conditional move microinstruction **126**, but instead the conditional move functionality is provided by a conditional ALU microinstruction **126**. Consequently, in some cases the set includes a single microinstruction **126** as shown in FIG. **21** rather than the two microinstructions **126** shown in FIG. **30**. Furthermore, in the case of a flag-updating conditional ALU instruction **124**, the set of microinstructions **126** may include a conditional move microinstruction **126** that is slightly different than the conditional move microinstruction **126** shown in FIG. **30**. In particular, to determine whether the condition is satisfied, the conditional move microinstruction **126** (CMOV) of blocks **1044**, **1054** and **1056** of FIG. **10** examines a non-architectural flag updated by a previous microinstruction **126** in the set of microinstructions **126** based on whether the architectural flags satisfy the condition, in contrast to the conditional move microinstruction **126** of FIG. **30** which examines the architectural flags to determine whether the condition is satisfied. Finally, whereas the ALU microinstruction **126** of FIG. **30** is an unconditional ALU microinstruction **126**, in some cases the ALU microinstructions **126** of FIGS. **10** and **21** may be conditional ALU microinstructions **126**.

In the E case, the instruction translator **104** translates the conditional ALU instruction **124** into an unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **3045** for execution by the execution units **424**. The unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **3045** includes an opcode field **252**, condition code field **254**, source register **1** and source register **2** fields **256**, and a destination register field **258**. The opcode field **252** includes a value that differentiates the unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **3045** from other microinstructions in the microinstruction set architecture of the microprocessor **100**. The ALU function specified by the opcode **252** of the conditional ALU instruction **124** is conveyed in the opcode field **252** of the unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **3045**. The source register **1** and source register **2** fields **256** specify immediate values and the architectural registers **106** holding the operands upon which the ALU operation specified by the opcode **252** will be performed to generate a result that will be loaded into the architectural or temporary register **106** specified by the destination register field **258**, and the instruction translator **104** populates the source register **1** and source register **2** fields **256** of the unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **3045** with the same values as the source register **1** and source register **2** fields **206** of the conditional

ALU instruction **124** when it translates the conditional ALU instruction **124** in the E case. The instruction translator **104** populates the condition code field **254** of the unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **3045** with the same value as the condition code field **204** of the conditional ALU instruction **124** when it translates the conditional ALU instruction **124**. The condition code **224** is used by the execution unit **424** to determine whether a misprediction of the direction of the associated conditional ALU instruction **124** was made. The instruction translator **104** populates the destination register field **258** with the same value as the destination register field **208** of the conditional ALU instruction **124** when it translates the conditional ALU instruction **124**. Thus, the unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **3045** is an unconditional microinstruction in that it is executed regardless of whether the condition is satisfied since its associated conditional ALU instruction **124** was predicted executed. However, the unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **3045** is speculative similar to a predicted branch instruction since the E prediction is scrutinized and if found to be a misprediction, the architectural register **106** specified by the destination register field **258** will not be updated with the ALU result but will instead be flushed and the associated conditional ALU instruction **124** will be replayed, this time with no prediction. In contrast, if the E prediction was correct, then the architectural register **106** specified by the destination register field **258** may be updated with the ALU result. In one embodiment, in the E case, in addition to the unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **126** of FIG. **30**, when the conditional ALU instruction **124** specifies a pre-shift, as described above with respect to FIGS. **10** through **28**, the instruction translator **104** additionally translates the conditional ALU instruction **124** into a shift microinstruction **126** that precedes the unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **126**. The shift microinstruction **126** is similar to the shift microinstruction **126** described above with respect to block **1034** of FIG. **10**, for example, and the unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **126** of FIG. **30** is modified to specify as its source one operand register the temporary register that is the destination register of the shift microinstruction **126**. The shift microinstruction **126** will be flushed (in addition to the unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **126**) at block **3134** of FIG. **31** (described below) if there was a misprediction.

In the NE case, the instruction translator **104** translates the conditional ALU instruction **124** into a NOP with CC microinstruction **3047** for execution by the execution units **424**. The NOP with CC microinstruction **3047** includes an opcode field **272** and a condition code field **274**. The opcode field **272** includes a value that differentiates the NOP with CC microinstruction **3047** from other microinstructions in the microinstruction set architecture of the microprocessor **100**. The instruction translator **104** populates the condition code field **274** of the NOP with CC microinstruction **3047** with the same value as the condition code field **204** of the conditional ALU instruction **124** when it translates the conditional ALU instruction **124**. The condition code **274** is used by the execution unit **424** to determine whether a misprediction of the direction of the associated conditional ALU instruction **124** was made. The NOP with CC microinstruction **3047** performs no operation other than to enable the execution unit **424** to scrutinize the prediction of the direction of the conditional ALU instruction **124**.

Referring now to FIG. **31**, a flowchart illustrating operation of the microprocessor **100** of FIG. **29** to execute a conditional ALU instruction **124** of FIG. **30** according to the present invention is shown. Flow begins concurrently at blocks **3102**, **3104**, and **3106**.

71

At block **3102**, a block of instructions containing a conditional ALU instruction **124** of FIG. **30** is fetched from the instruction cache **102** at the fetch address **134** of FIG. **29**. Flow proceeds from block **3102** to block **3108**.

At block **3104**, the dynamic predictor **2932** looks up the fetch address **134** and provides the dynamic prediction **2982** to the instruction translator **104** of FIG. **29**. Flow proceeds from block **3104** to block **3108**.

At block **3106**, the predictor selector **2934** looks up the fetch address **134** and provides the predictor selection **2984** of FIG. **29** to the instruction translator **104**. Flow proceeds from block **3106** to block **3108**.

At block **3108**, the static predictor **2936** receives the conditional ALU instruction **124**, evaluates it, and provides the static prediction **2984** of FIG. **29** to the instruction translator **104**. Flow proceeds to block **3112**.

At block **3112**, the instruction translator **104** encounters the conditional ALU instruction **124** and receives the predictions **2982/2984/2986** from the dynamic predictor **2932**, predictor selector **2934**, and static predictor **2936**, respectively, and generates a prediction of the direction of the conditional ALU instruction **124** based on them. Flow proceeds to decision block **3114**.

At decision block **3114**, the instruction translator **104** determines whether it predicted at block **3112** the conditional ALU instruction **124** will be executed (E). If so, flow proceeds to block **3116**; otherwise, flow proceeds to decision block **3118**.

At block **3116**, the instruction translator **104** emits the unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **3045** of FIG. **30** according to the E case. Flow proceeds to block **3126**.

At decision block **3118**, the instruction translator **104** determines whether it predicted at block **3112** the conditional ALU instruction **124** will be not executed (NE). If so, flow proceeds to block **3122**; otherwise, flow proceeds to block **3124**.

At block **3122**, the instruction translator **104** emits the NOP with CC microinstruction **3047** of FIG. **30** according to the NE case. Flow proceeds to block **3126**.

At block **3124**, the instruction translator **104** emits the ALU microinstruction **3044** and conditional move microinstruction **3046** of FIG. **30** according to the NP case. Flow proceeds to block **3126**.

At block **3126**, the execution units **424** execute the microinstructions **126** emitted by the instruction translator **104** at block **3116**, **322**, or **324**. In the NP case, an execution unit **424** executes the ALU microinstruction **3044** by performing the ALU function specified in the opcode field **212** on the source operands specified in field **216** to generate a result which is output on the result bus **128** and written to the ROB entry allocated for the ALU microinstruction **3044** in hopes of subsequently being written to the temporary register **106** specified in field **218**. Once the ALU microinstruction **3044** result is available, the conditional move microinstruction **3046** can be issued to an execution unit **424** which determines whether the flags **926** satisfy the condition specified by the condition code **224**. If so, the result of the ALU microinstruction **3044** (received either from the forwarding bus or from the temporary register **106**) is output on the result bus **128** and written to the ROB entry allocated for the conditional move microinstruction **3046** in hopes of subsequently being written to the architectural register **106** specified by field **232**. However, if the condition is not satisfied, the original value of the architectural register **106** specified by the source register **2** field **228** (i.e., the architectural register **106** specified by the destination register field **208** of the conditional ALU instruction **124**) is output on the result bus **128** and written to the

72

ROB entry allocated for the conditional move microinstruction **3046** in hopes of subsequently being written to the architectural register **106** specified by field **232**. The execution unit **424** also indicates a correct prediction to the ROB **422** (because the instruction translator **104** generated the ALU microinstruction **3044** and the conditional move microinstruction **3046** in response to the NP prediction). That is, in the NP case a misprediction will never occur because there was no prediction. In the E case, an execution unit **424** executes the unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **3045** by performing the ALU function specified in the opcode field **252** on the source operands specified in field **256** to generate a result which is output on the result bus **128** and written to the ROB entry allocated for the unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **3045** in hopes of subsequently being written to the architectural register **106** specified in field **258**. The execution unit **424** also determines whether the flags **926** satisfy the condition specified by the condition code **254** and accordingly provides an indication to the ROB **422**. More specifically, the execution unit **424** indicates a misprediction to the ROB **422** only when the flags **926** do not satisfy the condition specified by the condition code **254** (because the instruction translator **104** generated the unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **3045** in response to the E prediction), and otherwise indicates a correct prediction. In the NE case, an execution unit **424** executes the NOP with CC microinstruction **3047** by performing no operation. Additionally, the execution unit **424** determines whether the flags **926** satisfy the condition specified by the condition code **274** and accordingly provides an indication to the ROB **422**. More specifically, the execution unit **424** indicates a misprediction to the ROB **422** only when the flags **926** satisfy the condition specified by the condition code **254** (because the instruction translator **104** generated the NOP with CC microinstruction **3047** in response to the NE prediction), and otherwise indicates a correct prediction. Flow proceeds to decision block **3128**.

At decision block **3128**, the ROB **422**, based on the misprediction indication **2976** received from the execution unit **424**, determines whether the direction of the conditional ALU instruction **124** was mispredicted. If so, flow proceeds to block **3134**; otherwise, flow proceeds to block **3132**.

At block **3132**, the ROB **422** updates the architectural state of the microprocessor **100**, namely the architectural registers **106** and flags **926**, with the results of the conditional ALU instruction **124**. More specifically, the ROB **422** updates the architectural state when the conditional move microinstruction **3046** (in the NP case), the unconditional ALU with CC microinstruction **3045** (in the E case), or the NOP with CC microinstruction **3047** (in the NE case) becomes the oldest microinstruction in the microprocessor **100**, since the ROB **422** must retire instructions in program order. Flow proceeds to block **3136**.

At block **3134**, the ROB **422** generates a true value on the mispredict indicator **2976** to cause the microinstructions generated from translation of the conditional ALU instruction **124** to be flushed and all microinstructions dependent upon them. Additionally, generation of a true value on the mispredict indicator **2976** causes the conditional ALU instruction **124** to be replayed. That is, the conditional ALU instruction **124** is re-translated by the instruction translator **104**, this time according to the NP regime of block **3124**. In an alternate embodiment, on replay of the conditional ALU instruction **124**, the instruction translator **104** inverts the incorrect prediction and the instruction translator **104** translates based on the inverted prediction. That is, if the misprediction was E, then the instruction translator **104** translates according to the NE regime on replay, and if the misprediction was NE, then

the instruction translator **104** translates according to the E regime on replay. However, it is noted that the alternate embodiment may be prone to causing a livelock condition. Flow proceeds to block **3136**.

At block **3136**, the ROB **422** provides the appropriate values on the history update output **2974** to the dynamic predictor **2932** and predictor selector **2934** to update them based on the correct direction evaluated by the execution unit **424** and the piped-down prediction information **2982/2984/2986**. Flow ends at block **3136**.

As may be observed from the foregoing, the fact that the microprocessor **100** of the present invention translates a conditional ALU instruction **124** into a single microinstruction, rather than multiple microinstructions, in some cases—namely when it is able to predict the direction—may provide important advantages.

First, there is one or more less microinstructions to take up extra instruction slots in resources of the out-of-order execution microprocessor **100**, such as the RAT **402**, reorder buffer, reservation station (not shown), and execution units **424**, which may enable these resources to be smaller and potentially less complex and power consuming than they otherwise would be.

Second, the average number of program instructions of the instruction set architecture (e.g., ARM instructions) that the instruction translator **104** translates per clock cycle may be increased. Assume for example the instruction translator **104** is capable of translating up to three ARM instructions per clock cycle, but is only capable of emitting up to three microinstructions per clock and has the further limitation that it must emit all the microinstructions associated with the ARM instruction in the same clock cycle, i.e., the instruction translator **104** is not capable of emitting one microinstruction associated with an ARM instruction in a first clock cycle and the second microinstruction associated with the ARM instruction in the next clock cycle. Assume the following ARM instruction sequence, in which CAI is a conditional ALU instruction **124** and the “Rx” values are general purpose registers:

CAI EQ R1, R2, R3  
CAI NE R4, R5, R6  
CAI CS R7, R8, R9

In a processor in which the predictors **2932/2934/2936** are not present (or in which they make no direction prediction), the instruction translator **104** must take three clock cycles to translate the three CAI instructions. However, advantageously, in a case in which the predictors **2932/2934/2936** make a direction prediction, the instruction translator **104** can translate all three CAI instructions in a single clock cycle. Furthermore, this benefit may be realized in other examples of instruction mixes with non-CAI instructions, namely with other ARM instructions. For example, assume an ARM instruction D that is translated into two microinstructions is followed by a CAI instruction whose direction is predicted by the predictors **2932/2934/2936** which is followed by an ARM instruction E that is translated into two microinstructions which is followed by an ARM instruction F that is translated into a single microinstruction. In such a case, the instruction translator **104** can translate ARM instruction D and the CAI instruction in a single clock cycle, and then translate the ARM instructions E and F during the next clock cycle, i.e., four ARM instructions in two clock cycles. In contrast, without the capability described in the embodiments herein, the instruction translator **104** would require three clock cycles to translate the four instructions. Similar advantages may be appreciated within the instruction issue unit **408** and ROB **422**.

Third, the latency of a conditional ALU instruction **124** may be reduced due to the absence of the second microinstruction in cases where the predictors **2932/2934/2936** predict the direction such that the instruction translator **104** is enabled to emit a single microinstruction.

Fourth, the absence of the extra microinstruction in the reorder buffer and/or reservation stations may increase the lookahead capability of the processor, thereby potentially increasing the ability of the processor to exploit the instruction level parallelism of the program being executed by the microprocessor **100**, thereby potentially increasing the utilization of the execution units **424**, and thereby potentially improving the throughput of the microprocessor **100**. More specifically, the lack of the second microinstruction makes more room in the reorder buffer for microinstructions. This is an advantage because it potentially creates a larger pool of microinstructions that can be dispatched for execution to the execution units **424**. A microinstruction cannot be dispatched for execution until it is “ready,” i.e., until all of its source operands from the previous microinstructions are available. Thus, the larger the pool of microinstructions at which the microprocessor **100** may look to find ready microinstructions, the more likely it is to find them, and consequently the more likely it is to keep the execution units **424** utilized. This is commonly referred to as the lookahead capability of a microprocessor, which exploits more fully the instruction level parallelism of the program being executed by the microprocessor **100**. Greater lookahead capability typically increases the utilization of the execution units **424**. Thus, the present microprocessor **100** potentially advantageously increases the lookahead capability by translating a conditional ALU instruction **124** into a single microinstruction, rather than multiple microinstructions.

Although embodiments are described in which an ARM instruction set architecture conditional ALU instruction is supported on a microarchitecture that also supports an x86 instruction set architecture, it should be noted that other embodiments are contemplated in which a conditional ALU instruction from instruction set architectures other than ARM are supported; furthermore, it should be noted that other embodiments are contemplated in which there is no pre-existing microarchitecture or the pre-existing microarchitecture supports an instruction set architecture other than the x86 instruction set architecture; finally, it should be understood that described herein is the broad notion of a processor that supports a conditional ALU instruction of an instruction set architecture by predicting the direction of the conditional ALU instruction early in the pipeline prior to its execution, preferably in a manner similar to branch prediction techniques, and determining the stream of fetched instructions and emitting different sequences of microinstructions based on the direction prediction, or lack thereof. Furthermore, although embodiments are described that include both a dynamic predictor and a static predictor, embodiments are contemplated that employ only a static predictor or embodiments that employ only a dynamic predictor; additionally, embodiments are contemplated in which multiple dynamic and/or static predictors are employed and the predictor selector selects from among the multiple dynamic and static predictors. Still further, embodiments are contemplated in which the dynamic predictor is integrated within a branch predictor array, such as a branch target address cache. A disadvantage of such an embodiment is that it wastes the storage space within each entry used to store the target address of a branch instruction since this is not needed for predicting a target address for a conditional ALU instruction. A possible advantage may be that the storage space within the integrated cache



75

memory is more efficiently used and/or justification may exist for an integrated array that has a larger number of entries than the sum of the number of entries in an embodiment with distinct arrays, although the potential for interference or thrashing between branch instructions and conditional ALU instructions may occur depending on the instruction mix in the program.

Although embodiments have been described with respect to conditional non-branch instructions that are conditional ALU instructions, other embodiments are contemplated in which other types of conditional non-branch instructions are predicted by the predictors. For example, conditional load instructions may be predicted. If E is predicted, the instruction translator generates an unconditional load with CC microinstruction. The unconditional load with CC microinstruction includes the condition specified by the conditional load instruction to enable the execution pipeline to detect a misprediction. If the execution pipeline detects a misprediction, it refrains from performing any architectural state-updating actions, such as a page table walk that updates memory if the load causes a TLB miss, or generating an architectural exception if the load creates an exception condition; additionally, if the load misses in the cache, the execution pipeline refrains from generating a transaction on the processor bus to fill the missing cache line. If NP is predicted, the instruction translator generates a set of microinstructions **126** to conditionally perform the load operation; in one embodiment, if NP is predicted, the set of microinstructions **126** may be similar to the manner described in U.S. Provisional Application 61/473,062.

Although embodiments have been described with respect to ARM ISA conditional non-branch instructions, other embodiments are contemplated in which conditional non-branch instructions of other ISAs are predicted by the predictors. For example, the x86 ISA conditional non-branch instructions, such as CMOVcc and SETcc may be predicted.

While various embodiments of the present invention have been described herein, it should be understood that they have been presented by way of example, and not limitation. It will be apparent to persons skilled in the relevant computer arts that various changes in form and detail can be made therein without departing from the scope of the invention. For example, software can enable, for example, the function, fabrication, modeling, simulation, description and/or testing of the apparatus and methods described herein. This can be accomplished through the use of general programming languages (e.g., C, C++), hardware description languages (HDL) including Verilog HDL, VHDL, and so on, or other available programs. Such software can be disposed in any known computer usable medium such as magnetic tape, semiconductor, magnetic disk, or optical disc (e.g., CD-ROM, DVD-ROM, etc.), a network or other communications medium. Embodiments of the apparatus and method described herein may be included in a semiconductor intellectual property core, such as a microprocessor core (e.g., embodied, or specified, in a HDL) and transformed to hardware in the production of integrated circuits. Additionally, the apparatus and methods described herein may be embodied as a combination of hardware and software. Thus, the present invention should not be limited by any of the exemplary embodiments described herein, but should be defined only in accordance with the following claims and their equivalents. Specifically, the present invention may be implemented within a microprocessor device which may be used in a general purpose computer. Finally, those skilled in the art should appreciate that they can readily use the disclosed conception and specific embodiments as a basis for designing or modifying other structures

76

for carrying out the same purposes of the present invention without departing from the scope of the invention as defined by the appended claims.

We claim:

1. A microprocessor that processes conditional non-branch instructions, wherein each conditional non-branch instruction specifies a condition, wherein each conditional non-branch instruction instructs the microprocessor to perform an operation if the condition is satisfied and to not perform the operation if the condition is not satisfied by condition flags of the microprocessor, the microprocessor comprising:

a predictor, configured to provide a prediction about a conditional non-branch instruction;

an instruction translator, configured to:

translate the conditional non-branch instruction into a no-operation with condition code microinstruction when the prediction predicts the condition will not be satisfied, wherein the no-operation with condition code microinstruction performs no operation other than to enable an execution unit to scrutinize the prediction; and

translate the conditional non-branch instruction into a single operational with condition code microinstruction to unconditionally perform the operation when the prediction predicts the condition will be satisfied and that enables an execution unit to scrutinize the prediction;

wherein the instruction translator translates instructions of x86 instruction set architecture (ISA) programs and Advanced RISC Machines (ARM) ISA programs into microinstructions defined by a microinstruction set of the microprocessor, wherein the microinstructions are encoded in a distinct manner from the manner in which the instructions defined by the instruction sets of the x86 ISA and ARM ISA are encoded; and

an execution pipeline, including an instruction issue unit and a plurality of execution units, wherein the instruction issue unit is operable to issue the single operational with conditional code microinstruction to a selected one of the plurality of execution units, and the selected execution unit is operable to execute the single operational with conditional code microinstruction.

2. The microprocessor of claim 1, wherein the predictor comprises:

a static predictor, configured to decode the conditional non-branch instruction and to provide the prediction to the instruction translator based on decoding the conditional non-branch instruction.

3. The microprocessor of claim 1, wherein the predictor comprises:

a dynamic predictor, configured to maintain a history of whether the condition was satisfied in previous execution instances of the conditional non-branch instruction and to provide the prediction to the instruction translator based on the history.

4. The microprocessor of claim 3, wherein the dynamic predictor is configured to provide the prediction in response to a fetch address when the conditional non-branch instruction is fetched from an instruction cache of the microprocessor at the fetch address, and without decoding the conditional non-branch instruction.

5. The microprocessor of claim 1, wherein the predictor comprises:

a static predictor, configured to decode the conditional non-branch instruction and to provide a static prediction based on decoding the conditional non-branch instruction;

77

a dynamic predictor, configured to maintain a history of whether the condition was satisfied in previous execution instances of the conditional non-branch instruction and to provide a dynamic prediction based on the history; and

a selector, configured to maintain a history of accuracy of the static and dynamic predictors in previous execution instances of the conditional non-branch instruction and to provide a selection to select the static prediction or the dynamic prediction as the prediction based on the accuracy history.

6. The microprocessor of claim 5,

wherein the dynamic predictor is configured to provide the prediction in response to a fetch address when the conditional non-branch instruction is fetched from an instruction cache of the microprocessor at the fetch address, and without decoding the conditional non-branch instruction;

wherein the selector is configured to provide the selection in response to the fetch address when the conditional non-branch instruction is fetched from the instruction cache at the fetch address, and without decoding the conditional non-branch instruction.

7. The microprocessor of claim 1, wherein the instruction translator is further configured to:

translate the conditional non-branch instruction into a second operational with condition code microinstruction to conditionally perform the operation when the prediction does not predict whether the condition will be satisfied.

8. The microprocessor of claim 1,

wherein the no-operation microinstruction or the operational with condition code microinstruction includes the condition specified by the conditional non-branch instruction;

wherein to execute the no-operation microinstruction or operational with condition code microinstruction the execution pipeline determines from the condition and the condition flags whether the prediction was a misprediction and, if so, to indicate the misprediction to the instruction translator;

wherein, in response to the misprediction indication, the instruction translator is further configured to re-translate the conditional non-branch instruction into a second operational with condition code microinstruction to conditionally perform the operation.

9. The microprocessor of claim 1,

wherein the predictor is configured to maintain a history of whether the condition was satisfied in previous execution instances of the conditional non-branch instruction and to provide the prediction to the instruction translator based on the history;

wherein the no-operation microinstruction or the operational with condition code microinstruction includes the condition specified by the conditional non-branch instruction;

wherein to execute the no-operation microinstruction or the operational with condition code microinstruction the execution pipeline makes a determination of whether the condition flags satisfy the condition and provides the determination to the predictor;

wherein the predictor is configured to update the history in response to the determination from the execution pipeline.

78

10. The microprocessor of claim 9,

wherein the predictor comprises:

a static predictor that provides a static prediction in response to decoding the conditional non-branch instruction;

a dynamic predictor that provides a dynamic prediction based on the history; and

a selector that selects the static prediction or the dynamic prediction;

wherein the selector is configured to maintain a history of accuracy of the static and dynamic predictors in previous execution instances of the conditional non-branch instruction;

wherein the selector is configured to update the accuracy history in response to the determination from the execution pipeline.

11. The microprocessor of claim 1, wherein the conditional non-branch instructions are instructions defined by the Advanced RISC Machines (ARM) instruction set architecture.

12. The microprocessor of claim 1, wherein the conditional non-branch instructions are instructions defined by the x86 instruction set architecture.

13. A method for processing conditional non-branch instructions by a microprocessor having an instruction translator that translates instructions of x86 instruction set architecture (ISA) programs and Advanced RISC Machines (ARM) ISA programs into microinstructions defined by a microinstruction set of the microprocessor, wherein the microinstructions are encoded in a distinct manner from the manner in which the instructions defined by the instruction sets of the x86 ISA and ARM ISA are encoded, wherein each conditional non-branch instruction specifies a condition, wherein each conditional non-branch instruction instructs the microprocessor to perform an operation if the condition is satisfied and to not perform the operation if the condition is not satisfied by condition flags of the microprocessor, the method comprising:

providing a prediction about a conditional non-branch instruction;

translating the conditional non-branch instruction into a no-operation with condition code microinstruction when the prediction predicts the condition will not be satisfied, wherein the no-operation with condition code microinstruction performs no operation other than to enable an execution unit to scrutinize the prediction;

translating the conditional non-branch instruction into a single operational with condition code microinstruction to unconditionally perform the operation when the prediction predicts the condition will be satisfied; and

an instruction issue unit issuing the single operational with conditional code microinstruction to a selected one of the plurality of execution units;

the selected execution unit executing the single operational with condition code microinstruction, wherein the instruction issue unit and selected execution unit are parts of a hardware execution pipeline of the microprocessor.

14. The method of claim 13, further comprising:

decoding the conditional non-branch instruction;

wherein said providing a prediction comprises providing the prediction based on said decoding the conditional non-branch instruction.

79

15. The method of claim 13, further comprising:  
 maintaining a history of whether the condition was satisfied in previous execution instances of the conditional non-branch instruction;  
 wherein said providing a prediction comprises providing the prediction to the instruction translator based on the history. 5

16. The method of claim 13, further comprising:  
 maintaining a history of whether the condition was satisfied in previous execution instances of the conditional non-branch instruction; and 10  
 maintaining a history of accuracy of static and dynamic predictions in previous execution instances of the conditional non-branch instruction;  
 wherein said providing a prediction comprises: 15  
   providing the static prediction based on decoding the conditional non-branch instruction;  
   providing a dynamic prediction based on the history of whether the condition was satisfied in previous execution instances of the conditional non-branch instruction; and 20  
   selecting the static prediction or the dynamic prediction as the prediction based on the accuracy history.

17. The method of claim 13, further comprising: 25  
 translating the conditional non-branch instruction into a second operational with condition code microinstruction to conditionally perform the operation when the prediction does not predict whether the condition will be satisfied. 30

18. The method of claim 13,  
 wherein the no-operation microinstruction or the operational with condition code microinstruction includes the condition specified by the conditional non-branch instruction; 35  
 wherein said executing the no-operation microinstruction or the operational with condition code microinstruction comprises determining from the condition and the condition flags whether the prediction was a misprediction and, if so, indicating the misprediction; 40  
 the method further comprising:  
   re-translating the conditional non-branch instruction into a second operational with condition code microinstruction to conditionally perform the operation, in response to said indicating the misprediction. 45

19. The method of claim 13, further comprising:  
 maintaining a history of whether the condition was satisfied in previous execution instances of the conditional non-branch instruction;  
 wherein said providing a prediction about a conditional non-branch instruction comprises providing the prediction based on the history; 50  
 wherein the no-operation microinstruction or the operational with condition code microinstruction includes the condition specified by the conditional non-branch instruction; 55  
 wherein said executing the no-operation microinstruction or the operational with condition code microinstruction comprises making a determination of whether the condition flags satisfy the condition and providing the determination; 60  
 the method further comprising:  
   updating the history in response to said making a determination.

80

20. The method of claim 19,  
 wherein said providing a prediction about a conditional non-branch instruction comprises:  
   providing a static prediction in response to decoding the conditional non-branch instruction;  
   providing a dynamic prediction based on the history; and  
   selecting the static prediction or the dynamic prediction;  
 wherein the method further comprises:  
   maintaining a history of accuracy of the static and dynamic predictions in previous execution instances of the conditional non-branch instruction; and  
   updating the accuracy history in response to the determination.

21. A non-transitory computer readable medium storing a computer program product for use with a computing device, the computer program product comprising:  
 computer readable program code embodied in said medium, for specifying a microprocessor that processes conditional non-branch instructions, wherein each conditional non-branch instruction specifies a condition, wherein each conditional non-branch instruction instructs the microprocessor to perform an operation if the condition is satisfied and to not perform the operation if the condition is not satisfied by condition flags of the microprocessor, the computer readable program code comprising:  
 first program code for specifying a predictor, configured to provide a prediction about a conditional non-branch instruction;  
 second program code for specifying an instruction translator, configured to:  
   translate instructions of x86 instruction set architecture (ISA) programs and Advanced RISC Machines (ARM) ISA programs into microinstructions defined by a microinstruction set of the microprocessor, wherein the microinstructions are encoded in a distinct manner from the manner in which the instructions defined by the instruction sets of the x86 ISA and ARM ISA are encoded;  
   translate the conditional non-branch instruction into a no-operation with condition code microinstruction when the prediction predicts the condition will not be satisfied, wherein the no-operation with condition code microinstruction performs no operation other than to enable an execution unit to scrutinize the prediction; and  
   translate the conditional non-branch instruction into a single operational with condition code microinstruction to unconditionally perform the operation when the prediction predicts the condition will be satisfied; and  
 third program code for specifying an execution pipeline including an instruction issue unit and a plurality of execution units, wherein the instruction issue unit is operable to issue the single operational with conditional code microinstruction to a selected one of the plurality of execution units, and the selected execution unit is operable to execute the single operational with conditional code microinstruction.

22. The non-transitory computer readable medium of claim 21, wherein the computer readable storage medium is selected from the set of a disk, tape, or other magnetic, optical, or electronic storage medium and a network or wire line.

\* \* \* \* \*